IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA

KALABURAGI BENCH

DATED THIS THE 5TH DAY OF OCTOBER, 2023

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE E.S.INDIRESH

WRIT PETITION NO. 200441 OF 2023 (S-PRO)

BETWEEN:

SMT. RUKMINI W/O BABURAO
AGE: 36 YEARS
OCC: CLERK CUM DATA ENTRY OPERATOR
GRAM PANCHAYAT, KHERDA-B
R/O DHANGARWADI, TQ. BASAVAKALYAN
DIST. BIDAR.

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. SANDEEP VIJAYKUMAR, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE STATE OF KARNATAKA
   DEPARTMENT OF PANCHAYAT RAJ
   M.S.BUILDING, BANGALORE-560001.
   THROUGH ITS PRINCIPAL SECRETARY

2. THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
   ZILLA PANCHAYAT, BIDAR-585401.

3. THE APPOINTMENT/
   DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY
   AND DEPUTY SECRETARY
   ZILLA PANCHAYAT, BIDAR-585401.

4. THE EXECUTIVE OFFICER
   TALUKA PANCHAYAT
   BASAVAKALYAN
   DIST. BIDAR-585327.

5. MALLIKARJUN S/O MOULESH
   AGED ABOUT 45 YEARS,

Digitally signed by VARSHA N RASALKAR
Location: High Court Of Karnataka

OCC: CLERK CUM DATA ENTRY OPERATOR
GRAM PANCHAYAT, YERANDI
TQ. B. KALYAN, DIST. BIDAR.

…RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.GOPALKRISHNA B. YADAV, HCGP FOR R1;
SRI.PRASHANTH S.KUMMAN, ADVOCATE FOR R2 TO R4;
NOTICE TO R-5 IS HELD SUFFICIENT)

THIS WRIT PETITION IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE WRIT OF CERTIORARI THEREBY SETTING ASIDE THE IMPUGNED ORDER DATED 25.01.2023 IN FILE NO.ZP/GP/CR-94/2022-23/11453-504 PASSED BY RESPONDENT NO.3 AS PER ANNEXURE-G AND ETC.,

THIS PETITION COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY, THE COURT MADE THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the parties.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that he is not pressing for prayer No.1 in the writ petition.

3. Having taken note of the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing for the parties, I have perused the writ papers.

4. The petitioner is working as Clerk-Cum-Data Entry Operator in Kherda-B Gram Panchayat,

Basavakalyan, Bidar District. It is the grievance of the petitioner that though the petitioner has put in more than 13 years of service, case of the petitioner has not been considered by the respondent authorities for promotion. In this regard, the petitioner has made representation dated 27.01.2023 (Annexure-H) to respondent No.2. However, the same has not been considered by the respondent authorities.

5. In that view of the matter, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** directing respondent No.2 to consider the representation dated 27.01.2023 (Annexure-H) within an outer limit of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
JUDGE

NB
CT:SI
List No.: 1 Sl No.: 11