



IN THE NATIONAL COMPANY LAW TRIBUNAL
NEW DELHI BENCH (COURT – II)

Item No. 212
Appeal-172/252/ND/2022
RA-24/ND/2024

IN THE MATTER OF:
Prabhakar Kumar (RP)

...

Appellant

Versus

Orior Developers and Infratech Ltd and RoC ...

Respondent

Under Section: 252(3) Comp. Act.

Order delivered on 12.05.2026

CORAM:

SH. ASHOK KUMAR BHARDWAJ
HON'BLE MEMBER (J)

SH. ATUL CHATURVEDI
HON'BLE MEMBER (T)

PRESENT:

For the ARoC : Kanchan Dimri

For the IT Dept. : Ruchir Bhatia SSC & Pratyaksh Gupta JSC with
Adv Asha Gupta along with Adv Himanshu Dutt

For the RP : Adv. Manvi Jain

Hearing Through: VC and Physical (Hybrid) Mode

ORDER

RA-24/ND/2024: Ms. Manvi Jain, Ld. Counsel submitted that she represented the RP who had preferred the present application viz. RA-24/ND/2024, but now the CIRP qua the company has been withdrawn. In her submission, earlier Mr. Prabhakar Kumar, RP pursued the proceedings, but later Mr. Prabhakar Kumar, RP was replaced with Mr. Rabindra Kumar Mintri, IP. All said and done, today there is no one present to pursue the present proceedings and the Ld. Counsel who represented the RP submitted that now the RP has no role to play in the matter. Besides, on 06.01.2026 the Ld. Counsel for the Income-Tax Department pointed out that the Appellant had not shared the PAN of the company with the department. Thus, we passed the following order:-

“RA-24/ND/2024: *The Ld. Counsel for the Income Tax Department submitted that the Ld. Counsel for the Appellant has not shared PAN of the company with the department. Let the Appellant make its PAN available to Income Tax Department within one week from today.*

There is no appearance on behalf of the RoC.



*Issue fresh notice to the RoC returnable on 10.03.2026. The Applicant undertakes to serve notice upon the RoC through all modes viz. registered post, speed post, courier service and E-mail. Affidavit of service be filed within one week. Reply, if any, may be filed by the RoC within one week from the date of receipt of the notice. List on **10.03.2026.***

*It is made clear that if the reply is not made available to the Income Tax Department by the next date of hearing, we will presume that the Appellant is not interested in pursuing the present application and the same may be rejected as not pressed. List the matter on **10.03.2026.”***

There has been no compliance of the aforementioned order nor anyone is present to pursue the appeal. **Accordingly, the RA-24/ND/2024 is rejected for want of prosecution.**

Sd/-
(ATUL CHATURVEDI)
MEMBER (T)

Sd/-
(ASHOK KUMAR BHARDWAJ)
MEMBER (J)