



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(228)

CWP-16397-2023

Date of Decision: 15.10.2025

Vicky Kalra

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA

Present: Mr. Balram Prashar, Advocate and
Ms. Sukhwinder Dhillion, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Satnam Preet Singh Chauhan, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA, J. (ORAL)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India in the nature of Mandamus, for issuance of direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) moved by the petitioner.

2. A perusal of the representation filed by the petitioner would show that in fact the petitioner prays for regularization of his services in terms of notification dated 16.10.2014 (Annexure R-4).

3. In the present case, the written statement has been filed on behalf of the State. A perusal of the same would show that the stand of the State is that an FIR has been registered against the petitioner for offence under Sections 306 and 3(2)(v) on 01.03.2020 regarding abetment of suicide. Therefore, the case of the petitioner cannot be considered for regularization at this stage.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, at this stage, if a direction is to be given to the respondent authorities to consider and decide representation

dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioner for



regularization in terms of the notification dated 16.10.2014 (Annexure R-4).

5. Learned State counsel submits that in fact he has no objection to the abovesaid prayer made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner.

6. In view of the above said facts and without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to consider and decide the representation dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-1) submitted by the petitioner in the light of the notification dated 16.10.2014 (Annexure R-4) within a period of 03 months from the date of a receipt of a certified copy of this order. The respondent authorities are also directed that in case, the case of the petitioner for regularization is declined, reasons be given for declining the case of the petitioner for regularization by passing a speaking order and the copy of the same be supplied to the petitioner.

(DEEPINDER SINGH NALWA)
JUDGE

October 15, 2025
Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No