


Serial No.26-46
Supplementary List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

Crl. Petn. No.74/2021 with
Crl. Petn. No.75/2021
Crl. Petn. No.76/2021
Crl. Petn. No.77/2021
Crl. Petn. No.78/2021
Crl. Petn. No.79/2021
Crl. Petn. No.80/2021
Crl. Petn. No.81/2021
Crl. Petn. No.82/2021
Crl. Petn. No.83/2021
Crl. Petn. No.84/2021
Crl. Petn. No.85/2021
Crl. Petn. No.86/2021
Crl. Petn. No.87/2021
Crl. Petn. No.88/2021
Crl. Petn. No.89/2021
Crl. Petn. No.90/2021
Crl. Petn. No.91/2021
Crl. Petn. No.92/2021
Crl. Petn. No.93/2021
Crl. Petn. No.94/2021



Date of Order: 17.02.2022

Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Riya Bawri & Ors.
Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri & Ors.
Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Payal Bansal & Ors.
Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri & 3 Ors.
Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri (HUF) & Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Smti. Payal Bansal & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Smti. Riya Bawri & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Shri. Rahat Bawri (HUF) & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Shri. Rahat Bawri & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Smti. Payal Bansal & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Smti. Riya Bawri & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Smti. Payal Bansal & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri (HUF) & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri (HUF) & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri (HUF) & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Sh. Rahat Bawri & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri (HUF) & 3 Ors.

Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Smti. Payal Bansal & 3 Ors.
Mr. Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Smti. Riya Bawri & 3 Ors.
Mark Alexander Davidson	Vs.	Rahat Bawri & Ors.

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice W. Diengdoh, Judge


Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s)	:	Ms. S. Agnihotri, Adv.
For the Respondent(s)	:	Mr. S. Jindal, Adv. (For R/1) Mr. A. H. Kharwanlang, Adv. (R/4) Ms. I. Lyngwa, Adv. (For R/2 & 3)

The petitioner has filed the written argument. However, the learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 has submitted that no written submission will be filed in this case, but the brief submission made is that the said respondents has no objection to the prayer made by the petitioner in these related matters.

Mr. S. Jindal, appears for respondent No.1.

On hearing the parties, this matter is now reserved for judgment.



Judge

Meghalaya
17.02.2022
"Tiprilynti-PS"