

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

[THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING (WITH HYBRID OPTION)]

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.02 OF 2020 (WZ)

Vaibhav Tapkir & Anr.

.....Applicants

Versus

M/s. Tanish Associates & Ors.

....Respondents

Date of hearing: 16.06.2025

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. VIJAY KULKARNI, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicant	:	Mr. Tanaji Gambhire, Advocate along-with Mr. Omkar Wangikar and Mr. Vijay Mhaske, Advocates.
Respondents	:	Mr. Saurabh Kulkarni, Advocate for R-1/PP Ms. Manasi Joshi, Advocate along-with Ms. Pooja Natu, Advocate for R-2/MPCB Mr. D. T. Devale, Advocate for R-3/Tahsildar, Khed & R-5/PMRDA Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate along-with Mr. Shubham Rathod, Advocate for R-6/MoEF&CC Mr. Aniruddha Kulkarni, Advocate for R-7/SEIAA

ORDER

1. In compliance with our previous order dated 13.01.2025 in para no.4, Respondent No.6- MoEF&CC has filed compliance affidavit dated 15.10.2024, stating therein that project in question should be considered to be a case of 'Expansion' and thus the same be regulated as per the provisions of the EIA Notification, 2006 as amended from time to time. It is also made clear in this affidavit that the Project Proponent has crossed the threshold limit of 20,000 sq. mtrs., hence it requires a prior EC.

2. In regard to above affidavit, learned counsel for the applicant has sought two weeks' time to file rebuttal. We grant him the said time.

3. Learned counsel for Respondent No.1-Project Proponent submits that he would like to make oral submissions only in respect to the above affidavit submitted by Respondent No.6.

4. Today, learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that he would like to place on record several Judgments, for which some time may be granted. We grant him two weeks' time for the same.

5. From the side of Respondent No.3- Tahsildar, Khed and for Respondent No.5- PMRDA, learned counsel Mr. D.T. Devale has appeared, who submits that he would like to file rejoinder affidavit to the compliance affidavit filed by Respondent No.6, for which two weeks' time may be allowed. We grant him the said time.

6. From the side of Respondent No.7- SEIAA, learned counsel Mr. Aniruddha Kulkarni has appeared, who submits that he is adopting the reply affidavit filed by Respondent No.6- MoEF&CC.

7. None has appeared from the side of Respondent No.8- Tanish Srushti Co-Operative Housing Society, although we find that reply affidavit has already been filed from its side.

8. We may make it clear that for the remaining Respondents, who are not appearing today, whatever reply has been submitted in writing from their side, will be considered at the final arguments and accordingly, final Judgment will be passed.

9. Put up this matter for final hearing on 25.09.2025.

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. Vijay Kulkarni, EM

June 16, 2025

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.02 OF 2020 (WZ)

P.Kr