

**BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI**

Original Application No. 530/2023

Anuj Kumar	Applicant
Versus	
State of Uttarakhand & Ors.	Respondent(s)

With

Original Application No. 495/2023

Mohd Amjad & Ors.	Applicant(s)
Versus	
State of Uttar Pradesh & Ors.	Respondent(s)

With

Original Application No. 369/2024

Monika (Sarpanch)	Applicant
Versus	
State of Uttarakhand & Ors.	Respondent(s)

Date of hearing: 12.02.2025

CORAM:	HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRAKASH SHRIVASTAVA, CHAIRPERSON HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR AGARWAL, JUDICIAL MEMBER HON'BLE DR. A. SENTHIL VEL, EXPERT MEMBER
Applicant:	Mr. Prakash Pandey, Adv. for Applicant in OA 530/2023 (Through VC) Mr. Rahul Khurana, Mr. Hasil Jain, Ms. Farha Khan & Mr. Shaiem Hasan, Advs. for Applicant in OA 495/2023 Ms. Sweksha Prakash, Adv. for Applicant in OA 369/2024 (Through VC)
Respondent:	Mr. Manish Singhvi, Senior Advocate with Mr. Manish Jain, Mr. Jugul Kishore Gupta & Mr. Vikash Kumar Verma, Advs. for R - 7 & 8 Mr. Mukesh Verma & Ms. Vatsala Tripathi, Advs. for UKPCB (Through VC) Mr. Bhupendra Pratap Singh, Mr. Nakul Nirwan & Mr. Anurag

Kumar, Advs. for UPPCB
Mr. Vikrant Pachnanda, Adv. for MoEF & CC in OA 530/2023
Mr. Saurabh Balwani, Adv. for CPCB in OA 530/2023 (Through VC)
Ms. Suman Arora, Adv. for CPCB in OA 369/2024 (Through VC)

ORDER

1. In this Original Application, the applicant has alleged violation of environmental norms by R-7 M/s Rai Bahadur Narayan Singh Sugar Mills.
2. The CPCB in its report dated 22.07.2024 had made recommendations on as many as 24 issues by noticing the compliance status by the project proponent.
3. Respondent No. 7 & 8 have filed the reply dated 04.02.2025 disclosing that it has complied with all the 24 recommendations of the CPCB. Hence, the CPCB is directed to verify the compliance status by the Respondent no. 7 & 8.
4. On perusal of the reply dated 04.02.2025 filed by Respondent no. 7 & 8, we find that at serial no. 8 in the chart therein it is stated that no effluents were discharged by the distillery. The unit has complied with Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) norms as per CPCB but, in paragraph 9 of the reply it is stated that fresh agreements have been executed with 98 farmers and the answering respondent is supplying treated water for irrigation to nearby agricultural land (total 102.22 hectares). Hence, Respondents No. 7 & 8 are required to explain if they are fully compliant with ZLD norms, from where they are supplying the treated water to the farmer and if the treated water is meeting the prescribed standard for irrigation. Respondents No. 7 & 8 are also required to disclose if the Sulphur Recovery System (SRS) has been installed and if yes, the efficacy of its working.

5. Learned Counsel for the applicant submits that the reply filed by Respondents No. 7 & 8 has been uploaded only on 10.02.2025, and he did not have the opportunity to examine the same and obtain instructions in respect thereof. He is granted four weeks to file objection to the reply of the Respondents No. 7 & 8.

6. The original applicant in OA No. 369/2024 has sent a communication that he wants to withdraw the OA. Learned Counsel for the applicant in 369/2024 submits that she does not have any such instructions. She has prayed for time to obtain instruction in this regard.

7. List on 20.05.2025.

Prakash Shrivastava, CP

Sudhir Agarwal, JM

Dr. A. Senthil Vel, EM

February 12, 2025
O.A. No. 530/2023,
O.A. No. 495/2023
and O.A No. 369/2024..