

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

[Through Physical Hearing (with Hybrid Option)]

**EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.20 OF 2023 (WZ)
IN
ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.70 OF 2022 (WZ)
WITH
I.A. NO.232 OF 2023 (WZ)**

Chandan Suryakant Khorjuvekar

... **Applicant**

Versus

GCZMA & Ors.

... **Respondents**

Date of Hearing : 19.02.2025

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. K. SATYAGOPAL, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicant : Mr. Aagney Sail, Advocate

Respondents : Mr. Abhay Anturkar, Advocate for R-1/GCZMA
Mr. Shubham Priolkar, Advocate for R-3/Deptt. of Environment,
Govt. of Goa
Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate along with Mr. Shubham Rathod,
Advocate for R-9/NCSCM

ORDER

1. From the side of respondent No. 9 – National Centre for Sustainable Coastal Management (NCSCM), counter affidavit dated 29.01.2025 has been filed, wherein it is clearly stated that respondent No.1 – GCZMA did not point out any discrepancy with respect to overlapping boundaries at villages and as per the scale of 1:4000, during last six years of preparation of the CZMPs/CZM maps from April, 2016 to September, 2022, nor during the public hearing, nor during the 13th meeting of Technical Scrutiny Committee held on 04.12.2021, nor during the 45th meeting of NCZMA held in the Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), New Delhi on 01.09.2022, nor during the approval of the CZMP conveyed by MoEF&CC vide letter dated 06.09.2022. Further it is mentioned that the GCZMA cannot raise any objection now on CZMP or on the CZM maps on 1:4000 scale, after recommending them to NCZMA and MoEF&CC having approved

it. It is also stated in this affidavit that the answering respondent has already provided final CZM maps on 1:4000 scale, prepared based on the approved CZMP to respondent No.1 – GCZMA and now the GCZMA may publish the same on their website.

2. Learned counsel for respondent No.1 – GCZMA states that he has not received copy of the counter affidavit filed by respondent No. 9 – NCSCM. Learned counsel for respondent No.9 states that copy of the same has already been served on respondent No.1. However, later on, learned counsel for respondent No.1 admits that he has received the copy of the said affidavit from the learned counsel for the applicant today only and seeks two weeks' time to file reply/rejoinder to the same. We allow the same.

3. After going through the counter affidavit of respondent No.9, we fail to understand as to what is the problem with respondent No.1 in uploading the CZM maps/CZMPs on 1:4000 scale on its website.

4. In compliance with the directions contained in paragraph No.2 of our previous order dated 18.12.2024, respondent No.3 – Environment Department, Government of Goa has submitted its affidavit dated 18.02.2025, wherein it is recorded that the work order dated 08.08.2024 was issued to AHASolar Technologis Limited for development of a Unified Single window Portal for accepting and processing approvals and permissions. The entire work was to be completed within 120 days i.e. before 08.12.2024, but that period has already elapsed. From the side of respondent No.3, additional time of 120 days is sought to complete the task of "Unified Single Window Portal". We allow the same.

5. Put up this matter for next consideration on 28.03.2025.

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. K. Satyagopal, EM

February 19, 2025
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.20/2023(WZ)
npj