



Nehar Singh versus HPU a/w connected matter

CWP No. 785 of 2023
a/w CWP No. 807 of 2023

12.04.2023 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Senior Advocate, with Mr. Rocky, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Shourya Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Arush Matlotia, Advocate, for respondent No. 3.

CMP No. 3595 of 2023 in CWP No. 785 of 2023
CMP No. 3594 of 2023 in CWP No. 807 of 2023

By way of these applications, under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC, the petitioners-applicants have prayed that they may be permitted to insert para E, after para D in the petitions, which reads as under:

“That provisions 6.67 as contained in University ordinance is unfair, unjust and is unlawful. It is submitted that a student cannot be made to suffer to a fault being committed by the authorities. It is stated that said clause is clearly absurd and is irrational, therefore, is liable to be quashed and set aside.”

2. In addition to this, the prayer clause has also been sought to be amended, as under:

“That appropriate writ, order or direction may kindly be issued and clause 6.67 of the ordinance may very kindly be quashed and set aside.”

3. Both the applications are duly supported by the affidavits.

4. The amended writ petitions have been annexed with the respective writ petitions.

-: 2 :-

5. The applications seem to be formal in nature. As such, both the applications are allowed and the petitioners are permitted to amend the writ petitions. The amended writ petitions are ordered to be placed on record, in the respective writ petitions.

6. The applications are disposed of accordingly.

CWPs No. 785 & 807 of 2023

7. Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

April 12, 2023
(rajni)