

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM  
PRESENT

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN

Wednesday, the 1<sup>st</sup> day of November 2023 / 10th Karthika, 1945

IA.NO.1/2023 IN WP(C) NO. 25401 OF 2023 (A)

APPLICANTS/RESPONDENT NO 1 & 2 IN W.P.(C) No. 25401/2023:

1. THE KERALA HEAD LOAD WORKERS WELFARE BOARD, REPRESENTED BY ITS CHIEF EXECUTIVE KHLWB, SRM ROAD, ERNAKULAM NORTH, KALOOR, ERNAKULA PIN - 682018
2. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER, KERALA HEAD LOAD WORKERS WELFARE BOARD, SRM ROAD, ERANAKULAM., PIN - 682018

RESPONDENTS/PETITIONER & RESPONDENT NO 3 IN W.P.(C) No.25401/2023:

1. SINDHU. A.L, AGED 54 YEARS, W/O MOHANAN NAIR, ACCOUNTS OFFICER KERALA HEAD LOAD WORKERS WELFARE BOARD, KANNUR, RESIDING AT 'GOPIKA', ANCHAPPURA VEEDU, NEAR GOVERNMENT HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, VARKALA, PIN - 695141
2. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEPARTMENT OF LABOUR & SKILL, GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

Application praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed therewith the High Court be pleased to extend the time limit framed in the Judgment of this Hon'ble Court dated 04/08/2023 in WP(C) No.25401/2023 for further period of 1 month in the interest of justice.

This Application coming on for orders upon perusing the application and the affidavit filed in support thereof, and this court's Judgment dated 04.08.2023 and upon hearing the arguments of SRI.K.S.ARUN KUMAR Advocate for Petitioners in I.A/R1 & R2 in WP(C), STANDING COUNSEL for PETITIONER 1 In I.A/R1 in WP(C), GOVERNMENT PLEADER for R2 in I.A/ R3 in WP(C) and of SRI.P.V.MOHANAN, Advocate for R1 in I.A/Petitioner in WP(C) the court passed the following:

ORDER

The petitioners say that the writ petitioner has been reinstated in service in terms of the directions of this Court because the enquiry could not be completed within the time frame fixed and therefore, that they require another one months' time for such purpose.

In the afore circumstance, I allow this I.A; however, clarifying that this has been allowed only because the writ petitioner is stated to have been reinstated in service.

Sd/- DEVAN RAMACHANDRAN, JUDGE