

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
WESTERN ZONE BENCH, PUNE**

[THROUGH PHYSICAL HEARING (WITH HYBRID OPTION)]

**ORIGINAL APPLICATION NO.147/2023(WZ)
[Earlier Diary No.2704105003662023]**

Irfan Baloch & Ors.

.....Applicants

Versus

MoEF&CC & Ors.

....Respondents

Date of hearing: 01.09.2025

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE DR. VIJAY KULKARNI, EXPERT MEMBER**

Applicants	:	Ms. Sejal Khan, Advocate h/f Ms. Meenaz Kakalia, Advocate
Respondents	:	Mr. Pushkal Mishra, Advocate for R-1/MoEF&CC Dy. Conservator of Forest, for R-4 Mr. Anand Mehta, Advocate along-with Mr. Ishan Joshi, Advocate for R-10 & R-11 Ms. Manvi Damle, Advocate h/f Mr. Maulik Nanavati, Advocate for GPCB

ORDER

1. From the side of applicants, learned counsel Ms. Sejal Khan, holding brief of learned counsel Ms. Meenaz Kakalia, has appeared. An e-mail dated 26.08.2025 has been received from learned counsel Ms. Meenaz Kakalia, praying therein for adjournment of this matter on personal ground.

2. On the previous date, learned counsel Ms. Meenaz Kakalia had undertaken to file her Vakalatnama to represent the applicants. Today, it is submitted by learned counsel Ms. Sejal Khan that the same has already

been filed on 26.08.2025. But the Registry has reported that there is no Vakalatnama on record to have been filed from the side of applicants in the present case. Therefore, we grant her last opportunity of one week to file the same and also explain as to what is the issue involved in the present Original Application and which relief is being sought from this Tribunal because earlier, we could not make out as to what is the actual relief being sought in the present Original Application.

3. Also, we do not find the service affidavit to have been filed in the present Original Application by the applicants. Therefore, we direct learned counsel for the applicants to place on record the same within a week.

4. From the side of Respondent No.1- MoEF&CC, learned counsel Mr. Pushkal Mishra has appeared and seeks two weeks' time to file reply affidavit in this matter. We grant him the said time.

5. From the side of Respondent No.4- Deputy Conservator of Forest, Rajkot, Gujarat, Deputy Conservator of Forest, Morbi has appeared in-person through Video Conferencing, who submits that he does not want to file reply affidavit in this matter and would rely on the Joint Committee Report.

6. From the side of Respondent No.10- Trinethra Wind & Hydro Power Ltd. and for Respondent No.11- Renuable Trinethra Pvt. Ltd., learned counsel Mr. Anand Mehta has appeared, who submits that he would be filing reply affidavit only after submission of the amended Original Application.

7. After having considered the issue involved in this case, we will consider the fact, on the next date, as to whether fresh Committee is required to be constituted in this matter or not?

8. Put up this matter for further consideration on 14.11.2025

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Dr. Vijay Kulkarni, EM

September 01, 2025

ORIGINAL APPLICATION NOS.147 OF 2023 (WZ)

P.Kr