

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

[THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING (WITH HYBRID OPTION)]

**I.A. NO.228 OF 2024 (WZ)
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.50 OF 2023 (WZ)
[EARLIER ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.180 OF 2022]- L.P.**

Shahu Shivaji Mokashi

.....Applicant

Versus

M/s. Appasaheb Nalawade Gadhinglaj Taluka
Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd. & Ors.

....Respondents

Date of hearing: 18.11.2025

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. SUJIT KUMAR BAJPAYEE, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicant	:	None appeared.
Respondents	:	Mr. Dattatray Devale, Advocate for R-1/PP Mr. Mukesh Verma, Advocate along-with Ms. Vatsala Tripathi, Advocate for R-2/MPCB Mr. Savyasachi Bharadwaj, Advocate h/f Mr. Aniruddha Kulkarni, Advocate for R-6/Env. Deptt.

ORDER

1. Learned counsel Mr. Mukesh Verma, appearing for Respondent No.2- MPCB, has submitted that in compliance with our previous order dated 02.12.2024, personal hearing was given to Respondent No.1- M/s Appasaheb Nalawade Gadhinglaj Taluka Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd., which is evident from the affidavit dated 21.03.2025 filed by Respondent No.2 and thereafter, Respondent No.1 has arrived on the same amount of Environmental Damage Compensation (EDC), which they have brought on record earlier in their affidavit dated 29.11.2024, to the tune of Rs.50,10,000/. But we do not find the said fact to have been mentioned in

the subsequent affidavit dated 21.03.2025 filed by Respondent No.2. At this juncture, we direct learned counsel for Respondent No.2 to file an affidavit, stating therein the said fact within one week and a copy of the same be also served upon all the other parties.

2. On 04.08.2025, a written statement is sent to us by learned counsel for Respondent No.1, wherein it is recorded that total 8 results have been shown exceeding the parameters for the last 2022 to 2025 seasons. Since the results of the same are not served upon Respondent No.1, it is prayed by learned counsel for Respondent No.1 that the said results of JVS may be directed to be served upon Respondent No.1 by Respondent Nos.2 & 3. Therefore, we direct Respondent Nos.2 & 3 to serve the same upon him within a week and thereafter, the same be responded by Respondent No.1 within one week thereafter.

3. The applicant, who has never appeared from the beginning till date, has sent us an e-mail dated 18.11.2025, seeking therein two weeks' time to appear before this Tribunal and argue in this matter. We allow his request by way of last opportunity.

4. Put up this matter for final hearing on 23.03.2026.

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. Sujit Kumar Bajpayee, EM

November 18, 2025
I.A. NO.228 OF 2024 (WZ) IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.50 OF 2023 (WZ)
P.Kr