

SHARVAN VS STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

Present: Mr. Ravi Kant Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Prayer in the instant petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondents No.1 to 3, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioner -Sharvan, aged 38 years, at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 8.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has filed the present petition for the reasons that he had opposed the election of Santosh Beniwal (respondent No. 5). Learned counsel for the petitioner also states that respondent NO. 4 is the husband of respondent No. 5.

3. He further submits that after winning of the election, respondents No. 4 and 5 in connivance with respondent No. 6, who is real brother of the petitioner, have been threatening the petitioner to go out of the village, else would face the dire consequences.

4. In the context of threat perception, already one representation dated 10.04.2023 (Annexure P-1), sent through registered post on 12.04.2023 was submitted to respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Sirsa, Haryana, but no remedial steps have been taken.

5. Notice of motion for 28.04.2023.

6. On asking of the Court, Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 and seeks time to file status report.

7. Respondents No. 4 to 8 would be informed in writing for the next date of hearing fixed before this Court through respondent No.3- Station House Officer, Police Station Nathusari Chopta, Tehsil Nathusari Chopta, District Sirsa.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

April 19, 2023
Riya