

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

[THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING (WITH HYBRID OPTION)]

**Original Application No.162/2023(WZ)
(Earlier Letter Petition No.35/2023)**

Mr. Gopal Rangnath Kachave

.....Applicant

Versus

District Collector, Pune & Anr.

....Respondents

Date of hearing: 20.01.2025

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. VIJAY KULKARNI, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicant : None present.

Respondents : Mr. Shivshankar Swaminathan, Advocate for R-2
Dr. Ashish Deshpande, Advocate for respondents

ORDER

1. By our previous order dated 15.01.2025, we had directed learned counsel Dr. Ashish Deshpande to obtain NOC from all the earlier counsel, who were engaged by respondent Nos.3 to 22 in this matter. In compliance with that, he has obtained NOC from the earlier counsel with respect to respondent Nos.3, 5 to 13, 15, 16 and 18 to 22 and has filed Vakalatnam also to represent these respondents, which is taken on record.

2. Report dated 20.01.2025 of the Registry of this Tribunal states that for respondent No.4- Khurshid Chaudhary and respondent No.17- Bablu Soni, their signatures are not obtained by the said learned counsel on his Vakalatnama. Therefore, he would not be treated to be a counsel to represent them. With respect to respondent No.14- Izhaar Ansari, it is reported by the Registry that there is difference in the name, as learned counsel for the above respondents has named the said respondent as

Izhaar Abdul Gaffar. Hence, it has to be verified as to whether Mr. Izhaar Abdul Gaffar and Mr. Izhaar Ansari are one and the same person or not. For this, we direct learned counsel for respondent No.2- PCMC as well as learned counsel Dr. Ashish Deshpande to verify as to what is the parentage of Mr. Izhaar Ansari and Mr. Izhaar Abdul Gaffar because it is quite likely that they might be one and the same person. Therefore, we direct both the respondents to clarify in this regard on the next date of hearing.

3. With respect to respondent No.4- Khurshid Chaudhary and respondent No.17- Bablu Soni, averment made by the learned counsel Dr. Ashish Deshpande is that no person by such names exist, which is being disputed by learned counsel for respondent No.2 saying that these people have received notices, therefore, it cannot be said that they are not residing at the given address. But to be on the safer side, we direct learned counsel for respondent No.2- PCMC to effect fresh service of notice upon these two respondents Dasti, returnable within one week and place on record service affidavit. Thereafter, we will pass an order with respect to service upon these two respondents as well.

4. In the meantime, we grant liberty to learned counsel Dr. Ashish Deshpande to file reply affidavit.

Put up this matter for further consideration on 04.02.2025

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. Vijay Kulkarni, EM

January 20, 2025
Original Application No.162/2023(WZ)
(Earlier Letter Petition No.35/2023)
P.Kr