

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA  
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.1210 of 2023**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-66 Year-2018 Thana- BALTHAR District- West Champaran

---

---

ISLAM MIYAN HAJAM @ ISLAM MIYAN

... .. Appellant

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent

---

---

**Appearance :**

For the Appellant	:	Mr.Bimlesh Kumar Pandey, Advocate
For the Informant	:	Mr.Mahtab Alam, Advocate Mr.Anis Akhtar, Advocate Mr.Ranjay Kumar, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Ramchandra Singh, APP

---

---

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA  
ORAL ORDER**

3      02-01-2024                      Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant, learned counsel for the informant and learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. After short argument, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant-convict submitted that he is not pressing the prayer of bail, for the present.

3. Accordingly, prayer of bail and suspension of sentence of the appellant is rejected, for the present.

4. It is pointed out by learned A.P.P. for the State duly assisted by learned counsel for the informant that as the matter relates with conviction and sentence under Section 376 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 4 of the POCSO Act, where every appeal, in view of Section 374(4) of the Cr.P.C., is to be heard finally within six months from date of filing.



5. In view of the aforesaid, office is directed to list this matter for final hearing.

6. Re-notify this matter on 30<sup>th</sup> January, 2024.

7. If, for any of the reasons, this appeal is not taken up for final hearing in next six months, the appellant-convict is at liberty to file separate petition regarding prayer of bail and suspension of sentence, which shall be considered on the ground of available merit.

**(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J.)**

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

