

Serial No.10
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C). No. 173 of 2025

Date of Order:19.08.2025

Smti. Markynty C. Khongjoh

Vs. State of Meghalaya & Ors.

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Mr. R.Pahsyntiew, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Ms. S.Bhattacharjee, GA for R 1.
Mr. S.Pandey, Adv. for R 3.

An affidavit has been filed by the respondent No. 3 i.e. the State Bank of India, Madanryting Branch, Meghalaya, wherein it is indicated at paragraph 11 that directions have been issued to the Local Head Office, State Bank of India, Ahmedabad, for defreezing the account of the writ petitioner. However, as per the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the same is yet to be acted upon.

Mr. S.Pandey, learned counsel for the respondent No. 3 submits that orders may be issued to direct the Local Head Office, State Bank of India, Ahmedabad, to comply with the direction issued for unfreezing.

This Court on hearing the learned counsel for the parties and also on perusing the letter dated 05-06-2025, issued by the Superintendent of Police, State Cyber Crime Cell, Gandhinagar, Gujarat State finds it difficult to

accept as to why the account is yet to be unfrozen. The letter it is seen, has been issued from the State of Gujarat itself by a competent authority addressed to the Nodal Officer, State Bank of India and there is no reason that the said direction be not complied with.

It may be observed herein that with matters of transaction, it is always submitted that the entire bank system is unified and uniform. It is expected that respondent No. 3 take immediate steps for unfreezing the account of the petitioner, failing which other orders will follow which may even involve payment of cost.

Copy of this order to be given to the learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondent No. 3.

List this matter on 04-09-2025.

Judge

Meghalaya
19.08.2025
"Samantha PS"