

Item Nos.03&04

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
CENTRAL ZONE BENCH, BHOPAL
(Through Video Conferencing)**

**Original Application No.07/2022(CZ)
With
Original Application No.12/2022(CZ)
(I.A. No.47/2022, I.A. No.100/2023, I.A. No.101/2023
I.A. No.102/2023, I.A. No.103/2023 & I.A. No.06/2024)**

Dr. Subhash C. Pandey

Applicant(s)

Vs.

State of Madhya Pradesh & Ors.

Respondent(s)

Date of Hearing: 04.12.2025

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DINESH KUMAR SINGH, JUDICIAL MEMBER
HON'BLE MR. SUDHIR KUMAR CHATURVEDI, EXPERT MEMBER**

For Applicant (s):

Dr. Subhash C. Pandey, in person
Mr. Harshwardhan Tiwari, Adv.

For Respondent(s):

Mr. Prashant M. Harne, Adv. for State of M.P.
Ms. Parul Bhadoria, Adv. for MPPCB
Mr. Sachin K. Verma, Adv. for BMC
Mr. Om Shankar Shrivastava, Adv. for R-11-14
Mr. Yadvendra Yadav, Adv. for R-15
Mr. Pulkrit Godha, Adv. for R-10
Mr. A.S. Singhdeo, Adv. with
Mr. Ajay Singh, Adv. for Intervener

ORDER

1. From the side of Respondent No.1 - State of Madhya Pradesh, learned Counsel Mr. Prashant M. Harne has appeared and stated that that he could not submit the report in compliance of the Tribunal's previous order because all the staff being busy in Special Intensive Revision (SIR), therefore, four weeks time is sought. We allow the same by way of last opportunity.
2. As per the previous order, the learned Counsel Mr. Enosh Geroage Carlo had raised certain issues in I.As. and sought time to narrate the same,

but today none has appeared to represent him nor any prayer is being made, so no objections could be invited on the said I.As. We make it clear that these I.As., if any, would be dismissed in default on the next date.

3. Mr. Harshwardhan Tiwari, learned Counsel for the Applicant No.2 in O.A. No.12/2022(CZ), is present and requests that he should be served with the copies of the I.As., but we cannot allow the request because the number of I.As. is not disclosed before us.
4. Dr. Subhash C. Pandey, Applicant No.1, (in both the O.As.), appearing in person, states that the adjournment application (which is not before us), moved by the State/Respondent No.1, contains wrong facts because a mention is made in that for removal of encroachment from 33 meter green belt which is not found mentioned in the order of this Tribunal dated 03.11.2025, rather para 1 of the said order states that the State has not made compliance of the directions given in para 7 & 8 of the order dated 17.09.2025, which are as below:-

“7. Accordingly, we direct the Collector, Bhopal and the Chief Municipal Officer, Bhopal, to see and supervise the matter personally and ensure that the encroachments on the State land/Wetland/Kaliyasot are immediately removed within a time frame in due process of law and action taken report with the affidavit of the competent officer may be filed within two weeks.

8. The State Authorities/Collector, Bhopal/Bhopal Municipal Corporation are further directed that the action taken date-wise and fortnightly report must be enumerated in details Tehsil-wise and area-wise so that the assessment of the action taken report by the authorities may be examined. Periodical report of every fortnight should be communicated to this Tribunal.”

5. In view of above submission made by the Applicant, we direct the Respondent/State to submit its clarification and accordingly report be submitted within four weeks without fail.
6. Put up this matter for admission on **30th January, 2026**.

Dinesh Kumar Singh, JM

Sudhir Kumar Chaturvedi, EM

04th December, 2025,
Original Application No.07/2022(CZ)
With
Original Application No.12/2022(CZ)
(I.A. No.47/2022, I.A. No.100/2023, I.A. No.101/2023
I.A. No.102/2023, I.A. No.103/2023 & I.A. No.06/2024)
AK