

CRM-M-26091-2023**SACHIN @ SACHIN KUMAR
VS
STATE OF HARYANA**

Present: Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a bona fide purchaser of the gold locket allegedly snatched by the main accused. He was not aware that the said item had been obtained by them after committing a crime. Being a goldsmith, the purchase was in course of regular business. The petitioner is willing to get the gold locket recovered.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice and waives service.

Adjourned to 02.08.2023.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join investigation with the Investigating Officer on 01.06.2023 at 10.00 a.m. and cooperate therewith. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/SHO concerned subject to his compliance of the conditions enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

It is clarified that in case the gold locket purchased by him is not produced before the Investigating Officer on the date fixed, the concession of interim bail shall stand withdrawn.

May 22, 2023

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**