

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

[THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING (WITH HYBRID OPTION)]

**Original Application No.162/2023(WZ)
[Earlier Letter Petition No.35/2023]**

Mr. Gopal Rangnath Kachave

.....Applicant

Versus

District Collector Pune & Anr.

....Respondents

Date of hearing: 04.02.2025

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. VIJAY KULKARNI, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicant	:	None present.
Respondents	:	Mr. Shivshankar Swaminathan, Advocate for R-2/PCMC Mr. Yogendra Kumar, Advocate along-with Dr. Ashish Deshpande, Advocate for R-3 to 22 (except R-4 & 17)

ORDER

1. By our previous order dated 20.01.2025, the clarification, which was sought in para no.2 of that order, has been provided today by learned counsel Dr. Ashish Deshpande representing respondent Nos.3 to 22 except respondent Nos.4 & 17.
2. It is submitted by learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 to 22 except respondent Nos.4 & 17 that respondent No.14- Izhaar Ansari's father name is 'Gaffar' and that there is no person in existence by the name Izhaar Abdul Gaffar. In this regard, learned counsel Mr. Shivshankar Swaminathan representing respondent No.2- PCMC submits that private respondents are not cooperating with them, because of which he could not assist in the matter of giving the correct name of Izhaar

Ansari. But we find that since learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 to 22 except respondent Nos.4 & 17 has already provided the correct name of Izhaar Gaffar Ansari, name of respondent No.14 shall be treated to be correctly impleaded in the present Original Application.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2- PCMC submits that in fact actual names of respondent No.4- Khurshid Chaudhary is “Khurshid Alam H. Khan” and respondent No.17- Bablu Soni is “Shravan Kumar R. Soni” respectively. For that, he would move an application for deletion of the earlier two names and substitution of the same, within one week. We direct the said application be moved within the said period and a copy of the same be also served upon learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 to 22 except respondent Nos.4 & 17 in advance, who may file objection against the same, if any, within one week thereafter.

4. From the side of respondent Nos.3 to 22 except respondent Nos.4 & 17, an application dated 01.02.2025 is also moved today, wherein it is prayed that this matter may be deferred for hearing for three months because these respondents have to get several Marathi documents translated and clear copies of the Google Images are to be obtained and that PCMC should be provided time to complete the statutory provisions under MRTP Act. We direct him that a copy of this application be served upon learned counsel for respondent No.2- PCMC through e-mail within two days, who may file rejoinder affidavit against the same, if any, within two weeks thereafter.

5. Learned counsel for respondent No.2- PCMC has submitted before us that he has moved an I.A. in the present Original Application, which is not yet registered by the Registry. Therefore, we direct the said learned

counsel to deposit adequate fees with the Registry and thereafter get it registered, by e-filing of the same as per the procedure, within two days.

6. Put up this matter for further consideration on 21.02.2025

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. Vijay Kulkarni, EM

February 04, 2025
Original Application No.162/2023(WZ)
[Earlier Letter Petition No.35/2023]
P.Kr