

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

(By Video Conferencing)

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.06 OF 2023 (WZ)

Mrs. Patrico Pinto & Ors. Applicants

Versus

State of Goa and Ors.Respondents

Date of Hearing : 27.03.2023

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. VIJAY KULKARNI, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicants : Mr. Nigel Da Costa Frias, Advocate

Respondents : Ms. Supriya Dangare, Advocate for R-2, R-3 and R-4
Ms. Manasi Joshi, Advocate for R-5
Mr. Raghvendra Kulkarni, Advocate holding for
Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate for MoEF&CC
Mr. Abhishek Svant, Advocate for R-6
Mr. Nikhil A. Vaze, Advocate for R-7

ORDER

1. From the side of the applicants, learned counsel Mr. Nigel Da Costa Frias has appeared.
2. From the side of respondent No. 2 – Goa Coastal Zone Management Authority (GCZMA), respondent No. 3 – the Captain of Ports and respondent No. 4 – the Goa State Biodiversity Board, learned counsel Ms. Supriya Dangare has appeared and states that the Joint Committee report could not be filed and it is in the process of being completed. She seeks four weeks' time to file the report. We allow the same by way of last opportunity as considerable delay has already occurred.
3. From the side of respondent No.5 – The Imagine Panaji Smart City Development (IPSCDL), learned counsel Ms. Manasi Joshi has appeared

and states that the reply-affidavit is ready and the same is required to be affirmed. She prays for two weeks' time to file the same. We allow the same.

4. From the side of respondent No. 6 – The Corporation of City of Panaji, learned counsel Mr. Abhishek Savant has appeared and prays for two weeks' time to file the reply-affidavit. The same is allowed.

5. From the side of respondent No.7 – the Goa State Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd., learned counsel Mr. Nikhil Vaze has appeared and states that the reply-affidavit has been filed and copy thereof has been served on other side. He further states that he would be filing vakalatnama for which time may be granted. We allow him to file the vakalatnama within three weeks.

6. From the side of MoEF&CC, learned counsel Mr. Raghvenda Kulkarni holding brief for Mr. Rahul Garg, learned counsel has appeared though MoEF&CC is not a party to the present proceeding.

7. We find that the applicants have failed to file service-affidavit on record though the learned counsel for the applicants states that the applicants have served all the respondents. We direct him to file the service-affidavit on record within two weeks.

8. I.A. No. 81 of 2023 has been filed by the applicants praying for the documents to be taken on record, which have been annexed with the said application. The learned counsel for the applicants states that he has already served copy of the application through e-mail to all other parties. We direct the learned counsel for the applicants to serve copy of the application alongwith the documents on the learned counsel for the respective respondents.

9. Since this application (I.A. No.81/2023) is to bring documents on record, the learned counsel for the respondents before us do not have serious objection. We accordingly allow this application and take these

documents on record. The respondents may file the documents, if any in rebuttal or file additional reply, if they so wish, within a period of two weeks.

10. Put up this matter (O.A.No.06/2023) on 18.05.2023.

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. Vijay Kulkarni, EM

March 27, 2023
O.A. No.06/2023 (WZ)
npj