

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

[Through Physical Hearing (with Hybrid Option)]

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.84 OF 2022 (WZ)
WITH
I.A. NOS.211/2024 AND 214/2024 IN O.A.NO.84/2022 (WZ)**

Lalit Kumar Namdeo Chaudhari

Applicant

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

Respondents

Date of hearing : 22.08.2024

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. VIJAY KULKARNI, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicant : Applicant-in-person present

Respondents : Mr. Aniruddha Kulkarni, Advocate for R-1
Mr. Preshit Surshe, Advocate for R-7
Mr. Nirmal Dayama, Advocate for R-8

ORDER

1. The applicant, who has appeared in person, has candidly admitted that he has not submitted the amended memo of parties of the Original Application, showing the District Collector, Jalgaon as respondent No.9 in the Original Application although he has effected service of notice upon the said respondent as per the service-affidavit, which is annexed at page 284 of the paper-book. We direct the applicant to submit on record the amended memo of parties within two days from the date of uploading of this order, which will be placed before us by the Registry on the next date.
2. From the side of respondent No.1 – Principal Secretary, Department of Environment, State of Maharashtra, learned counsel Mr. Aniruddha Kulkarni has appeared.
3. From the side of respondent No.2 – Urban Development Department, State of Maharashtra, none has appeared.
4. From the side of respondent No.3 – the Divisional Commissioner, Nashik, none has appeared.

5. It is apprised that there is no body existing by name “the District Level Committee”. Therefore, by our previous order dated 16.08.2023, we had directed the applicant to implead the District Collector, Jalgaon as party-respondent No.9 in the Original Application and file an amended memo of parties, which has been partly complied with by the applicant.
6. From the side of respondent No.5 – Executive Engineer, Minor Irrigation Department, none has appeared despite sufficient service.
7. From the side of respondent No.6 – Executive Engineer, Maharashtra Jeevan Pradhikaran Circle, none has appeared.
8. From the side of respondent No.7 – Assistant Director, Town Planning and Pricing Department, learned counsel Mr. Preshit Surshe has appeared and states that he has filed the reply-affidavit.
9. From the side of respondent No.8, the Chief Officer, Faizpur Municipal Council himself has appeared and states that the affidavit-in-reply has been filed.
10. The applicant has filed objections against the Joint Committee report by way of affidavit-in-rejoinder, which is at pages 237 to 242 of the paper-book.
11. Put up this matter for final arguments on 11.11.2024.

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. Vijay Kulkarni, EM

August 22, 2024
O.A. No.84/2022 (WZ)
npj