



Sahib Singh Vs. State of H.P.

Cr.MP(M) No.1055 of 2023

12.5.2023 Present: Mr. Heena Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sharmila Patiyal, Additional Advocate General,
Mr. Arsh Rattn and Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy
Advocate Generals, for the respondent.

ASI Suresh Kumar, I/O P.S. West is present in person

Status report filed. For consideration to come up on

19.5.2022.

**12.5.2023
(mamta)**

**(Virender Singh)
Judge**

Cr.MMO No.367 of 2023

Statement of Smt. Anita Devi, W/o Shri Rattan
Chand, R/O Village Majheli, P.O Kangoo, Tehsil
Nadaun, Distt. Hamirpur, H.P.

On Oath
12.5.2023

Stated that the petitioner is my husband and FIR No.129 of 2018 dated 3.11.2018 was registered at my instance against him with Police Station Naduan, District Hamirpur. Now, with the intervention of the respectables families, dispute between us has been resolved amicably. The dispute has been resolved, as we both are interested to reside jointly in the matrimonial home.

In view of the settlement between us and the fact that we are residing jointly in the matrimonial home, I do not want to proceed further with this case and as such, I have no objection if the FIR in question as well as the proceedings resultant thereto is quashed and set aside.

RO&AC

12.5.2023
(mamta)

(Virender Singh)
Judge

Cr.MMO No.367 of 2023

Statement of Shri Rattan Chand, S/o Shri Hoshier Singh,
R/O Village Majheli, P.O Kangoo, Tehsil Nadaun, Distt.
Hamirpur, H.P.

On Oath
12.5.2023

Stated that due to some matrimonial discord, respondent No.2 has lodged FIR No.129 of 2018 dated 3.11.2018 with Police Station Naduan, District Hamirpur against me. Now, with the intervention of the respectables of the families, the dispute between us has been resolved amicably. Now, we are residing jointly in the matrimonial home happily. This compromise has been effected in order to save our future relation.

In view of the settlement between us, FIR in question as well as resultant proceedings may kindly be quashed and set aside.

RO&AC

12.5.2023
(mamta)

(Virender Singh)
Judge

Vinod Kumar Vs. State of H.P.

Cr.MP(M) No.1041 of 2023

12.5.2023 Present: Mr. Ashok K. Tyagi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sharmila Patiyal, Additional Advocate General,
Mr. Arsh Rattn and Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy
Advocate Generals, for the respondent.

ASI Moti Lal, P.S. Pachhad is present in person

Status report filed. For consideration to come up on
19.5.2022. I.O is directed to remain present in the Court on
the next date of hearing alongwith records.

12.5.2023
(mamta)

(Virender Singh)
Judge

High Court of H.P.

Suresh Kumar Vs. Rameshwar Dass

Cr.Revision No.160 of 2023

12.5.2023 Present: Mr. Saurav Thakur, Advocate vice Mr. Sarthak Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. J.N. Kanen, Advocate, for the respondent.

Records received. However, compliance report not received from the learned Trial Court. Let reminder be issued to the learned Trial Court to submit the compliance report.

At this stage, it has been submitted by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner that there are chances of amicable settlement between the parties. For that, both the parties are directed to appear before this Court on 16.6.2023.

12.5.2023
(mamta)

(Virender Singh)
Judge

High Court of H.P.

Parkash Chand Vs. LAO

Arb.Case No.67 of 2023

12.5.2023 Present: Ms. Shabnam, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sharmila Patiyal, Additional Advocate General,
with Mr. Arsh Rattan and Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy
Advocate Generals, for respondent No.1

Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Reply is stated to have been filed, however, the same
is not on record. Registry is directed to trace and place the
same on record, even if the same is lying under objection.

List on 19.5.2023.

12.5.2023
(mamta)

(Virender Singh)
Judge

High Court of H.P.

Dine Ram & ors. Vs. Special LAO & ors.

Arb.Case No.70 of 2023

12.5.2023 Present: Ms. Shabnam, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sharmila Patiyal, Additional Advocate General,
with Mr. Arsh Rattan and Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy
Advocate Generals, for respondent No.1

Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Reply is stated to have been filed, however, the same
is not on record. Registry is directed to trace and place the
same on record, even if the same is lying under objection.

List on 19.5.2023.

12.5.2023
(mamta)

(Virender Singh)
Judge

High Court of H.P.

Hoshiar Singh & ors. Vs. NHAI and anr.

Arb.Case No.69 of 2023

12.5.2023 Present: Ms. Shabnam, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Ms. Sharmila Patiyal, Additional Advocate General,
with Mr. Arsh Rattan and Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy
Advocate Generals, for respondent No.2.

Reply is stated to have been filed, however, the same
is not on record. Registry is directed to trace and place the
same on record, even if the same is lying under objection.

List on 19.5.2023.

12.5.2023
(mamta)

(Virender Singh)
Judge

High Court of H.P.

Iqbal Mohd. And ors. Vs. LAO & anr.

Arb.Case No.68 of 2023

12.5.2023 Present: Ms. Shabnam, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Sharmila Patiyal, Additional Advocate General,
with Mr. Arsh Rattan and Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy
Advocate Generals, for respondent No.1

Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Reply is stated to have been filed, however, the same
is not on record. Registry is directed to trace and place the
same on record, even if the same is lying under objection.

List on 19.5.2023.

12.5.2023
(mamta)

(Virender Singh)
Judge

High Court of H.P.

High Court of H.P.

(mamta)

High Court of H.P.

High Court of H.P.

Brij Mohan Vs. Upendra Prasad Singh and others

COPC No.232 of 2019

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Athrav Sharma, for the petitioner.

None for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G with Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Y.W.Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Heard for some time. It appears that certain other cases relating to the same subject matter are pending before this Court. Therefore, it would be appropriate to hear all these matters together. Let learned counsel for the parties give the details of such cases within one week from today.

List on 31.3.2022.

17.3.2022
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

Munshi Ram Dogra Vs. HPSEB and ors.

CWPOA No.3258 of 2019

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruma Kaushik, Advocate, for the respondents.

Heard in part. A perusal of the writ petition would go to indicate that the case of the petitioner is based entirely on the ground of parity with one Shamsher Singh, who had in fact filed CWP No.4572 of 2009 titled as Shamsher Singh Vs. HPSEB before this Court. The specific ground regarding parity has been raised in ground No.C of this writ petition but there is no specific reply to the said ground. That apart, while rejecting the claim of the petitioner vide order dated 11.7.2011 (Annexure P-12 and Annexure RA-IV), even though a mention to the case of Shamsher Singh has been made in para Nos.2 and 3 of this order, but how the case of the petitioner is not similar to Shamher Singh, has not been clearly spelt out.

In such circumstances, let respondents to file a supplementary affidavit clearly stating therein as to how the case of the petitioner is different from the case of Shamsher Singh in which admittedly the recovery(ies) have been quashed.

List on 7.4.2022.

17.3.2022
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

Lalman Vs. Hardev Ram and ors.

RSA No.406 of 2018

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. G.R. Palsra, Advocate, for the L.Rs No.1(a) to 1(d) of the appellant.

Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate, vice counsel for respondent No.1.

CMP(M) No.265 of 2022

By medium of this application, the pplicants have sought for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased appellant, who is stated to have died on 24.12.2021. Since the application is within time, therefore, legal representatives of deceased sole appellant, as mentioned in para-1 of the application, are ordered to be brought on record.

The application stand disposed of. Amended memo accompanying the application is ordered to be taken on record.

Power of attorney on behalf of L.Rs of sole appellant has also been filed by Sh. G.R.Palsra.

17.3.2022
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

General Manager Northern Railway Vs. Bimla Devi and ors.

RFA No.90 of 2019

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Kiran Lata, Advocate, vice counsel for the appellant.

Mr. Dheeraj K. Vashisht, Advocate, for respondents No.1, 2, 3(a) to 3(c), 4(a), 4(c) and 5.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. AG with Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Dheeraj K. Vashisht, Advocate states that he has instructions to appear on behalf of respondent No.4(b) and would be filing power of attorney on behalf of said respondent.

Respondent No.6 is yet to be served. Let notice be issued for the service of respondent No.6 returnable for 21.4.2022, on taking steps within two days.

17.3.2022
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

Oriental Insurance Comapny Ltd. Vs. Smt. Hira Devi and others

FAO No.417 of 2012

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Sarthak Mehta, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Ms. Abhilasha, Advocate, vice Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No.3(a) to 3(d).

Ms. Abhilasha, Advocate appearing vice Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate states that Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate is now representing LRs No.3(a) to 3(d) and power of attorney has also been filed by her. Her statement is taken on record. Registry is directed to trace and place the same on record.

Let reply to CMP(M) No.908 of 2021 be filed on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 7.4.2022.

17.3.2022
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

Rishi Raj Gupta Vs. Sandeep Kumar and anr.

COPC No.153 of 2021

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Manohar Lal Sharma, Advocate, vice Mr. R.S. Gautam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for the respondents.

The judgment dated 24.4.2021 has been complied with. Therefore, no orders are required to be passed. In case, the petitioner is still aggrieved, then he shall be at liberty to have this petition revived by making oral request to this effect without filing application.

17.3.2022
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

General Manager Nothern Railway Vs. Lekh Raj and ors.

RFA No.74 of 2019

17.3.2022 Present: Ms. Kiran Lata, Advocate, vice counsel for the appellant.

Mr. Dheeraj K. Vashisht, Advocate, for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G with Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law officer, for the respondents-State.

CMP No.2283 of 2022

Heard. In the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, let 50% of the awarded amount alongwith upto date interest be released in favour of the claimants as per their shares, as mentioned in para No.5 of the application by remitting the same to their respective bank accounts, as given in annexure P-1 accompanying the application. The application stands disposed of.

17.3.2022
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

Joginder Singh and anr. Vs. Ram Chand Thakur

RSA No.404 of 2019

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Y.P. Sood, Advocate, for the appellants/non-applicants.

Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate, for the respondent-applicant.

CMP No.1031 of 2022

Heard. Since the respondent is 86 years of age, therefore, the application for early hearing is allowed. The application stands disposed of.

RSA No.404 of 2019

List for final hearing on 5.5.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

17.3.2022
(mamta)

Union of India Vs. Durga Devi and anr.

R.P No.111 of 2021

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for the petitioner.

Mr. G.C. Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Meera Devi,
Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.G with Mr. Rajat
Chauhan, Law Officer, for respondent No.2.

CMP(M) No.504 of 2020

Reply to the application on behalf of respondent No.1
is stated to have been filed, however, the same is not on record.
Registry is directed to trace the same and place it on record.

List alongwith CMP(M) No.385 of 2020 on 24.3.2022.

17.3.2022
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

High Court of H.P.

Kanta Devi Vs. Tek Chand and others

CMPMO No.65 of 2022

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate, vice Mr. H.S. Rangra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar, Advocate appearing vice Mr. H.S. Rangra, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment on the ground that original counsel is not available as he has to go to hospital.

List on 24.3.2022, as prayed for.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

17.3.2022
(mamta)

Kirpa Ram Sharma Vs. Pawan Kumar and others

COPC No.47 of 2022

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Durga Singh Kainthla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Issue Dasti notice for the service of respondent
No.1 returnable for 31.3.2022, on taking steps within one day.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

17.3.2022
(mamta)

Harjit Singh and ors. Vs. Vijay Kumar and others

RSA No.451 of 2015

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Sumeet Raj Sharma, Advocate for the appellants/non-applicants.

Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate, for respondents No,1 and 2/applicants.

CMP No.2344 of 2022

Notice. Mr. Sumeet Raj Sharma, Advocate appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the appellant. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply/instructions.

List on 31.3.2022.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

17.3.2022
(mamta)

State of Himachal Pradesh Vs. Nandu

Cr.A No.161 of 2020

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl.A.G with Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer, for the appellant.

None for the respondent.

Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate regrets for his non-appearance on behalf of the respondent before this Court on 16.3.2022 and states that probably Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate is representing the respondent in this case and his presence has been marked wrongly in the previous order sheets. Fortunately, Mr. Vinod Chahan, Advocate is present in the Court, who states that it was on the instructions of the client that he had put in appearance before the learned Addiitonal Registrar (Judicial) on 10.9.2019, but thereafter, the respondent did not contact him.

Since there is no appearance on behalf of the respondent, let non-bailable warrants in the sum of Rs.10,000/- be issued for securing the presence of the respondent returnable on 21.4.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

17.3.2022
(mamta)

Parkasho Devi and ors. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh and ors.

CWPOA No.1616 of 2019 a/w CWPOA Nos. 4551, 4368, 4536, 4542, 4570, 4785, 4814, 4861, 4869, 4870, 4940, 4945, 5461 of 2019, 557 of 2020 and CWP Nos. 7965 of 2019 & 1096 of 2021

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Dilip Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Manish Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl.A.G with Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer for the respondents-State.

List on 31.3.2022, as prayed for.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

17.3.2022
(mamta)

Harjinder Singh Vs. Himachal Wakf Board and ors.

C.R. No.159 of 2003 a/w C.R Nos. 165 of 2004 and 26 of 2005

C.R Nos.159 of 2003 and 165 of 2004

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. Bhupender Gupta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. R.K. Bawa, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Ajay Kumar
Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent-Wakf Board

Respondents No.2 to 9 ex parte

C.R No.26 of 2005

Mr. R.K. Bawa, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Ajay Kumar
Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Gupta, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Janesh Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Respondents No.2 to 9 ex parte

List on 24.3.2022, as prayed for.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

17.3.2022
(mamta)

Jeet Singh Vs. State of H.P.

CWPOA No.7383 of 2019 ◆

17.3.2022 Present: Ms. Ranjana Pathania, Advocate vice Ms. Archana Dutt, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl.A.G with Mr. Rajat Chauhan, Law Officer for the respondents-State.

At the request of learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, list on 21.4.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

17.3.2022
(mamta)

Kamal Kant and anr. Vs. Jyoti Prakash and ors.

RSA No.59 of 2022

17.3.2022 Present: Mr. R.L. Chaudhary, Advocate for the appellants.

Suit filed by the plaintiffs-appellants was not maintainable in view of the earlier decree Ext.DW1/B dated 21.1.1985. The remedy, if any, available to the appellants was to file objections in the Execution Petition. Confronted with this, learned counsel for the appellant prays for an adjournment to obtain further instructions in the matter.

List on 7.4.2022.

CMP No. 2621/2022

The application, as prayed for, is allowed and the applicants are exempted from filing the certified copy of the Trial Court Judgment in question. The application stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

17.3.2022
(mamta)

CWPOA No.1764 of 2019 a/w
CWPOA No.1802 of 2019

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.G., for the respondents.

Heard in part. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the issue in these writ petitions is covered by a judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No.1436 of 2014 titled Jai Singh Vs. State of H.P. He prays for and is granted two days time to go through the judgment.

List on 29.12.2021.

24.12.2021
(mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

Cr.MP(M) No. 1018/2018

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. Rahul, Advocate, vice Mr. Anu Tuli Azta,
Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.G for the
respondents-State.

Mr. J.L. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for respondent No.14

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner prays
for an adjournment on the ground that the petitioner has
applied for certain documents under RTI. Prayer being not
opposed is allowed.

List on 3rd March, 2022.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

CR No. 169/2019

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. J.L. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. R. L. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the
respondent alongwith Smt.Sujata, respondent.

List on 29.12.2021, when both the parties or their
authorized representatives shall remain present in the Court.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

COPC No. 104/2017

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. P.D. Nanda, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Naveen Awasthy, Advocate vice Mr. Rajesh Verma, Advocate, for respondents No.1, 2 and 5.
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.G for respondents-State.

CMP No.15735 of 2021

On the last date of hearing, Executive Director, H.P State Forest Development Corporation, Shimla was directed to remain present before this Court. Now, the instant application has been filed seeking exemption from personal appearance before this Court. The application is allowed and disposed of.

However, the Executive Director, H.P State Forest Development Corporation, Shimla shall remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 5.1.2022.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

24.12.2021 Present: Mr.Suneet Goel, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok Thakur, Advocate, vice counsel for the respondent.

At the request of learned counsel appearing for the respondent, list on 29.12.2021.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

RFA No.17/2020

24.12.2021 Present: Mr.Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.G, for the appellants.
Mr. Varun Chandel, Advocate, vice counsel for the respondent No.1.

CMP(M) No. 1236 of 2021 and 1237 of 2021

By medium of these applications, the appellants/applicants have sought for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent No.1, who is stated to have died on 2.8.2020 after seeking condonation of delay. Since the application is within time in terms of orders passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, therefore, delay in filing of the application for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent no.1 is condoned and abatement, if any, is also set aside. The legal representatives of deceased respondent No.1, as mentioned in para-4 of the application, are ordered to be brought on record. The applications stand disposed of. Amended memo accompanying the applications is ordered to be taken on record.

RFA No.17 of 2020

Issue notice to the newly added respondents returnable on 11.3.2022, on taking steps within ten days.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

CMP(M) No. 126/2019 a/w CMP(M)
Nos. 1250 to 1261 of 2019, 1490, 1491
of 2019, 921 and 922 of 2020

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.G, for the applicants/appellants.

Mr. Rupinder Singh, Advocate, for respondents No.1, 4, 5, 7, 12, 17, 18, 22, 28 to 31, 38, 43, 44, 49, 50, 55, 57, 59, 60, 61, 63, 64, 65, 67, 68, 69, 79, 83, 85, 87 and 88.

CMP(M) No. 921 and 922 of 2020

Issue notice to the proposed LR's of deceased respondent No.3, as mentioned in para 4 of the application, returnable for 3rd March, 2022, on taking steps within ten days.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

**RFA No. 51/2017 a/w RFA Nos.140, 141, 148,
151 to 164 of 2016, 235 and 420 of 2019**

24.12.2021 Present: M/s. Vivek Negi, Rupinder Thakur, Shashi Kiran, J.S. Kanwar and Brajesh Saklani, Advocates for the respective appellants.

Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.G for the respondents-State.

M/s. Vivek Negi, Rupinder Singh, Shashi Kiran Advocates, for the respective respondents.

CMP(M) No. 832 of 2021 in RFA No.148 of 2016

By medium of this application, the applicant has sought for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased respondent No.8, who is stated to have died on 3.9.2011. Therefore, the legal representatives of deceased respondent No.8, as mentioned in para-3 of the application, are ordered to be brought on record. The application stands disposed of.

List all the matters on 29.12.2021.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

C.R No.116 of 2017

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Sr. Advocate, with Ms. Rinki Kashmiri, Advocate for the petitioner-non/applicant.

Mr. R.L. Sood, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Sanjivni Sood, Advocate, for the respondent-applicant.

CMP No. 13061 of 2021

Notice. Ms. Rinki Kashmiri, Advocate appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the non-applicant. Reply to the application be filed within eight weeks.

List on 2nd March, 2022.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

FAO (MVA) No.495 of 2017 a/w FAO No.483 of 2017

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. Manish, Advocate vice Mr. Jagdish Thakur,
Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for respondents
No.1 to 3.

Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

FAO No. 483 of 2017

Steps for the service of appellant No.3 are still
awaited. Let the needful be done within a period of one week,
failing which the appeal shall be dismissed for want of
prosecution.

List on 30.12.2021.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

FAO (WCA) No.417 of 2012

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Sarthak Mehta, Advocate, for respondents
No.1 and 2.

Mr. Rukinder Thakur, Advocate, vice counsel for
L.Rs of respondent No.3.

Power of attorney on behalf of the L.Rs No. 3(a) to
3(d) is stated to have been filed. Reply be filed on or before the
next date of hearing.

List on 25.2.2022.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

CMPMO No.295 of 2012

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. N.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr.R.K. Bawa, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Basant,
Advocate, for the L.Rs of respondent No.1.

CMP (M) No.1042 of 2021

Reply be filed within 8 weeks.

List on 25.2.2022.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

RSA No.456 of 2018 a/w RSA No.457 of 2018

24.12.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Ajay Thakur, Advocate, for the appellants.

None for respondents.

Notice issued for the service of respondents No.7 to 12 are stated to be awaited. Let the same be awaited for further three weeks.

List on 2nd March, 2022.

24.12.2021 (mamta)

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

CWP No.7574/2021

1.12.2021 Present: Ms. Kamlesh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 2.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

1.12.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.7567/2021

1.12.2021 Present: Mr. Rajneesh K. Lal, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Rajneesh K. Lal, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two days time to implead the owner of the property as respondent.

List on 3.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

1.12.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.7566/2021

1.12.2021 Present: Ms. Veena Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 2.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

1.12.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.7501/2021

29.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents-
No.1 and 2.

Mr. Angrej Kapoor, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Leaving all questions of law open, **Admit.** Tag
with CWPOA No.1494 of 2019.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.11.2021
(mamta)

High Court of H.P.

29.11.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

Mr. Rajiv Jiwan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajit Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Surinder Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No.4 and 5.

Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, Advocate, for respondents No.6 to 15 (except respondent No.12).

Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for respondents No.16 to 20.

Heard in part. List for continuation on 1.12.2021 when the relevant material relating to the amendment in the Rules be produced for perusal.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.11.2021
(mamta)

29.11.2021 Present: Mr. Rajiv Rai, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate for respondents No.3 and 7.

Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

Mr. Dalip K. Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.10 and 11.

Reply on behalf of respondents No.10 and 11 is stated to have been filed, however, the same is not on record. Registry is directed to trace the same and place it on record.

Rejoinder to the reply(ies) be filed within two weeks. List for consideration on 20.12.2021. Additional documents/pleadings, if any, be also filed in the meanwhile.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.11.2021
(mamta)

FAO (FC) No. 63/2021

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, for the appellant.

CMP(M) No.1086/2021

The present application has been filed seeking condonation of delay. The appeal is within time in terms of order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court. Therefore, the application seeking condonation of delay in filing of the appeal is clearly misconceived and is disposed of as such.

FAO (FC) No. 63/2021 & CMP No. 13968/2021

Issue notice to the respondent returnable for 4.12.2021, on taking steps within two days. Only on the deposit of the decretal amount alongwith interest within a period of four weeks, operation and execution of the impugned judgment dated 9.3.2020 in Civil Suit No. 242/2013 passed by the learned Principal Judge, Family Court, Hamirpur, H.P, is ordered to be stayed.

Records of the case be also called for.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

27.11.2021 Present: Ms. Ekta, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Despite opportunity having been granted way back on 17.3.2020 to file the rejoinder, the petitioner has failed to file the same. As a matter of sheer indulgence and by way of last opportunity, two weeks' further time is granted to file rejoinder, failing which right to file the same shall be deemed to be closed.

List on 13.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.6274 of 2021

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. B.N. Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for the respondents.

Leaving all question of law open, issue notice. Mr. Balram Sharma, learned ASGI, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

Admit. List after sixteen weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

High Court of H.P.

CWP No.7440 of 2021

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Tarun K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent-State.

CWP No.7440 of 2021 & CMP No. 13964/2021

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the transfer of the petitioner has been effected solely on the basis of D.O Note bearing No.340908 dated 17.11.2021. In such circumstances, issue notice. Mr. Rajinder Dogra, learned Senior Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. Issue Dasti notice for the service of respondent No.3 returnable for 6.12.2021.

In the meanwhile, impugned order of transfer dated 24.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) qua the petitioner is ordered to be stayed.

Copy Dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.7446 of 2021

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Surender Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Lakshay Thakur, Advocate for the respondent.

Notice. Mr. Lakshay Thakur, Advocate appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply/instructions.

List on 13.12.2021.

Copy Dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.7453 of 2021

27.11.2021 Present: M/s. Prashant Sharma and Ajit Sharma,
Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Narinder Thakur, Advocate, for the
respondent.

Notice. Mr. Narinder Thakur, Advocate appears
and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent.

Heard. It is rather shocking that the appeal of the
petitioner, which was required to be dealt with by the Board of
Directors in accordance with the Rules, has been simply
rejected on the ground of limitation by General Manager of the
Bank.

On this, learned counsel for the respondent prays
for and is granted two days' time to seek instructions.

List on 30.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

Cr.WP No.13 of 2021

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No.2, 4 and 5-State.

SI Rajesh Kumar, I/O, P.S. Dehra

Today status report has been filed and the same is ordered to be taken on record. Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to obtain further instructions in the matter.

Presence of SI Rajesh Kumar, Investigating Officer, P.S. Dehra is dispensed with for the next date of hearing.

List on 18.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWPOA No.8096 of 2019

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Mehar Chand, Advocate, for the petitioner,
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur,
Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has died and he has filed the application for bringing
his LRs on record. However, the same is not on record.
Registry is directed to trace the same and place it on record.

List on 13.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.1658 of 2020

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No.1 to 3-State.

Mr. Harish Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Respondent No.4 has been served but no one has put in appearance on his behalf.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder.

List for consideration on 18.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWPOA No.1841 of 2020

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. R.L. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Reply is yet to be filed. Let the same be filed within four weeks

List on 4.1.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.1439 of 2019

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Chaman Singh, Advocate vice Mr. Atul Jhingan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Mr. K.D. Sood, Senior Advocate with Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Chaman Singh, Advocate appearing vice Mr. Atul Jhingan, Advocate for the petitioner prays for an adjournment. Prayer not opposed. Granted.

List on 13.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.7079 of 2021

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Admit. List on 13.12.2021 alongwith CWP No.2500 of 2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.7080 of 2021

27.11.2021 Present: Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Admit. List on 13.12.2021 alongwith CWP
No.2500 of 2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No. 7393/2021

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev K. Suri, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No.1 and 2-State.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Motta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

CWP No. 7393/2021 & CMP No. 13817/2021

Notice confined to respondents No. 1 to 3. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Motta, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents.

List on 27.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

FAO (FC) No. 47/2021

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Amit Jamwal, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. K.D. Sood, Senior Advocate with Mr. Het Ram, Advocate, for the respondent.

Mr. Het Ram, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent. The instant case is a matrimonial dispute, therefore, it is incumbent upon this Court to make an endeavour for amicable settlement. Let both the parties remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 16.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

FAO (FC) No. 45/2021

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Senior Advocate with Mr. Amit Jamwal, Advocate, for the appellant.

Ms. Hema Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondent.

Ms. Hema Chauhan, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent by filing memo of appearance. She prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file power of attorney on behalf of the respondent.

The instant case is a matrimonial dispute, therefore, it is incumbent upon this Court to make an endeavour for amicable settlement. Let both the parties remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 13.12.2021 alongwith FAO No.59 of 2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.1625/2017

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the petitioners-State.

Mr. C.N.Singh, Advocate, for the respondent.

Court time is over. List on 16.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

**CWP No.1599 of 2020 a/w CWP Nos. 1686
to 1688, 1695, 1696 and 1851 of 2020**

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Sr.Advocate with Mr. Peeyush Verma, Mr. Lovneesh Kanwar and Mr. Devinder K. Sharma, Advocates for the respective petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Court time is over. As prayed for, list on
16.12.2021.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

**(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge**

25.11.2021
(mamta)

Cr.A No.141/2019

25.11.2021 Present: Ms. Lalita Verma, Advocate, for the appellant

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Court time is over. List after six weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

Cr.A No.615/2019 a/w Cr.A No.589 of 2019

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant(s)

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents State.

Court time is over. List after six weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

Cr.A No.383/2020 a/w Cr.A No.118 of 2020

Cr.A. No.383 of 2020

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Gautam Sood, Advocate, for the appellant

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Cr.A. No.118/2020

Mr. Vinod Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant(s)

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Court time is over. List after six weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

High Court of H.P.

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Senior Advocate with Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Rajiv Rai, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

After hearing the parties, we are of the considered view that Smt. Veena Devi, W/o Shri Jai Chand, R/o Village Javi, P.O Darla, Tehsil Arki, District Solan, H.P is a necessary party. Therefore, she is ordered to be impleaded as party respondent, who shall figure as respondent No.6.

Issue Dasti notice for the service of newly added respondent returnable for 9.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Devender K Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.
Ms. Leena Guleria, Advocate, for the respondent.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

CWPOA No.7002/2020

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.2-State.

Admit. Tag alongwith CWPOA Nos. 6883, 6885, 6887 and 6891 2020.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.221/2021

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Mr. R.K. Gautam, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Narender Singh Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.3.

List alongwith CWPOA No.4695 of 2019 on
9.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

CWPOA No.6405/2020 a/w CWP No.2014 of 2021

CWPOA No.6405/2020

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. D.K. Khanna, Advocate for respondent No.2.

CWP No.2014 of 2021

Mr. C.S. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate for respondent No.3.

CMP-T No.759 of 2021 in CWPOA No.6405/2020

Since pleadings are not complete, therefore, application for early hearing is dismissed.

CWPOA No.6405/2020 & CWP No.2014/2021

Respondents are directed to file reply(s) within two weeks, failing which the erring respondents shall personally appear before this Court alongwith the relevant records on the next date of hearing.

List alongwith CWPOA No.2929 of 2020 on 9.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.6187/2020

25.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

25.11.2021 Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.2-State.

It appears that the dues as admissible to the petitioner are not being released simply for want of approval of Board of Directors. The respondent-Board ought to be kind to the petitioner, who is 76 years old.

Given the facts and circumstances of the case, we direct the respondent-authorities to convene a meeting of the Board of Directors either virtually or physically before 15.12.2021 and report compliance on the next date of hearing.

List on 16.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.11.2021
(mamta)

CWPOA No.3823/2021

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. Aman Parth, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

CMP No.13758 of 2021

Mr. Aman Parth, learned counsel for the petitioner has filed this application for furnishing the security. He states that the co-sharers have simply submitted NOCs without submitting separate security bonds. Therefore, before proceeding further to consider this application, let the security bond be furnished within a period of one week.

CMP No.13760 of 2021

Allowed and disposed of.

CWP No. 3823 of 2021

Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 16.11.2021. The same are ordered to be taken on record.

List on 1.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

CWPOA No.6887/2020

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.2-State.

Mr. Vikas Rajput, learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that inadvertently reply could not be filed. He prays for and is granted one weeks' time to file reply.

Admit. Tag alongwith CWPOA Nos. 6883, 6885, 6891 and 7002 of 2020.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

CWPOA No.6891/2020

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.2-State.

Mr. Vikas Rajput, learned counsel for respondent No.1 states that inadvertently reply could not be filed. He prays for and is granted one weeks' time to file reply.

Admit. Tag alongwith CWPOA Nos. 6883, 6885, 6887 and 7002 of 2020.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

24.11.2021 Present: Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner,
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur,
Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **Admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

CWPOA No.8/2021

24.11.2021 Present: Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner,
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur,
Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **Admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. J. L. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Dinesh Kumar Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Vijay Singh Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, learned Senior Additional Advocate General states that he is under instruction not to file any independent reply on behalf of the State and he adopts the reply filed by respondent No.3. His statement is taken on record.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned counsel for respondent No.5 states that even though he had earlier filed reply but the same is not on record. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file fresh reply. Rejoinder already stands filed.

List on 1.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP Nos.404 and 405 of 2021

24.11.2021 Present: Ms. Suman Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, learned Senior Additional Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 11.11.2021. Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to obtain instructions from her client.

List on 8.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.3458/2021

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. Virender Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Virender Katoch, Advocate, vice Mr. K.S. Banyal, Senior Advocate, for respondents No.4 and 5.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, learned Senior Additional Advocate General states that he is under instruction not to file reply on behalf of the State. His statement is taken on record.

Rejoinder to the reply filed on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 be filed within three weeks.

List on 15.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

**CWP No.1011/2021 a/w CWP Nos.995 to
999, 1002 to 1008, 1010 and 1012 of 2021**

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. Hamender Singh Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

M/s. Dalip K. Sharma, and Sushma Sharma, Advocates, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, learned Senior Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to obtain instructions as to whether the State is to file a separate reply in CWP Nos.995 to 999 of 2021 and 1002 to 1008 of 2021 or would be adopting the reply filed in CWP Nos.1010, 1011 and 1012 of 2021.

List on 1.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

24.11.2021 Present: Ms. Archana Dutt, Advocate, for the petitioner,
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur,
Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Despite being put to formal notice as far back as
on 19.4.2021, the respondents have failed to file reply. As a
matter of sheer indulgence and by way of last opportunity,
three weeks' further time is granted to file reply, failing which
right to file the same shall be deemed to be closed and the
erring respondents shall personally appear before this Court
alongwith the relevant records on the next date of hearing.

List on 15.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.4093/2021

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. Mohit Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Senior Additional Advocate General. for respondents No.1 and 2-State.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Motta, Advocate for respondent No.3.

Mr. Jogesh Kumar Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No.3 to take specific instructions as and when Annexure AP-8 was uploaded on its official webpage.

List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

CWP No.3771/2021

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. Jagdish Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Suri, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Suri, Advocate prays for and is granted two weeks further time to file reply. Rejoinder be filed within four weeks thereafter.

List on 29.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

High Court of H.P.

CWP No. 6362/2020

24.11.2021 Present: Mr. Varun Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. V.B. Verma, CGC, for respondents No.1 to 4.

Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.6.

Respondent No.6 is yet to file reply. Learned counsel appearing for 6th respondent submits that despite his best efforts, he is not in a position to contact her.

List for consideration on 1.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

24.11.2021 Present: M/s. Kishore Pundeer and Alvin Massey
Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur & Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur,
Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State

Despite the respondents being put to formal notice
on 27.3.2019, reply, for over a period of more than two years,
has not been filed by them. Mr. Rajinder Dogra, learned Senior
Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two
weeks' time to file reply, failing which their right to file reply
shall be deemed to have been closed and the erring
respondents shall personally appear before this Court
alongwith the relevant records on the next date of hearing.

List on 15.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.11.2021
(mamta)

18.11.2021 Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Dalmia, Advocate, for the respondent.
Mr. Vipin Pandit, Advocate, for the applicant in
CMP No. 11979/2021.

Despite repeated calls, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Even on the last date of hearing, i.e. 11.11.2021, there was no appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Therefore, this court is left with no other option, but to dismiss the instant petition, so also the pending application(s), if any, for want of appearance as also non-prosecution. Ordered accordingly. All interim orders are ordered to be vacated.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 7073/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Lovneesh Kanwar, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 25.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. O. P. Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for the respondents.

Leaving all question of law open, notice. Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file reply.

List on 30.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5964/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Naveen K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

CMP No. 11528/2021

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in removing the objections is ordered to be condoned. The application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 5964/2021

Leaving all question of law open, notice. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file reply.

List on 30.12.2021.

CMP No. 11527/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner/applicant to file typed/legible copies of Annexures P-1 to P-12 within a period of six weeks from today.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5967/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

CMP No. 11530/2021

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in removing the objections is ordered to be condoned. The application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 5967/2021

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.** List along with CWP No. 5729/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5968/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. M. L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivekanand Negi, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.3.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

18.11.2021 Present: Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5986/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Pawnish Shukla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents.

CWP No. 5986/2021

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

CMP No.11565/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner/applicant to file translated copy of Annexure P-3 within a period of six weeks from today.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

18.11.2021 Present: Ms. Soma Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6034/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Om Parkash Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

As prayed for, list before the learned Principal
Bench on 23.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6035/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Om Parkash Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

As prayed for, list before the learned Principal
Bench on 23.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Deven Khanna, Advocate, as Amicus Curiae. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents No. 1 to 16/State.

Mr. Shivank Singh Panta, Advocate, for respondent No.17-HPTDC.

Mr. Naresh K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.18-M.C. Shimla.

Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for respondent No.19-UOI.

Mr. K. D. Shreedhar, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.20-NHAI.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list before the learned Principal Bench on 22.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWPIL No. 108/2017
a/w CWP No. 2077/2017

CWPIL No. 108/2017

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents No. 1 to 7/State.
Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI for respondents No. 8 and 13.
Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.9.
Mr. K. D. Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Het Ram Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.10.
Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No. 11 and 12.

CWP No. 2077/2017

Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate, vice Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents No. 1 to 7/State.
Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI for respondent-Union of India.
Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, the applicant in CMP No. 8159/2017.
Mr. Devinder K. Sharma, Advocate, for the applicant in CMP No. 4246/2019.
Mr. Deepak Kaushal, Advocate, for the applicant in CMP No. 10440/2017 and CMP No. 10666/2021.

Mr. Sanjay Verma, Advocate, prays for and is granted an adjournment on the ground that the original counsel is not available today.

List before the learned Principal Bench on 22.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 130/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Ms. Babita, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta,
Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod
Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and
Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the
respondents-State.

Learned Senior Additional Advocate General has
filed the compliance affidavit, which clearly discloses that the
case of the petitioner has been considered and thereafter
rejected vide order dated 15.6.2015.

The petition already stands disposed of. Therefore,
the only direction, which can be passed at this stage, is
reserving liberty to the petitioner to assail the consideration
order in accordance with law. Ordered accordingly. The matter
is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the appellant.

The application filed by the appellant-State is lying under objections. Learned Deputy Advocate General undertakes to remove the same within one week.

List on 2.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWPs No. 89, 90, 221, 222, 223, 271, 283, 826 and 932/2020

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vikas Rajput, Mr. Mukul Sood, Mr. Vinod Chauhan and Mr. Shyam Singh Chauhan, Advocates, for the respective petitioner(s).

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Senior Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file the compliance report, failing which erring respondents shall personally appear before this Court along with relevant records on the next date of hearing.

List on 2.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

FAO (FC) No. 31/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. G. R. Palsra, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for the respondent.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 9.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

LPA No. 49/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. B. N. Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Steps for service of respondents No. 2 and 8 to 12 not taken. The same, as prayed for, be taken within one week. Thereafter, issue notice to the aforesaid respondents returnable for 16.12.2021, when the matter will be listed before the Registrar concerned.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. P. No 133/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. N. D. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

The order in question stands complied with inasmuch as the respondents have passed the consideration order. Therefore, in case the petitioner is still aggrieved by the consideration order, he is at liberty to assail the same in accordance with law. The matter is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

Ex. Pet. No. 206/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Bonit Thakur, Advocate vice Mr. A. K. Gupta,
Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Anil God, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and
is granted four days' time to comply with the order in question.

List on 23.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 208/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay K. Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the order in question stands complied with inasmuch as entire retiral benefits have been paid to the petitioner. His statement is taken on record.

In view of above, no further order is required to be passed and the matter is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 221/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the order in question could not be complied with as there are departmental proceedings as also a criminal case pending against the petitioner.

Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to ascertain this position.

List on 3.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 343/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Mandeep Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State

CMP No. /2021

The respondents-State have filed an application for extension of time. However, the same is not on record. A copy of the application has been made available to us.

Be registered. Having gone through the contents of the application, we find the action of the respondents-State to be fully justified in seeking six weeks' time to comply with the order in question. Ordered accordingly. Application stands disposed of.

Ex. Pet. No. 343/2021

For compliance, list on 30.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 345/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Parveen Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State

The order in question stands complied with inasmuch as the respondents have passed the consideration order. Therefore, in case the petitioner is still aggrieved by the consideration order, he is at liberty to assail the same in accordance with law. The matter is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

Ex. Pet. No. 368/2021

18.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner,
Mr. Anil God, Advocate, for the respondents No. 1
and 2.

The order in question stands complied with
inasmuch as the respondents have passed the consideration
order. Therefore, in case the petitioner is still aggrieved by the
consideration order, he is at liberty to assail the same in
accordance with law. The matter is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.11.2021
(pankaj)

LPA No. 458/2012

17.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Jiwan, Advocate, vice counsel for respondent No.2.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 24.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

17.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2696/2021

17.11.2021 Present: Mr. K. D. Shreedhar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Saurabh Ahluwalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. V. D. Khidta, Advocate, for the respondent.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 24.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

17.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2695/2021

17.11.2021 Present: Mr. K. D. Shreedhar, Senior Advocate with Mr. Saurabh Ahluwalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. V. D. Khidta, Advocate, for the respondent.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 24.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

17.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5839/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Ms. Ekta, Advocate, vice Mr. Vinay Sharma,
Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod
Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and
Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the
respondents-State

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.** Tag
along with CWP No. 5837/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5841/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Ms. Ekta, Advocate, vice Mr. Vinay Sharma,
Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod
Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and
Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the
respondents-State

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.** Tag
along with CWP No. 5837/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5840/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Ms. Ekta, Advocate, vice Mr. Vinay Sharma,
Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod
Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and
Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the
respondents-State

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.** Tag
along with CWP No. 5837/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5848/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vijay K. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay K. Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.** Tag
along with CWPOA No. 1494/2019.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5843/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. M. L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5844/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. M. L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5897/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. M. L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5904/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Bonit Thakur, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5907/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Bonit Thakur, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 7110/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the petitioners.

CWP No. 7110/2021

Issue notice to the respondent, returnable for 28.12.2021, on taking steps within one week.

CMP No. 13357/2021

Heard. Subject to the petitioners' depositing the award amount within a period of six weeks from today, operation and execution of the impugned order dated 28.6.2021 (Annexure P-1) is ordered to be stayed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 7126/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents

CWP No. 7126/2021 & CMP No. 13369/2021

Notice. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply. List on 14.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 7134/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents No.1 to 3.

CWP No. 7134/2021 & CMP No. 13384/2021

Notice. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Issue notice to respondent No.4 under order 5 Rule 9A CPC, returnable for 30.11.2021. In the meanwhile, operation and execution of the impugned order dated 9.11.2021 (Annexure P-1) is ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 7138/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ajit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

CWP No. 7138/2021 & CMP No. 13390/2021

Notice. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. List on 14.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 3193/2019 & CWP No. 3601/2021

16.11.2021 Present: Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No. 3193/2019.
Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No. 3601/2021.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

CWP No. 3193/2019 & CWP No. 3601/2021

Admit. List for hearing on 30.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3832/2019 a/w CWP No. 5199/2020

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the petitioner in CWP No. 3832/2019 and for the respondents-State in CWP No. 5199/2020.

Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, for the respondent in CWP No. 3832/2019 and for the petitioner in CWP No. 5199/2020.

Heard further. For continuity, list on 18.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

16.11.2021 Present: Mr. Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. K. D. Shreedhar, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent-NHAI.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 23.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

16.11.2021 Present: Ms. Rita Hingmang, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Admit. List for hearing on 30.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.11.2021
(pankaj)

High Court of H.P.

CWP No. 491/2021

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Dushyant Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Arun Sehgal, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.
Mr. Dilip Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Mani Sharma, Advocate, for the applicants in CMPs No. 3470 & 4264/2021.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 17.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(pankaj)

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Mohar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that the seniority of the petitioner has been corrected and the only issue now remains for adjudication is regarding promotion of the petitioner to the post of Head Master, that too, from the date when his juniors were promoted.

Since promotions are to be carried out by the Director Higher Education, therefore, he is ordered to be impleaded as party respondent, who shall figure as respondent

No.3.

Issue notice to newly added respondent. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.3. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 13.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(pankaj)

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. H. S. Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Ms. Anubhuti Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 to 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18 to 23.

Mr. Abhishek Verma, Advocate, vice Mr. Deepak Kaushal, Advocate, for respondents No. 11, 17, 24 and 25.

Ms. Shikha Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No. 14.

Ms. Seema K. Guleria, Advocate, for respondent No.26.

The respondents, who are yet to file reply, pray for and are granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 6.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 2796/2021

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Surender Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Surender Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Rajiv Jiwan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajit Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.3 is stated to have been filed. Registry to trace and place the same on record.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.4, as prayed for, be filed within three weeks.

List on 6.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

15.11.2021 Present: Ms. Babita, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Rejoinder, as prayed for, be filed within two weeks.

List on 29.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4270/2021

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Bimal Gupta Sr. Advocate with Mr. Gurinder Singh Parmar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

List on 17.11.2021. Registry to reflect name of Mr.

Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, in the cause list henceforth.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Senior Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two days' time to comply with the order, failing which respondent No.2 shall personally remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 17.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(pankaj)

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Admit. Rejoinder within six weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(pankaj)

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Shandil, Advocate, vice Mr. Abhishek Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Yogesh K. Chandel, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 2.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy A.G. for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Mr. Yogesh K. Chandel, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply and power of attorney.

List on 6.12.2021. Registry to reflect name of Mr. Yogesh K. Chandel, Advocate, in the cause list, henceforth.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4508/2021 a/w CWP Nos. 4518, 4958, 4964 and 5026/2021

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Dushyant Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner(s) in all the writ petitions, except CWP No. 4518/2021.
Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No. 4518/2021.
Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Dinesh K. Thakur, Advocate, for the respondent-Medical college.
Mr. Neel Kamal Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent-University.

Since counselling in these cases has been fixed on 19.11.2021, therefore, list for consideration on 17.11.2021.
Additional documents/pleadings in the meanwhile.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ankush Dass Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Manik Sethi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. R. L. Sood, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Sanjeevani Sood, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.3 stands filed in the open Court, which is made part of the court file.

Rejoinder, as prayed for, be filed within one week.

List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 5051/2021

15.11.2021 Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Verma, Advocate, for the respondents.

Rejoinder not filed. The same be positively filed within four weeks.

List on 13.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

15.11.2021
(pankaj)

CMP No. 10593/2021 in CWP No. 1962/2015

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Dinesh K. Thakur, Advocate, for the applicants/respondents.

Fresh status report, in terms of previous order, stands filed in the open Court.

List on 18.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. C.N. Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 2.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 113/2019

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. J. S. Bagga, Advocate, for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Since an amount of Rs. 15,36,039/- stands deposited in the registry of this Court by respondents No. 3 and 4, therefore, order dated 29.9.2021 directing the attachment of the properties of respondents No. 3 and 4, is ordered to be recalled.

Let the aforesaid amount be released in favour of the petitioner(s) as per their shares calculated and given by respondent No.3 along with draft, attached in B-part of the file, by remitting the same to their respective bank accounts to be furnished by the petitioners within one week from today.

List on 9.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No.1 to 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file supplementary affidavit.

List on 9.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Sat Prakash, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for respondent No.1.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal
Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 2
to 4.

Learned Additional Advocate General states that
complete set of documents, handed over by the petitioner, has
been sent to respondent No.1.

Confronted with this, learned Assistant Solicitor
General of India prays for and is granted four weeks' time to
file reply. However, it is made clear that no further opportunity
shall be granted for filing the reply.

List on 15.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5728/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. M.A. Khan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for the respondents.

CMP No. 11162/2021

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in removing the objections is ordered to be condoned. The application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 5728/2021

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit**.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5729/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Naveen K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

CMP No. 11165/2021

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in removing the objections is ordered to be condoned. The application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 5729/2021

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

CMP No. 11164/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner/applicant to file typed/legible copies of Annexures in question within a period of four weeks from today.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5736/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Naresh Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

CMP No. 11181/2021

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in re-filing the main petition is ordered to be condoned. The application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 5736/2021

The petitioner has assailed the award that was passed three years back on 10.10.2018. There is no explanation as to why the award was not challenged well before the time. Let supplementary affidavit, clearly explaining therein the delay, be filed within four weeks. List on 15.12.2021.

CMP No. 11180/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner/applicant to file typed/legible copies of documents in question within a period of four weeks from today.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5737/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Sumeet Raj Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

CMP No. 11179/2021

For the reasons stated in the application, delay in removing the objections is ordered to be condoned. The application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 5737/2021

Leaving all questions of law open, notice. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. Issue notice to respondents No. 3 to 5, returnable for 15.12.2021, on taking steps within three days.

CMP No. 11173/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner/applicant to file typed/legible copies of Annexures in question within a period of four weeks from today.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5744/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit**.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5746/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Rajesh Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

CWP No. 5746/2021

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

CMP No. 11187/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner/applicant to file typed/legible copies of Annexures P-1, P-2 and P-3 within a period of four weeks from today.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 5747/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Virbahadur Verma, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5756/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5983/2021

11.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ramesh Kaundal, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, issue notice.

Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. List along with CWP No. 6646/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.11.2021
(pankaj)

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. Mohit Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Respondent No.2 is yet to file reply. The same be positively filed within two weeks, failing which right to file the same shall be deemed to be closed.

List on 24.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(pankaj)

Cr. A. No. 135/2020

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the appellant. Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate, vice counsel for the respondents.

Learned vice counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted an adjournment on the ground that the original counsel is indisposed.

List on 17.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. K.D. Shreedhar, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 3 and 4.

Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, states that her presence is wrongly being marked for respondent No.2 and she is representing only respondent No.1. Her statement is taken on record.

Issue notice to respondent No.2, returnable for 8.12.2021, on taking steps during the course of the day.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 1053/2020

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the petitioners-State.
Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the respondent prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file counter affidavit.

List on 15.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1978/2020

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. S. D. Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted one week's further time to file rejoinder.

List on 17.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(pankaj)

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State. Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record copy of minutes of meeting held on 18.10.2021 under the chairmanship of the Deputy Commissioner, Una.

We notice that the time has been granted to the SDM(s) concerned to fix freight rates on consensual basis between the Industry Associations and Truck Unions by 5.11.2021. However, further action that was required to be taken in the matter is not forthcoming.

On the request of learned Additional Advocate General, list on 24.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(pankaj)

10.11.2021 Present: Ms. Kanta Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 8.11.2021.

Admit. List for hearing before the learned Single Judge having roster.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(pankaj)

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State. Mr. K. D. Shreedhar, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondents No. 3 to 25. Mr. Deepak Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel for respondents No. 26 to 29.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 17.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(*pankaj*)

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajay Kumar Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State. Mr. Shyam Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 4.

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(pankaj)

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.
Mr. N.S. Chandel, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Vinod K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

On the request of learned counsel for respondent No.4, list on 17.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 4314/2020

10.11.2021 Present: Mr. C. N. Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Surender Verma, Advocate, for the respondent.

On the request of learned counsel for the
petitioner, list on 17.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

10.11.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 3980/2019 a/w CWPOA No. 3145/2019, CWP No. 1367, 2420, 3198, 4820, 136, 3938, 4618, 4806, 5459, 5974, 6005, 6031, 7450, 7457, 7532, 7537/2020, 1330, 1351, 1456, 2276, 2245, 3403, 3599, 3687, 3812, 4238, 4289, 4506, 4642, 4745, 4897, 5063 and 6487/2021

30.10.2021 Present:

M/s Subhash Sharma, S.P. Chatterji, A.K. Gupta, H.S. Rangra, Ramakant Sharma, M.L. Sharma, Peeyush Verma, Vishwa Bhushan, P.P. Chauhan, Sanjeev Kumar Suri, Rajneesh K. Lal, Y.P.S. Dhaulta, B.C. Verma, Surinder Parkash Sharma and Karan Singh Parmar, Advocates, for the respective petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for the respondent-
UOI.

M/s. Tim Saran, Karun Negi, Yashwant Singh, S.V. Bhardwaj, Vikrant Thakur, Lakshay Thakur and Mr. Naresh K. Gupta, Advocates, for the respective respondents.

CWPOA No. 3980/2020

De-linked. List on 27.11.2021.

CWP No. 3599/2021

De-linked. Admit. List after four weeks.

CWP No. 6487/2021 & CMP No.12305/2021

De-linked. List on 27.11.2021. In the meanwhile, the official respondents are restrained from effecting any recovery/deduction from the salary of the petitioner.

CWPOA No. 3145/2019, CWP No. 1367, 2420, 3198, 4820, 136, 3938, 4618, 4806, 5459, 5974, 6005, 6031, 7450, 7457, 7532, 7537/2020, 1330, 1351, 1456, 2276, 2245, 3403, 3687, 3812, 4238, 4289, 4506, 4642, 4745, 4897 & 5063/2021

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 27.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 3486/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Malay Kaushal, Advocate vice Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

List along with Rogi Kalyan Samiiti's cases on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 5380/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. N. D. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner,
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr.
Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-
State.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 1001/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Ms. Vandana Misra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Mr. Shivam, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Vashishta, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 3729/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Lovneesh Kanwar, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◊

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

Cr.A. No. 324/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Ms. Salochana Rana, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Janak Raj, Advocate, vice Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent.

Cr.MP No. 2122/2021

Notice. Mr. Janak Raj, Advocate, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List for consideration on 12.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2483/2019

30.10.2021 Present:

Ms. Dimple, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Admit. Rejoinder, if any, within four weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2488/2019

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Mohit Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner,
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr.
Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-
State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1592/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Shivam, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Vashishta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1654/2020 a/w CWP No. 1668/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Devi Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners in CWP No. 1654/2020 and Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner in CWP No. 1668/2020. Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for respondent-Medical Council of India. Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G., for the respondents-State.

Mr. Balram Sharma, learned Assistant Solicitor General of India, on instructions, states that he will not be appearing on behalf of respondent-Medical Council of India. His statement is taken on record.

Since one of the issues is sub judice before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, therefore, list these matters after six weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2279/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Rajender Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Shashi Shirshoo, CGC, for the respondents.

Reply is stated to have been filed in the registry today. Registry to trace and place the same on record, even if it is under objections.

List along with CWP Nos. 2496/2019 & 2563/2019.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 2495/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sat Prakash, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Learned Senior Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to obtain instructions.

List on 27.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2825/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Amit Jamwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 and 5.

The matter is part-heard before another bench as is evident from order dated 6.9.2021. Therefore, list the case before the same bench on 12.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.2909/2020

30.10.2021 Present:

Ms. Babita, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

On the request of learned vice counsel for the petitioner, list on 12.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3373/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Saurabh, Advocate, vice Mr. Subhash Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

CMP No. 2/2021

The application, as prayed for, is allowed and the Director, Elementary Education, Shimla, is ordered to be arrayed as party. Application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 3373/2020

Amended memo of parties is ordered to be taken on record. Issue notice to newly added respondent No.4. Mr. Ashwani Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.4. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 12.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3377/2020

30.10.2021 Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

List before a bench of which one of us (Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua) is not a member.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

**(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge**

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3472/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Aman Parth Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Malay Kaushal, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Rejoinder, as prayed for, be filed within three weeks. List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3874/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

List on 12.11.2021, when registry shall reflect the name of Mr. K.B. Khajuria, Advocate, in the cause list on behalf of respondent No.3. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3875/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Devi Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Admit. Rejoinder be filed within four weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3880/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Virender Bali, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Komal Chaudhary, Advocate, vice Ms. Ritta Goswami, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Aman Parth Sharma, Advocate, vice Mr. V.B. Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the issue in question is squarely covered by Three-Judge Bench of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Jigyasa Yadav vs. CBSE, 2021 (7) SCC 535.***

Since the original counsel for respondent No.1 is not available today, therefore, the case is ordered to be listed on 12.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4073/2021

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file rejoinder(s).

List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4078/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Neeraj Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajeet Pal Jaswal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

CMP No. 13318/2020

The application, as prayed for, is allowed and name of respondent No.6, Harish Gupta, is ordered to be deleted from the array of respondents, subject to all just exceptions. Application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 4078/2020

Amended memo of parties and rejoinder, if any, be filed within four weeks.

List on 4.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4278/2020

30.10.2021 Present: Mr. Dalip K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Reeta Thakur, Advocate, for respondents
No.1, 3 and 4.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

30.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4311/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

List along with CWP No. 4165/2021 on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

**CWP No.4165/2021 a/w CWP Nos. 4166 to
4179, 4219, 4305/2021**

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr.
Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-
State.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the
parties, list on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2697/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ram Murti Bisht, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Stand of the respondents-State, as reflected in para 8 of the reply, cannot be countenanced.

Faced with this, learned Senior Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to obtain instructions. List on 3.12.2021. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2048/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Praneet Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Mr. V. B. Verma, CGC, for respondent No.5.

Mr. Surinder Paul Jagota, SE PWD in person.

CMP No. /2021

Learned Senior Additional Advocate General states that he has filed an application for extension of time. However, the same is not on record. A copy of the application has been made available to the Court.

Be registered. After going through the application, we are inclined to grant four weeks' further time to the respondents-State to comply with the previous order. Ordered accordingly.

Personal presence of the Superintending Engineer, PWD, is dispensed with; provided the order in question is complied with or else, he is still required to be present in the Court. Application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 2048/2021

List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4030/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Jeevesh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that he has filed an application for impleadment of parties. However, the same is not on record. Registry to trace and place the same on record, even if it is under objections.

List on 30.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4327/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Arush Matlotia, Advocate, vice Mr. Susheel Gautam, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents.

Learned vice counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted an adjournment on the ground that the original counsel is out of station.

List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4623/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3 is stated to have been filed. Registry to trace and place the same on record, even if it is under objections. Notice issued to respondent No.4 has been received back unserved with the report that he is residing in Karnataka. Therefore, let fresh steps for the service of aforesaid respondent be taken within two weeks. In the event of steps being taken, issue notice to respondent No.4 returnable for 10.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4991/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Motta, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Dinesh Bhanot, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ankush Dass Sood, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shradha Karol, Advocate, for the respondents No. 3 to 10.

Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 is stated to have been filed. Registry to trace and place the same on record, even if it is under objections.

Ms. Shradha Karol, Advocate, states that reply on behalf of respondents No. 3 to 10 is being filed during the course of the day. Her statement is taken on record.

Rejoinder(s) to all the replies be filed within three weeks.

List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5037/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◇

Mr. Balram Sharma, CGC, for respondent No.1.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr.
Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-
State.

Reply, as prayed for, be positively filed within two
weeks, failing which not only right to file the same shall be
deemed to be closed, but the Court shall also proceed to
consider the case on its own merits.

List on 12.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5095/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Vivek Singh Attri, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file rejoinder.

List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5192/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Mohan Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 is stated to have been filed on 27.10.2021. Registry to trace and place the same on record. Rejoinder, as prayed for, be filed within four weeks.

List on 26.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5195/2021

29.10.2021 Present: Mr. Varun Panwar, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

Learned Senior Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file reply.

List on 12.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

29.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3094/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Gagan Kumar & Mr. Dalip K. Sharma,
Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Diwan
Singh Negi, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and
is granted two weeks' time to ascertain status of the appeal, if
any, as reflected in Annexure A-5 at page 142 of the paper-
book.

List on 16.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.3120/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rakesh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioners. Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondents-State.

List before regular DB on 11.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4209/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Anirudh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr.
Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondent-
State.
Mr. Tara Chand Chauhan, Advocate, for
respondent No.2.

Admit. List after four weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4412/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondent-State.
Mr. Balram Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.9.
Mr. Rishi Tandon, Advocate, for respondent No.12.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 11.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

**CWP No. 4508/2021 a/w CWP Nos. 4518,
4958, 4964 and 5026/2021**

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Dushyant Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner(s) in all the writ petitions, except CWP No. 4518/2021.
Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No. 4518/2021.
Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondent-State.
Mr. Dinesh K. Thakur, Advocate, for the respondent-medical college.
Ms. Shailja, Advocate, vice Mr. Neel Kamal Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent-University.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) pray for and are granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder(s).

List on 15.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4763/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr.
Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondent-
State.
Mr. Pankaj Sharma, Advocate, for respondent
No.4.

Despite repeated opportunities, neither
instructions nor reply have been filed on behalf of the
respondents. Needful be done on or before the next date of
hearing. List on 30.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP Nos. 4842/2021 & 4300/2021

CWP No. 4842/2021

28.10.2021 Present:

Mr. Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. P. P. Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.1.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondent-State.

CWP No. 4300/2021

Mr. P. P. Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondent-State.
Mr. Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. P. P. Chauhan, Advocate, prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply in CWP No. 4842/2021.

Registry is directed to reflect name of Mr. P.P. Chauhan, Advocate, in the case list, henceforth in CWP No. 4842/2021.

List on 25.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4848/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. V. D. Khidtta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr.
Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondent-
State.
Mr. Dheeraj K. Verma, Advocate, for proposed
respondent No.4.

List before regular DB on 11.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4857/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Rahul Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, for the respondents.

Award amount stands deposited in the registry of
this Court. The same be invested as per procedure.

Admit. List on 23.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4866/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Devinder Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Virbahadur Verma, Advocate, for the respondents.

Admit. List on 23.12.2021. Pleadings be completed in the meanwhile.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4893/2021

28.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ankush Dass Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Manik Sethi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for the respondent-State.
Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for respondent No.2.
Mr. Arjun K. Lal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

List before regular DB on 11.11.2021. Registry is directed to reflect name of Mr. Arjun K. Lal, Advocate, in the cause list henceforth.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

28.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4860/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Rathor & Mr. Ajit Sharma, Advocates, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for respondents No. 1 to 4.
Mr. K.D. Shreedhar, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.5.
Mr. P.P. Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.6.
Mr. Raman Sethi, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

Learned counsel for respondent No.5 has placed on record communication dated 22.10.2021, which reads as under:-

Kindly refer to the Deputy Commissioner, Mandi, letter No. MND-SD-DRA-/2021-31003-05 dated 21.10.2021 on the subject cited above.

2. In this regard, the work of constructing four lane road is being executed by the NHAI through different agencies and the work of constructing a road has been awarded to concessionaire i.e. M/s SP Pandoh Takoli Highways Pvt. Ltd. on Hybrid Annuity Mode (HAM) and presently construction work is under progress.

3. It is intimated that various complaints regarding landslides are being received from the Distt. Administration as well as locals. Further, a resolution/proposal dated 5.6.2021 has been received from the o/o Gram Panchayat Aut, Block Development-Balichowki, Distt. Mandi (HP) for shifting of road towards valley side. The proposal was scrutinized by the independent engineer of the project & further this office has taken up the matter with the competent authority for the acquisition of additional land towards valley side so that further damages to the adjoining land owners of village Thalout towards hill side can be minimized.

4. Thereafter, NHAI, HQ vide letter No. NHAI/HAM/NHDP-IV/11019/PB-Tk/Pkg-II/2015/dated 8.10.2021 has directed the following:-

“in principle agreed to. However, express consent of DM/DC should be obtained before proceeding further.”

5. In view of above, it is requested to kindly give the concurrence for the same so that additional land towards valley side may be acquired to avoid further damages in village Thalout and work can be completed in time bound manner.

2 Taking into consideration the urgency involved in the matter, we direct the respondent No.2-Deputy Commissioner, Mandi, to give concurrence, as sought for in the aforesaid communication, as expeditiously as possible and in no event later than 2.11.2021, when copy of such concurrence be placed on record.

List on 2.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6664/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Ms. Seema Azad, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is
granted two days' time to amend memo of parties.

List on 10.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6666/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for respondent No.1.
Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Caveat petition No. 273/2021

Caveat is discharged. Petition stands disposed of.

CWP No. 6666/2021 & CMP No. 12588/2021

Notice is confined to respondents No. 1 and 3. Mr. Ashwani Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents.

List along with CWP No. 6460/2021 on the date already fixed.

CMP No. 12589/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the applicant/petitioner to file English Translation of documents in question within a period of four weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6665/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., for respondent No.1.
Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Caveat petition No. 276/2021

Caveat is discharged. Petition stands disposed of.

CWP No. 6665/2021 & CMP No. 12586/2021

Notice is confined to respondents No. 1 and 3. Mr. Ashwani Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents.

List along with CWP No. 6460/2021 on the date already fixed.

CMP No. 12587/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the applicant/petitioner to file English Translation of documents in question within a period of four weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6677/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Mr. Rajiv Jiwan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Jyotirmay Bhatt, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for respondents No.1 and 4.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., Dy.A.G. for the respondents No. 2 and 3.

CWP No. 6677/2021 & CMP No. 12598/2021

Notice. Mr. Balram Sharma, learned Assistant Solicitor General of India and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents. They pray for and are granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 24.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6678/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Mr. Jagan Nath, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr.
Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., Dy.A.G. for the
respondents-State.

CWP No. 6678/2021 & CMP No. 12599/2021

Notice. Mr. Ashwani Sharma, learned Additional
Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on
behalf of the respondents. Reply/instructions within two days.

List on 29.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6681/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Mr. Prashant Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted an adjournment.

List on 29.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6698/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

CWP No. 6698/2021 & CMP No. 12616/2021

Notice. Mr. Ashwani Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

The only ground, on which the present petition has been filed, is of discrimination. It is contended that one Jagbir Singh Chandel, Lecturer (School new) Hindi has been granted study leave as is evident from Annexure P-5 and in the case of the petitioner, the same has been rejected vide order dated 5.7.2021.

Confronted with this, learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two days' time to obtain instructions.

List on 29.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5383/2021

27.10.2021 Present: Mr. Suneet Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for
respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate, for respondent
No.3.

List on 28.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

27.10.2021
(pankaj)

**CWP No. 3941/2021 a/w CWP
Nos. 3942 to 3955, 4835 and
4836/2021**

26.10.2021 Present: Mr. S. P. Chatterji, Advocate, for the petitioner(s), in all the petitions, except CWP Nos. 4835/2021 & 4836/2021.
Mr. Lalit K. Sehgal, Advocate, for the petitioner(s) in CWP Nos. 4835/2021 & 4836/2021
Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Amitabh Awasthy, Secretary (Health) in person.

Mr. Amitabh Awasthy, Secretary (Health), has explained the circumstances, under which the order(s) passed by this Court could not be complied with. He further states that the order(s) in question shall positively be complied with within a period of four weeks. His statement is taken on record.

List on 30.11.2021. Personal presence of the aforesaid officer is dispensed with.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

**(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge**

26.10.2021
(pankaj)

FAO (FC) No. 22/2020

26.10.2021 Present: Mr. Mohar Singh, Advocate, vice counsel for the appellant.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Amit Jamwal, Advocate, for the respondent.

Both the parties are not present. Their presence be ensured on the next date of hearing.

List on 16.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

26.10.2021
(pankaj)

Cr. Appeal No.617/2015

26.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., Dy.A.G. for the appellant-State.
Mr. R. K. Gautam, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Megha Kapur Gautam, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 6.

Respondent No.5 is present in person and identified as such by his counsel. Let respondent No.5 furnish bail bonds, in terms of order dated 19.12.2015, to the satisfaction of any of the Registrars of this Court, during the course of the day.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

26.10.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 6498/2021

26.10.2021 Present: Mr. Shrawan Dogra, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Arun Verma, Mr. Tejasvi Dogra and Mr. Harsh Kalta, Advocates, for the petitioner.

CWP No. 6498/2021 & CMP No. 12319/2021

Issue notice to the respondents returnable for 30.11.2021, on taking steps within two days. In the meanwhile, operation and execution of the impugned order dated 6.4.2021 (Annexure P-18) is ordered to be stayed.

CMP No. 12320/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the petitioner/applicant to file English translation of document(s) in question within a period of four weeks.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

26.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1825/2019

26.10.2021 Present: Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajay Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Learned Senior Additional Advocate General states that requisition for release of the grant-in-aid has been received from the respondent-Corporation and the same, after consideration, shall be released to the petitioner, within three weeks. His statement is taken on record.

List on 16.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

26.10.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 7/2020

26.10.2021 Present: Mr. B. N. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent-Accountant General.

Learned Central Government Counsel states that claim of the petitioner is under consideration. He prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to do the needful.

List on 9.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

26.10.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 9/2020

26.10.2021 Present: Mr. B. N. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent-Accountant General.

Learned Central Government Counsel states that claim of the petitioner is under consideration. He prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to do the needful.

List on 9.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

26.10.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 8/2020

26.10.2021 Present: Mr. B. N. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans and Mr. Ashwani Sharma, Addl. A.Gs., Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent-Accountant General.

Learned Central Government Counsel states that claim of the petitioner is under consideration. He prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to do the needful.

List on 9.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

26.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5981/2021

25.10.2021 Present:

Mr. Sumeet Raj Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G. and Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Addl. A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 26.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6027/2021

25.10.2021 Present: Mr. S. D. Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for respondent
No.1.-State.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Dhiman, Advocate, for respondent
No.2.

Issue notice to respondent No.3 returnable for
22.11.2021, on taking steps within two days.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6028/2021

25.10.2021 Present: Mr. S. D. Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for respondent
No.1.-State.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Dhiman, Advocate, for respondent
No.2.

Issue notice to respondent No.3 returnable for
22.11.2021, on taking steps within two days.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6029/2021

25.10.2021 Present: Mr. S. D. Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for respondent
No.1.-State.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Dhiman, Advocate, for respondent
No.2.

Issue notice to respondent No.3 returnable for
22.11.2021, on taking steps within two days.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6037/2021

25.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Atharv Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. P. P. Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 8.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6281/2021

25.10.2021 Present: Mr. Dushyant Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G. and Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Addl.
A.G. for the respondents-State.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 25.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6384/2021

25.10.2021 Present: Mr. Aman Parth Sharma, Adocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G. and Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent No.3.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 27.10.2021, when a responsible officer of the respondents-State shall remain present to assist the Court.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2348/2019

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Naresh K. Tomar, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Sushant Vir Singh Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Since respondent No.3 has passed the consideration order, therefore, the order in question stands complied with and the proceedings are closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6396/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok K. Tyagi, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 12.11.2021. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6395/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok K. Tyagi, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 12.11.2021. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6394/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok K. Tyagi, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 12.11.2021. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6491/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Ms. Suman Thakur & Mr. Anuj Thakur, Advocates,
for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the
respondents-State.

Status report by way of an affidavit filed in the
open court on behalf of the respondent is ordered to be taken
on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is
granted one week's time to file counter affidavit.

List on 29.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 1772/2020

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the petitioners.
Mr. Rajinder Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent.

As prayed for, list on 3.12.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 141/2019

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, learned Sr. Additional Advocate General states that the bill(s) in question would be prepared and sent to the Treasury during the course of the day. His statement is taken on record.

For compliance, to come up on 25.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6575/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1.
Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Caveat petition No. 274/2021

Caveat is discharged. Petition stands disposed of.

CWP No. 6575/2021 & CMP No. 12437/2021

List along with CWP No. 6460/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6574/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1.
Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Caveat petition No. 269/2021

Caveat is discharged. Petition stands disposed of.

CWP No. 6574/2021 & CMP No. 12435/2021

List along with CWP No. 6460/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6565/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Sudhir Thakur, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Karun Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1.
Mr. Anuj Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Caveat petition No. 275/2021

Caveat is discharged. Petition stands disposed of.

CWP No. 6565/2021 & CMP No. 12417/2021

List along with CWP No. 6460/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6542/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rahul Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents.

CWP No. 6542/2021 & CMP No. 12376/2021

Notice. Mr. Ajay Vaidya, learned Sr. Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 25.11.2021. In the meanwhile, operation and execution of the order dated 4.8.2021 (Annexure P-4) and re-assessment order dated 25.6.2015 (Annexure P-2) are ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6543/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rahul Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents.

CWP No. 6543/2021 & CMP No. 12377/2021

Notice. Mr. Ajay Vaidya, learned Sr. Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 25.11.2021. In the meanwhile, operation and execution of the order dated 4.8.2021 (Annexure P-4) and re-assessment order dated 25.6.2015 (Annexure P-2) are ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6539/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rahul Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents.

CWP No. 6539/2021 & CMP No. 12373/2021

Notice. Mr. Ajay Vaidya, learned Sr. Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 25.11.2021. In the meanwhile, operation and execution of the order dated 4.8.2021 (Annexure P-4) and re-assessment order dated 25.6.2015 (Annexure P-2) are ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6540/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rahul Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents.

CWP No. 6540/2021 & CMP No. 12374/2021

Notice. Mr. Ajay Vaidya, learned Sr. Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 25.11.2021. In the meanwhile, operation and execution of the order dated 4.8.2021 (Annexure P-4) and re-assessment order dated 25.6.2015 (Annexure P-2) are ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6573/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Neel Kamal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents.

CWP No. 6573/2021 & CMP No. 12433/2021

Notice. Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Advocate, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. Reply/instructions before the next date of hearing. List on 30.10.2021. In the meanwhile, the impugned office orders dated 14.9.2021 and 29.9.2021, Annexures P-3 and P-4 respectively, are ordered to be stayed.

CMP No. 12434/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the applicant/petitioner to file complete typed copy of Annexure P-2 within a period of one week.

Copy dasti

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6561/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Kulwant Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

CWP No. 6561/2021

Admit.

CMP No. 12416/2021

The application is dismissed as misconceived.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6488/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Virbahadur Verma, Advocate, CGC, for the respondents.

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted an adjournment.

List on 25.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 128/2021

22.10.2021 Present: Mr. Harish Kumar Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to comply with order dated 7.10.2021.

List on 29.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

22.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1073/2021

21.10.2021 Present: Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod
Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur,
Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is
granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder.

List on 11.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

21.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5654/2020

21.10.2021 Present: Mr. Arsh Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Surinder Verma, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 3.
Mr. Ashwani Kaundal, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 & 5.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 28.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

21.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 4003/2020

21.10.2021 Present: Mr. R. L. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◊

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

List on 22.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

21.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2947/2020 & CWP No.3400/2020

21.10.2021 Present: Mr. Virender Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

CMP Nos. 12293/2021 & 12296/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. List on 18.11.2021.

CMP Nos. 12994/2021 & 12997/2021

The applications are disposed of with a direction to the applicant(s) to file the translated/typed copies of Annexures in question within a period of three weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

21.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3560/2020

21.10.2021 Present: Mr. Jai Ram Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.
Mr. Anil God, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

List along with CWP No. 6469/2021 on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Jyotsna Rewal Dua)
Judge

21.10.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 5124/2021

19.10.2021 Present: Mr. Kulwant Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.
Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

Respondents No.1, 2, 4, 6 and 9 are stated to have filed replies. Registry to trace and place the same on record. As regard remaining respondents, reply, if any, be filed within four weeks.

List on 16.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5158/2021

19.10.2021 Present: Ms. Rajvinder Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner. Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

The respondents are stated to have filed replies on 7.10.2021 & 18.10.2021 respectively. Registry is directed to trace and place the same on record even if it is under objections.

List on 8.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5180/2021

19.10.2021 Present: Mr. P. S. Goverdhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent No.1.
Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.2.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.
Mr. Pawan Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the entire premises in question have been demolished, except the wall, abutting the property of Railway Authorities, which shall also be removed by 20.10.2021. His statement is taken on record.

Let formal report to this effect be filed by respondent No.2 on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 26.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5281/2021

19.10.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod
Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur,
Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.
Mr. Dalip Singh Kaith, Advocate, for respondent
No.4.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 prays for and
is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 9.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5417/2021

19.10.2021 Present: Mr. G. C. Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Meera Devi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

It is rather shocking that the respondent-State is not in a position to apprise this Court regarding scale of measurement despite the Court having taken cognizance of this petition since 16.9.2021.

As a matter of sheer indulgence and by way of last opportunity, two weeks' time is granted to the respondent-State to apprise this Court regarding scale of measurement to be applied in the instant case, failing which the respondent shall remain present before this court on the next date of hearing.

List on 2.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5942/2021

19.10.2021 Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Jhamba & Mr. Ashish Jhamba
Advocates, for the petitioners.
Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent
No.1.
Mr. Vijay Kumar Arora, Advocate, for respondents
No. 2 and 3.

Despite opportunity, the respondents have failed to
file the reply. As prayed for, needful be done within two weeks,
failing which erring respondent(s) shall personally appear
before this Court along with relevant records on the next date
of hearing.

List on 2.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6298/2021

19.10.2021 Present: Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, Advocate, for the petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

CMP No. 12285/2021

The application is allowed as prayed for and name of respondent No.3, Deputy Director Elementary Education, Mandi, District Mandi is ordered to be substituted by the Deputy Director Higher Education, Mandi. The application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 6298/2021

Issue notice to the newly substituted respondent No.3. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.3. Issue dasti notice for the service of respondent No.4, returnable for 2.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6384/2021

19.10.2021 Present: Mr. Aman Parth Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent No.3.

Let the respondents-State produce complete records pertaining to the recommendations made by the State Directorate to respondent No.3 on the next date of hearing.

List on 21.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4855/2020

18.10.2021 Present: Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, Advocate, proxy counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate, proxy counsel for respondents No. 1, 2 and 4.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.3.

Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate, prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General, states that he is under instructions not to file separate reply and would be adopting the reply of respondents No. 1, 2 and 4. His statement is taken on record.

List on 8.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4854/2020

18.10.2021 Present: Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, Advocate, proxy counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate, proxy counsel for respondents No. 1, 2 and 4.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.3.

Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate, prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General, states that he is under instructions not to file separate reply and would be adopting the reply of respondents No. 1, 2 and 4. His statement is taken on record.

List on 8.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4856/2020

18.10.2021 Present: Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, Advocate, proxy counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate, proxy counsel for respondents No. 1, 2 and 4.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.3.

Mr. Shivam Sharma, Advocate, prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply. Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, learned Deputy Advocate General, states that he is under instructions not to file separate reply and would be adopting the reply of respondents No. 1, 2 and 4. His statement is taken on record.

List on 8.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4179/2019

18.10.2021 Present: Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, Advocate, proxy counsel for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Steps for the service of respondent No.5 have not been taken. The same, as prayed for, be taken within two weeks, failing which name of respondent No.5 shall be struck off from the array of respondents, subject to all just exceptions.

In the event of steps being taken, issue notice to respondent No.5 returnable for 22.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.10.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6230/2021

30.9.2021 Present: Mr. Jagdish Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

CWP No. 6230/2021 & CMP No. 11778/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. Issue notice to respondent No.3 under Order 5 Rule 9A CPC, during the course of the day, returnable for 7.10.2021. In addition thereof, the petitioner is also permitted to serve respondent No.3 through whatsapp, e-mail or any other alternative mode.

Since the petitioner has already been relieved, therefore, in the interim, the official respondents are restrained from resorting to any coercive steps so as to compel the petitioner to join at the transferred station. Records of the transfer be also produced on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

30.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6216/2021

30.9.2021 Present: Mr. Dushyant Dadwal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1,
Mr. Inderjet Singh Narwal, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

CWP No. 6216/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Inderjeet Singh Narwal, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents. Issue notice to respondents No. 3 to 12, returnable for 11.11.2021, on taking steps within two days. Reply by the appearing respondents in the meanwhile.

CMP No. 11761/2021

Heard. Promotion, if any, shall abide by further orders/final order of this Court. Application stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

30.9.2021
(pankaj)

30.9.2021 Present: Mr. Sat Prakash, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, Advocate, CGC, for respondent No.1.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents No. 2 to 4.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.2, which is being adopted by respondents No. 3 and 4, is stated to have been filed. Registry to trace and place the same on record. Reply on behalf of respondent No.1, making therein specific averments with regard to Annexure R-2 annexed with reply of respondent No.2, be filed within four weeks. List on 11.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

30.9.2021
(pankaj)

30.9.2021 Present: Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Applications of amendment of the writ petition, impleadment and amended petition have been filed in the registry on 29.9.2021. The registry to trace and place the same on record, even if it is under objections.

List on 1.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

30.9.2021
(pankaj)

30.9.2021 Present: Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to file necessary affidavit. We make it clear that the affidavit shall be filed by an officer not below the rank of Superintending Engineer.

List on 7.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

30.9.2021

(pankaj)

CWP No. 6110/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Aman Sood, Mr. Jaiwant Patankar and Mr. Vijay Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to ascertain as to whether provisions of Himachal Pradesh Freedom of Religion Act, 2019 have been assailed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court or not.

List on 20.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6039/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Rakesh K. Dogra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

The petitioner to justify maintainability of the petition. As prayed for, list on 20.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5950/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5926/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5923/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5922/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5921/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5920/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5918/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5906/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Leaving all questions of law open, **admit.**

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5905/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bomet Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

The petitioner to justify maintainability of the petition as admittedly he has retired on 31.3.2017 and seeks to avail benefit of notification, issued much after his retirement, on 21.2.2018 (Annexure P-3).

List on 6.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5854/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Rupinder Singh Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Admit.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5762/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Rupinder Singh, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

CWP No. 5762/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted six weeks' time to file reply. List on 10.11.2021.

CMP No. 11253/2021

The application is allowed with a direction to the applicants to file typed/translated copies of the Annexures in question within a period of six weeks. The application stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

FAO (FC) No. 46/2021

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Arush Matlotia, Advocate, for the appellant. ◇

FAO (FC) No. 46/2021 & CMP No. 11705/2021

Issue notice to the respondent, returnable for, 10.11.2021, on taking steps within two days. In the meanwhile, execution and operation of the impugned judgment and decree dated 11.1.2021 is ordered to be stayed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(*pankaj*)

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. T.S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Issue notice to respondent No.4 under Order 5 Rule 9A CPC, returnable for 5.10.2021. Since the petitioner has already been relieved, therefore, the official respondents are restrained from resorting to any coercive steps so as to compel the petitioner to join at the transferred station. Records of the transfer be also produced on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

Cr.A. No. 426/2019

29.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. For the appellant.
Mr. B. N. Sharma, Advocate, for the respondent.

Cr.MP No. 1860/2021

Respondent/applicant is present in person. She is permitted to furnish personal bonds in terms of order dated 14.8.2021 to the satisfaction of the Registrar(Judicial) during the course of the day. Application stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

29.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6125/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. T.S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

CWP No. 6125/2021 & CMP No. 11663/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3. Issue notice to respondent No.4 under Order 5 Rule 9A CPC, returnable for 5.10.2021. Since the petitioner has already been relieved, therefore, the official respondents are restrained from resorting to any coercive steps so as to compel the petitioner to join at the transferred station. Records of the transfer be also produced on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021

(pankaj) **SH. RAMESH KAUNDAL**

CWP No. 6126/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Shivank S. Panta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents.

CWP No. 6126/2021 & CMP No. 11665/2021

Notice. Mr. Ajay Vaidya, learned Senior Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted one day's time to obtain instructions.

List on 29.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 6127/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ashwani Kaundal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

CWP No. 6127/2021 & CMP No. 11666/2021

Notice is confined to respondents No. 1 and 2 at this stage. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2.

List on 5.10.2021. Reply/instructions in the meanwhile.

CMP No. 11667/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the applicants to file English translation of the annexures in question within one week.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6128/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Mohan Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

List on 30.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6129/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Janesh Majahan, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◇

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondents No. 1 to 4.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondent No. 5.

CWP No. 6129/2021 & CMP No. 11670/2021

Notice. Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, learned Central Government Counsel and Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents. List on 30.9.2021. Reply/instructions in the meanwhile.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6129/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Janesh Majahan, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◇

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondents No. 1 to 4.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondent No. 5.

CWP No. 6129/2021 & CMP No. 11670/2021

Notice. Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, learned Central Government Counsel and Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents. List on 30.9.2021. Reply/instructions in the meanwhile.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6131/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Jyotirmay Bhatt, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents No. 2 and 3.
Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondents No. 1 and 4.

CWP No. 6131/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, learned Central Government Counsel, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents. They pray for and are granted one week's time to obtain instructions/file reply.

List on 5.10.2021.

CMP No. 11673/2021

No case for interim relief is made out at this stage.

The application is dismissed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.6132/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Peeyush Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

CWP No. 6132/2021 & CMP No. 11674/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No.1 and 2. Issue notice to respondent No.3 returnable for 26.10.2021, on taking steps within two days. In the meanwhile, transfer order dated 24.8.2021 (Annexure P-1) is ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1959/2018

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Verma, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent No.3.

Counter to status report as also rejoinder not filed.

The same be filed within four weeks. List on 26.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 6130/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur,
Advocate, for the respondents.

List on 30.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2843/2019

28.9.2021 Present: Mr. Mehar Chand Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, vice counsel for respondent No.5.

CWP No. 2843/2019

List along with connected matters on 30.9.2021.

CMP No. 11600/2021

The pleadings are yet to complete. Therefore, the instant application for early hearing of the petition being misconceived is dismissed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3193/2019 & CWP No. 3601/2021

28.9.2021 Present: Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No.3193/2019
Mr. M.L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No.3601/2021.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.2 in CWP No. 3193/2021.

Despite repeated opportunities, respondent No.2 in CWP No. 3193/2019 has failed to file reply. Let needful be done within three weeks, failing which responsible officer of respondent No.2 shall personally remain present before the Court on the next date of hearing along with the relevant record.

List on 19.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1011/2021

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. Hamender Singh Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Dalip K. Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel for respondent No.3.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General states that reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 is being filed during the course of the day. His statement is taken on record. Reply on behalf of respondent no.3 stands filed.

List along with CWP No. 995/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1012/2021

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. Hamender Singh Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Dalip K. Sharma, Advocate, vice counsel for respondent No.3.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General states that reply on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2 is being filed during the course of the day. His statement is taken on record. Reply on behalf of respondent no.3 stands filed.

List along with CWP No. 995/2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3018/2021

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiy Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, CGC, for respondent No.5.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 30.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3096/2021

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. Rakesh Bansal, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Pranay Pratap Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Varun Rana, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Pleadings be completed within one week.

List on 5.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3100/2021

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Rejoinder, if any, be filed within four weeks.

List on 1.11.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. Pranay Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Sunil Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Compliance report filed by the Director, Technical Education, in the open Court is ordered to be taken on record.
Learned counsel for the parties jointly pray for and are granted one week's time to go through the same.

List on 4.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3513/2021

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. V.D. Khidtta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiy Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Shashi Shirshoo, CGC, for respondent No.3.

CMP No. 11481/2021

Heard. Since the proposed amendment seeks to assail the consideration order dated 7.9.2021, that has been passed during the pendency of the instant petition, therefore, the instant application for amendment of the writ petition is allowed and disposed of.

CWP No. 3513/2021

Amended writ petition is taken on record. Reply to the amended petition, as prayed for, be filed within three weeks. List for consideration on 25.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3653/2021

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate, with Mr. Amit Jamwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No. 5 & 6.

Learned counsel for respondents No. 5 and 6 prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 25.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. Angrez Kapoor, Advocate, for the appellant. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiy Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Mr. K.B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the contemnors. Mr. Anil Soni (Editor) and Mr. Neelkant (Bureau Chief), Divya Himachal in person.

After arguing for some time, Mr. K.B. Khajuria, Advocate, prays for and is granted one week's time to file better affidavit.

List on 4.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

27.9.2021 Present: Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for the petitioners.

Mr. J. L. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 17 and 19 to 23.

The Presiding Officer, Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-II, Chandigarh, has failed to remit the relevant records despite intimation sent by the registry of this Court on 15.9.2021.

Therefore, let an urgent reminder, along with a copy of this Order, through FAX and e-mail, be sent to the concerned Presiding Officer, to remit the records so as to reach before this Court well before the next date of hearing.

List on 30.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.9.2021
(pankaj)

24.9.2021 Present: Mr. G. C. Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Meera Devi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Er. Ajeet Negi, Executive Engineer & Er. Anirudh Balnatha, Assistant Engineer, JSV Matiana in person.

We have interacted for sufficient long time with Er. Ajeet Negi, Executive Engineer JSV Matiana and have tried to comprehend the water problem as is being faced by the inhabitants of villages Laffu, Raich Tangi, Manj Gaon, Lambidhar, Chamech Koti Karyal, Lanu, Challan (Khanoo) and other surrounding inhabitants.

We are of the considered view that this issue can conveniently be resolved in case the officials of the respondent-JSV carry out study regarding utilization of water prior to commissioning of the scheme in the year 2007.

In addition thereto, officials of the respondent-JSV are required to hold periodic meetings with Gram Panchayat(s) so as to understand and thereafter resolve the issues in a more compatible fashion. Let both the exercises be done within a period of three weeks.

The Pradhan, Gram Panchayat, Kathog, is directed to render full cooperation to the team of the respondent-JSV.

Let first meeting be held on 2.10.2021 and if need arises, successive meetings be also held.

List on 22.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.9.2021
(pankaj)

24.9.2021 Present: Mr. Malay Kaushal, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiy Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondent.

On the request of Mr. Malay Kaushal, Advocate,
list on 28.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.9.2021
(pankaj)

23.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ankush Dass Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Manik Sethi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondent-State.

Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. R. L. Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Arjun K. Lal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Heard for some time. The instant lis is primarily between the petitioner and Shalooni University, which, for some strange reasons, has not been arrayed as party respondent.

2 Accordingly, we deem it proper to array Shalooni University of Biotechnology & Management Science, Post Box No.9, Head Post Office Solan, Distt. Solan, HP-173212 as party respondent, which shall now figure as respondent No.3.

Ordered accordingly.

3 Issue notice to respondent No.3. Mr. Arjun K. Lal, Advocate, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.3. Let a complete copy of the petition be supplied to the learned counsel for respondent No.3 during the course of the day.

4 At this stage, Mr. R.L. Sood, learned Sr. Advocate assisted by Mr. Arjun K. Lal, Advocate, would argue that the instant petition is liable to be dismissed at the threshold as on the one hand, the petitioner claims himself to be a leading jurist of this country and on the other hand, he has failed to

array Shalooni University as party respondent. Even the reference of three opportunities, that have been granted to the petitioner, has not been specifically stated in the petition.

5 In rebuttal, Mr. Ankush Dass Sood, learned Sr. Advocate, assisted by Mr. Manik Sethi, Advocate, would argue that since he has already annexed relevant orders, therefore, this ground is neither contentious nor changeable at the instance of respondent-University.

6 We are not at all inclined to entertain any of these submissions at this stage.

7 Learned counsel for respondent No.3 prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

8 List on 28.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

23.9.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Petition No. 140/2019

23.9.2021 Present: Mr. Lalit K. Sehgal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Inder Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 9.

On 26.8.2021, this Court passed the following order:

“Reply to the execution petition was filed as far as back on 16.3.2020. Thereafter, a lot of changes have taken place including recommendations of the case of the petitioner for regularization which is stated to be pending before the Board of Management and probably for want of meeting, no decision has been taken. Therefore, the respondent-State is directed to convene the meeting of Board of Management within two weeks and take a decision, as aforesaid; and report compliance on the next date of hearing.

List on 23.9.2021.

Copy dasti.”

2 It appears that while passing the aforesaid order, this Court was not apprised of the latest factual position, which has now come on record by way of supplementary affidavit filed on behalf of the Registrar of the respondent-University, which reveals that the meetings of the Finance Committee and Board of Management had already been held on 9.6.2021 and 11.6.2021 respectively, wherein it was observed as under:

“The Finance Committee discussed and considered the proposal but did not approve the same, as this proposal was not examined/prepared as per policy. Moreover, no

financial liability has been worked out in respect of this proposal.”

3 The supplementary affidavit further reveals that the matter has been referred to the Students Welfare Officer for calculating the financial liability on account of Mess Helpers/Cooks, who have been reinstated on outsource basis.

4 Now that the matter has been referred to the Students Welfare Officer, it is expected that an early decision in the matter would be taken by the said officer.

5 Accordingly, the matter is adjourned to 25.11.2021, when fresh status report be filed by Registrar of the respondent-University.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

23.9.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Petition No. 200/2020

23.9.2021 Present: Ms. Anu Tuli Azta, Advocate, for the petitioner, ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiy Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., Svaneel Jaswal and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Thakur, Chief Conservator of Forests, Rampur, in person.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Thakur, Chief Conservator of Forests, Rampur, who is present in person, has assured the Court that henceforth, there will be no unnecessary inter-department correspondence(s), more particularly, relating to the cases of forest clearance in terms of the Forest (Conservation) Act, 1980 and the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 and the same shall be attended to promptly. He has further assured this Court that the case of the petitioner under the FRA shall be forwarded to the concerned authority within three weeks. His statement is taken on record.

We appreciate the fair stand taken by the Chief Conservator of Forests, Rampur. Let the approval be now sent to the Nodal Officer within three weeks, who in turn, shall send it to the State Government within one week.

Let the Principal Chief Conservator of Forests, be apprised of this order as also deliberations, which have taken place in the Court today.

List on 28.10.2021, when the respondents-State shall apprise the Court regarding the proposal.

Personal presence of the Chief Conservator of
Forests, Rampur, is dispensed with.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

23.9.2021
(pankaj)

LPA No. 458/2012

22.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocate, for the appellants.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

As jointly prayed for, list on 29.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

22.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.3123/2021 with CWP No.1398/2018

22.9.2021 Present: Ms. Vandana Misra, petitioner in person, in CWP No.3123/2021.

Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No. 1398/2018.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Vijay Arora, Advocate, for respondent No.6-BSNL.

Mr. Abhishek Banta, Advocate, for respondent No.7-Airtel.

Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Suneet Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.8-Reliance Jio Infocomm Ltd.

Ms. Seema Guleria, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.10-BSNL.

Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.11.

Mr. Mukesh Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.12.

Mr. Sandeep Dutta, Advocate, for respondent No.13.

Mr. Mohan Lal, ADG and Mr. Mayank Negi, ACCA, in person.

Record of Revision No. 2/2019 (SLM), titled as IDEA Cellular Ltd. (ATC) vs. State of H.P., be requisitioned from the court of Financial Commissioner (Appeals) Shimla so as to reach before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 29.9.2021

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

22.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.3930/2021

21.9.2021 Present: Mr. S. D. Gill, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Anubhav Chopra, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Heard. Let complete records of the transfer in question be produced on the next date of hearing.

List on 24.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

21.9.2021
(pankaj)

21.9.2021 Present: Mr. Vinod Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiy Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Reply filed on behalf of the official respondents in the open court is taken on record. Learned Deputy Advocate General states that one post of Forest Guard is lying vacant in Bhabanagar. He prays for and is granted two days' time to obtain instructions.

List on 24.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

21.9.2021
(*pankaj*)

LPA No. 57/2021

21.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Mr. Vinod Thakur, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the appellant.

Notice issued to the respondent has been received back unserved for want of correct address. Let fresh steps for the service of respondent be taken within two weeks.

List on 5.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

21.9.2021
(pankaj)

16.9.2021 Present: Mr.Vijay Arora, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Naveen Mullick, Mr. Vishal Mohan and Mr.
Praveen Sharma, Advocates, for the respondent.

One of the main issues, as raised in this appeal, is stated to be covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in ***Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. vs. Commissioner of C.Ex, Chandigarh, 2012(279) ELT 194 (HP)***, however the parties are *ad idem* that the aforesaid judgment has been assailed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and is pending consideration.

Therefore, in the given circumstances, we deem it proper to modify the order dated 20.6.2017 directing the appellant to refund the amount in cash, in terms of the impugned order, to the respondent, however, subject to furnishing surety on behalf of the respondent-Firm as also in individual capacity of the partners of the respondent-Firm to the satisfaction of the concerned Jurisdictional Assessing Officer.

It is made clear that in the event of the appeal being succeeded, the respondent shall deposit the amount in question with the appellant along with interest @ 6% per annum.

List in due course, reserving liberty to the appellant to seek listing of the appeal as and when the aforesaid matter is decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

16.9.2021
(*pankaj*)

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge



High Court of H.P.

16.9.2021 Present: Mr.Vijay Arora, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Naveen Mullick, Mr. Vishal Mohan and Mr.
Praveen Sharma, Advocates, for the respondent.

One of the main issues, as raised in this appeal, is stated to be covered by the judgment rendered by this Court in ***Ranbaxy Laboratories Ltd. vs. Commissioner of C.Ex, Chandigarh, 2012(279) ELT 194 (HP)***, however the parties are *ad idem* that the aforesaid judgment has been assailed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and is pending consideration.

Therefore, in the given circumstances, we deem it proper to modify the order dated 20.6.2017 directing the appellant to refund the amount in cash, in terms of the impugned order, to the respondent, however, subject to furnishing surety on behalf of the respondent-Firm as also in individual capacity of the partners of the respondent-Firm to the satisfaction of the concerned Jurisdictional Assessing Officer.

List in due course, reserving liberty to the appellant to seek listing of the appeal as and when the aforesaid matter is decided by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(pankaj)

CMP-T No.1328/2020

16.9.2021 Present: Mr. P.P. Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner/applicant.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

CMP-T No. 1328/2020

Heard. In view of the order passed by the learned Division Bench of this Court in COPC No. 181/2018, titled as Kumat Ram vs. Dr. Amarjeet Kumar Sharma, dated 19.11.2018, we are clearly of the view that the original application has been filed within the prescribed period of limitation. Therefore, delay in filing of the original application is ordered to be condoned. Application stands disposed of.

CWPOA No. _____/2021

Be registered. Reply, as prayed for, be filed within six weeks. List thereafter.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No.53/2019

16.9.2021 Present: Mr. Neeraj Maniktala, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiy Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Sh. Vir Bahadur Verma, Advocate, for respondents No. 2,6 and 7.

Mr. Shashi Shirshoo, Advocate, for respondent No.9

CMP No. 10861/2021

Heard. There is a typographical error in memo of parties as reflected in the order dated 6.9.2021 and the same is ordered to be corrected strictly in accordance with memo of parties, as reflected in page 1 of the paper book. In addition thereto, name of Mr. Shashi Shirshoo, learned counsel for respondent No.9, be also reflected in the order dated 6.9.2021.

The application is allowed and disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(pankaj)

16.9.2021 Present: Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiy Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Prima facie, the issue in question is no longer res integra in view of the judgment rendered by learned Division Bench of this Court in ***LPA No.36/2010, titled as Sita Ram vs. State of H.P. & ors., dated 15.7.2010***, wherein it was held that *"It is also settled principle of law that any service that is counted for the purpose of increment, will count for pension also."*

Confronted with this, learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to obtain instructions.

List on 23.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(pankaj)

16.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Athrav Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.
Mr. Ajay Thakur, Advocate, vice Mr. Avneesh Bhardwaj, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the issue in question is squarely covered by the judgment rendered by learned Division Bench of this Court in ***LPA No. 8/2018, titled as Manoj Kumar & ors. vs. State of H.P. & ors., dated 15.12.2017.***

Confronted with this, learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 prays for and is granted two weeks' time to obtain instructions.

List on 7.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2692/2021

16.9.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rakesh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Deepak Kaushal, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Admit. List before SB-III along with CWP No. 1980/2018 on the date already fixed, i.e. 16.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 174/2021

16.9.2021 Present: Mr. S. P. Chatterji, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for the respondents.

CMP No.10817/2021

Since the claim of the petitioner/applicant has been partly accepted, therefore, order dated 24.8.2021, for which instant application seeking modification has been filed, is accordingly modified and instead of word "rejected", the same be read as "partly allowed". The application stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(pankaj)

16.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the appellant.

Ms. Shivani, Advocate, vice counsel for the respondents.

It is rather surprising that the appellant-State has not been able to place on record certified copy of Ext. PW-11/C, despite having been granted time as far as back on 3.3.2020.

As a matter of sheer indulgence and by way of last and final opportunity, two weeks' further time is granted to do the needful, failing which Superintendent of Police, Kangra, shall remain personally present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 30.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(pankaj)

FAO (FC) No. 31/2021

16.9.2021 Present: Mr. G. R. Palsra, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for the respondent.

The parties are present and they are not averse in making an endeavour to settle the matter amicably.

As jointly prayed for, Mr. S.C. Sharma, learned Senior Advocate and a Trained Mediator of this Court is appointed as Mediator to mediate between the parties. The parties to appear before the learned Mediator at 1.00 P.M. today i.e. 16.9.2021 at Mediation Centre, H.P. High Court.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.9.2021
(*pankaj*)

14.9.2021 Present: Mr. Rajiv Rai, Advocate, for the appellants. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

Cr.MP No.1358/2021

Appellant/applicant No.2, Jasmer Singh, has once again moved the instant application for suspension of sentence. Earlier application (Cr.MP No.973/2021) was rejected at the time of admission of the appeal vide order dated 22.6.2021.

2 In the application now filed, it has been averred that the applicant has two minor daughters and one mentally retarded and physically challenged son. Moreover, wife of the applicant is due for delivery and the expected date is 21.9.2021. According to him, his family is at the stage of starvation.

3 The respondent-State was put to notice and in the instructions handed over to the Court, which are made part of the record, the aforesaid facts have not been denied.

4 Though, the applicant has been convicted and sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a term of ten years and to pay a fine of Rs.1,00,000/- and in default of payment of fine to further undergo rigorous

imprisonment for one year, for the offence punishable under Section 20(b)(ii)(c) of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, however that, in itself, does not affect the power of this Court to suspend the sentence or grant the bail to the applicant; if compelling and exceptional circumstances so warrant. This power cannot be exercised in a routine and casual manner, but has to be exercised sparingly, that too, in exceptional circumstances.

5 Having regard to the totality of the facts and circumstances of the instant case, more particularly, taking into consideration the penurious circumstances and indigent situation, in which the family of the applicant is placed, we deem it proper to suspend the sentence of the applicant for a period of three months. Ordered accordingly.

6 Consequently, the applicant is ordered to be released on bail, on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs.25,000/- with one surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Jail Superintendent.

7 The applicant shall surrender himself on the expiry of three months from today i.e. 14.12.2021 before the Jail Superintendent, Bilaspur.

8 This order has been passed in the peculiar facts and circumstances of the case, therefore, the same shall not be treated as precedent in future.

9. The application is allowed in the aforesaid terms and disposed of.

CMP No. 1359/2021

The application, as prayed for, is allowed and the applicant is exempted from filing the typed copies of Annex

ures in question. The application stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

14.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.5199/2021

14.9.2021 Present: Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for the respondents.
Mr. Pranay Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the
applicant in CMP No. 10857/2021.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 16.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

14.9.2021
(pankaj)

14.9.2021 Present: Mr. Kulwant Singh Gill, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

Learned counsel for the respondents jointly pray for and are granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 19.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

14.9.2021
(pankaj)

14.9.2021 Present: None.

List on 15.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

14.9.2021
(pankaj)

FAO (FC) No.38/2021

14.9.2021 Present: Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the appellant.

FAO (FC) No.38/2021 & CMP No. 10452/2021

Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, states that the appellant has withdrawn the application, that was pending before the learned Family Court, for setting aside the ex-parte judgment dated 17.10.2020 passed in HMA petition No. 98-S/3 of 2020. His statement is taken on record.

Issue notice to the respondent, returnable for 26.10.2021, on taking steps within two days. In the meanwhile, operation and execution of the judgment and decree dated 17.10.2020 passed by the learned Family Court is ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

14.9.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 167/2021

13.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ajit Saklani, proxy counsel, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

This matter has been listed under the caption “Speaking to the Minutes”. Inadvertently, the date of decision in the instant petition at the end has been mentioned as 25th August, 2021, whereas it should have been 2nd September, 2021. Ordered accordingly.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.9.2021
(pankaj)

13.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ajit Saklani, proxy counsel, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

The compliance report in this case already stands filed. Therefore, no further orders are required to be passed and the matter is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5245/2021

13.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ajit Saklani, proxy counsel, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

CWP No. 5245/2021 & CMP No. 10800/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

Prima facie, consideration order dated 11.8.2021 is in the teeth of the judgment rendered by learned Single Judge of this court in CWP No. 767/2021, titled as Sanjay Chadha vs. State of H.P., decided on 9.7.2021.

Confronted with this, learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted three days' time to obtain instructions.

List on 17.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 3986/2020

13.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ajit Saklani, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Singh Parmar, proxy counsel, for the respondent.

CMPT No. 563/2021

The instant application being formal in nature, as it seeks to lay challenge to the rejection order dated 25.9.2017, is allowed and disposed of.

CWPOA No. 3986/2020

Amended petition be filed within four weeks. List on 18.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5200/2021

13.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ajit Saklani, proxy counsel, for the petitioner. ◇

List along with connected matter on the date
already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1648/2018 a/w CWP No.63/2019

8.9.2021 **CWP No. 1648/2018**

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Rathour, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.6.
Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.8.
Mr. Mehar Chand Jamwal, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

CWP No. 63/2019

Mr. Mehar Chand Jamwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.4.
Mr. Shaurya Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Reply to CWP No.1648/2018 is stated to be filed by respondents No. 1 to 4 and 6. The same be traced and placed on record. List on 29.9.2021. In the meanwhile, reply be also be filed by remaining respondents.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

8.9.2021
(pankaj)

7.9.2021 Present: Mr. Rakesh. K. Dogra, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1. Mr. Dinesh Bhanot, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 4.

Reply filed by the respondents-Corporation is evasive. Therefore, let supplementary affidavit, clearly setting out therein as to how soon temporary deployment of the petitioner is going to end and what permanent arrangements have respondents made following resignation of the Junior Engineer posted there, be filed before the next date of hearing.

List on 21.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

7.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.4515/2021

7.9.2021 Present: Mr. Neel Kamal Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents. Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for respondent No.4 states that after institution of the instant petition, the petitioner has again tried to procure D.O. note. Let details whereof be supplied on the next date of hearing.

List on 8.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

7.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4637/2021

7.9.2021 Present: Mr. A. K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. List along with CWP No. 3752/2021 on 15.9.2021. Reply in the meanwhile.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

7.9.2021
(pankaj)

7.9.2021 Present: Mr. A. K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Leaving all questions of law open, notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 26.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

7.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5078/2021

6.9.2021 Present: Mr. Amit Jamwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No.1 and 3.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Motta, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Sanjeev K. Motta, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents.

List along with CWP No. 3894/2021 on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.9.2021
(pankaj)

6.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the petitioners.

Mr. Vir Bahadur Verma, Central Government Counsel for the respondent-Union of India.

Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for respondent-Pollution Control Board.

Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

List in due course.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5078/2021

6.9.2021 Present: Mr. Amit Jamwal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No.1 and 3.

Mr. Sanjeev K. Motta, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Sanjeev K. Motta, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents.

List along with CWP No. 3894/2021 on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5054/2021

6.9.2021 Present: Mr. B. P. Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Arun Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

CMP No. 10512/2021

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed and disposed of.

CWP No. 5054/2021 & CMP No. 10385/2021

Amended memo of parties is taken on record. Notice is confined to respondents No.5A and 5B at this stage. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the aforesaid respondents. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply. List on 20.9.2021. In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to maintain status quo as existing on the spot as on the date.

CMP Nos. 10386/2021 & 10387/2021

The applications are allowed with direction to the applicant to file the translated/typed copies of the Annexures in issue within two weeks. The applications stand disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.9.2021
(pankaj)

**CWP No. 1730 of 2014 a/w CWPs
No.2120, 3319, 4498, 5871 of
2014 and CWP No.2933 of 2016.**

CWP No.1730 of 2014.

4.09.2021 Present:

Mr. Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Deputy Advocate Generals, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. V. S. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Ashwani K. Sharma, Sr. Advocate with

Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Mr. Hamender Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

CWP No.2120 of 2014.

Mr. B.C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Deputy Advocate Generals, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ashwani K. Sharma, Sr. Advocate with

Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. V. S. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

Mr. Hamender Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

CWP No.3319 of 2014

Mr. K.S. Banyal, Sr. Advocate with Mr. A.K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Deputy Advocate Generals, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. V. S. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Hamender Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

Mr. Ashwani K. Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.8.

CWP No.4498 of 2014

Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Deputy Advocate Generals, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. V. S. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. Hamender Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

Mr. Ashwani K. Sharma, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Ishan Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.8.

CWP No.5871 of 2014

Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Additional Advocate Generals and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Deputy Advocate Generals, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. V. S. Chauhan, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

CWP No.2933 of 2016

Mr. Saurav Rattan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, Advocate General with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Additional Advocate Generals, Mr. J.S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Deputy Advocate Generals, for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Ms. Megha Kapur Gautam, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Sandeep Sharma)
Judge

4th September, 2021
(pankaj)

High Court of H.P.

CWP No. 5071/2021

4.9.2021 Present: Mr. Bhuvnesh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1.
Mr. Shivank Singh Panta, Advocate, for respondents No.2 & 3.

CWP No. 5071/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Shivank Singh Panta, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents. Issue notice to respondents No. 4 and 5 returnable for 27.9.2021. Reply by the appearing respondents in the meanwhile.

CMP No. 10424/2021

Heard. Setting up of new petrol pump by respondents No. 2 and 3 shall abide by final order/further orders of this Court.
Application stands disposed of.

CMP No.10425/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the applicant to file translated copies of Annexures in issue within a period of four weeks.

CMP No. 10427/2021

The application, as prayed for, is allowed and disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

4.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4091/2019

4.9.2021 Present: Mr. Mohar Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the
respondents- State.

On the request of learned counsel for the
petitioner, list on 20.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

4.9.2021
(pankaj)

3.9.2021 Present: Mr. Saurav Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4856/2021

3.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sipahiya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

On the request of learned Deputy Advocate General, list on 10.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.9.2021
(pankaj)

3.9.2021 Present: Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Pranay Pratap Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 4.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3167/2021

3.9.2021 Present: Mr. Pankaj Bhardwaj, Mr. P.P. Chauhan, Mr. Munish Thakur, Mr. R.L. Verma, Advocates, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for respondents-State.

Dy.S.P. Kailash Chander, Narcotics, Distt. Amritsar (Rural), Punjab, Inspector Lovepreet Singh, SHO/IO, Police Station Ghrinda, Inspector Gurwinder Singh, I.O. PBI-CAP Amritsar(Rural), SI Jasjit Singh and SI Kewal Singh, Mattewal, District Amritsar (Rural), Punjab in person.

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4819/2021

2.9.2021 Present: Mr. Anubhav Chopra, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State. Mr. Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

CWP No. 4819/2021 & CMP No. 10246/2021

List before the Principal Bench on 9.9.2021. Till then, the official respondents are restrained from resorting to any coercive steps against respondent No.5 so as to compel her to join at Govt. Degree College Hamirpur pursuant to office order dated 31.8.2021 (Annexure RA-1). Respondent No.5, in the interregnum, be permitted to avail leave of the kind due.

List on 9.9.2021. Interim order to continue.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3450/2021

1.9.2021 Present: Mr. P. S. Goverdhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1918/2021 & CWP No. 1917/2021

1.9.2021 Present: Mr. Peeyush Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No. 1917/2018
Mr. Kush Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No. 1918/2021.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

These cases have been ordered to be listed on the basis of mention memo made by the petitioner in CWP No. 1917/2021.

We have clearly observed, vide order dated 5.5.2021, that there is no urgency in the matter. This position was reiterated vide order dated 28.6.2021 when these cases were again listed on the basis of mention memo.

Even today, these cases have again been listed before this Court on the basis of mention memo and the same obviously cannot be taken up for admission/hearing in view of the earlier orders passed by this court. Ordered accordingly.

In case the petitioner feel that there is some urgency in these cases, then the only course available to him is to seek modification, variation or recalling of order dated 5.5.2021 and 28.6.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(pankaj)

Cr. Appeal No. 151/2021

1.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the appellant.

Respondent in person.

Respondent, who is present in present, states that he cannot bear the expenses of a Lawyer. Therefore, we deem it proper to appoint Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, as Legal Aid Counsel, in the instant case. Ordered accordingly.

Mr. Onkar Jairath, who is also present in the Court, has graciously accepted the request made by us for representing the respondent.

Accordingly, the registry is directed to reflect name of Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, for the respondent, in the cause list henceforth.

Admit. Call for the records.

After receipt of the records, a copy of complete paper book be supplied to Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, at the expenses of H.P. State Legal Services Authority.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2626/2021

1.9.2021 Present: Ms. Archana Dutt, Advocate, for the petitioner,
Mr. Sushant Vir Singh Thakur, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the order in question has been fully complied with. Her statement is taken on record.

In view of above, no further orders are required to be passed and the matter is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1877/2021

1.9.2021 Present: Mr. Sunil Mohan Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for respondent No. 1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions,
states that the order in question has been fully complied with.
His statement is taken on record.

In view of above, no further orders are required to
be passed and the matter is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(*pankaj*)

CMP(M) No. 536/2021

1.9.2021 Present: Mr. Atul Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Reply not filed. The same be positively filed within two weeks. List on 15.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(pankaj)

Cr. A. No. 210/2021

1.9.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the appellant.

It appears that theailable warrant issued against the respondent has not been executed in view of certain clarifications sought by the Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.

Confronted with this, learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted four weeks' time to comply with the requirements, as sought by the Ministry of Home Affairs, New Delhi.

List on 6.10.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 461/2020

1.9.2021 Present: Mr. Shubham Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that only interest remains to be paid to the petitioner. He prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to do the needful.

List on 15.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(pankaj)

1.9.2021 Present: Mr. V. D. Khidtta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Heard. Prima facie, we are of the considered view that the stand taken by the respondents to deny the back wages to the petitioner is not at all sustainable in the eyes of law for the reason that the petitioner had already approached the Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, Shimla, for redressal of his grievances and in terms of order passed by the Industrial Tribunal, which has attained finality upto the Hon'ble Supreme Court, he was held entitled to back wages from April 1997 till the date of his superannuation on 31.1.2007.

Confronted with this, learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to go through the records of the case.

List on 15.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

1.9.2021
(pankaj)

31.8.2021 Present: Mr. J. L. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.
Mr. Dinesh K. Thakur, Advocate, for respondent
No.3.
Mr. Vijay Singh Thakur, Advocate, for respondent
No.4.
Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

It appears that the promotion to the post of Deputy
Registrar has been stayed since 26.2.2019.

We really wonder how this could have been done.
The post of Deputy Registrar is a functional post in the
University. The individual dispute cannot have primacy over
the larger public interest. Therefore, we deem it proper to
vacate the stay granted on 26.2.2019. Ordered accordingly.
However, we clarify that the promotion, if any, shall be subject
to the final outcome of the instant petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has filed an
application for amendment of the petition in the open court,
which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondents jointly pray
for and are granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List for consideration on 14.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

31.8.2021
(pankaj)

31.8.2021 Present: Mr. Dinesh K. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file reply on behalf of respondents No. 1, 4 and 5. List on 13.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

31.8.2021
(pankaj)

31.8.2021 Present: Mr. Dinesh K. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' further time to file reply on behalf of respondents No. 1, 4 and 5. List on 13.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

31.8.2021
(pankaj)

FAO (FC) No. 35/2021

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. Kulwant Chauhan, Advocate, for the appellant. ◇

CMP(M) No. 634/2021

The appeal is within time in terms of order passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court on 27.4.2021. Therefore, this application seeking condonation of delay in filing of the appeal is clearly misconceived and is disposed of as such.

FAO (FC) No. 35/2021 & CMP No. 10026/2021

Issue notice to the respondent returnable for 20.9.2021, on taking steps within two days. In the meanwhile, operation and execution of the impugned judgment dated 9.4.2021 in case No. 16/2019 passed by the learned Additional District Judge (II) Una, is ordered to be stayed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. R.L. Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

List on 2.9.2021, when typed copies of Annexures R-1 to R-4 accompanying short reply be placed on record. Registry is directed to be careful in future in not accepting such illegible copies.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. M. L. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. N. K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.1.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.
Mr. Sumit Himalvi, Advocate, vice counsel for
respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is
granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder(s).

List on 16.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents.

Since the petitioner has been adjusted in one of the stations of his choice, i.e. Govt. AHC Ghagas, District Bilaspur, therefore, no further orders are required to be passed and the matter is closed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. Diwan Singh Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 25.8.2021, which would go to reveal that the permitted activity, for which the plot was allotted, has still not been started.

Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to obtain instructions.

List on 9.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 282/2021

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Compliance affidavit is stated to have been filed.

The same be traced and placed on record, even if it is under objections. Counter to the same, if any, be filed within two weeks.

List on 9.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 5855/2020

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. Om Parkash Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for the respondents.

On the request of learned counsel for the respondents, list along with connected matters on 8.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5353/2020

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. Om Parkash Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Naresh Kaul, Advocate, for the respondents.

On the request of learned counsel for the respondents, list along with connected matters on 8.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4823/2021

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

CWP No. 4823/2021 & CMP No. 9950/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. Issue notice to respondent No.3, under Order 5 Rule 9A CPC, returnable for 8.9.2021, on taking steps within two days. In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned order of transfer dated 8.8.2021 (Annexure P-4) qua the petitioner is ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

Ex. Pet. No. 140/2019

26.8.2021 Present: Mr. Lalit K. Sehgal, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.1. Mr. Inder Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 9.

Reply to the execution petition was filed as far as back on 16.3.2020. Thereafter, a lot of changes have taken place including recommendations of the case of the petitioner for regularization which is stated to be pending before the Board of Management and probably for want of meeting, no decision has been taken. Therefore, the respondent-State is directed to convene the meeting of Board of Management within two weeks and take a decision, as aforesaid; and report compliance on the next date of hearing.

List on 23.9.2021.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4823/2021

25.8.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

CWP No. 4823/2021 & CMP No. 9950/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. Issue notice to respondent No.3, under Order 5 Rule 9A CPC, returnable for 8.9.2021, on taking steps within two days. In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned order of transfer dated 8.8.2021 (Annexure P-4) qua the petitioner is ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4817/2021

25.8.2021 Present: Mr. Amrinder Singh Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for the respondents.

CWP No. 4817/2021 & CMP No. 9936/2021

Notice. Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply.

List on 29.9.2021. In the meanwhile, operation of the impugned order of transfer dated 19.8.2021 (Annexure P-3) is ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4797/2021

25.8.2021 Present: Mr. P. P. Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Avinash Jaryal, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

CWP No. 4797/2021

Notice. Mr. Avinash Jaryal, Advocate, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.1. Issue notice to respondent No.2 returnable for 8.9.2021, on taking steps within two days.

CMP No. 9853/2021

Heard. The appointment of respondent No.2 shall abide by the subsequent order/final order that may be passed by this Court. Application stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

25.8.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 4777/2021

24.8.2021 Present: Mr. Raj Kumar Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

CWP No. 4777/2021 & CMP No. 9853/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply/obtain instructions. List on 9.9.2021. In the meanwhile, impugned order of transfer dated 19.8.2021 (Annexure P-1) qua the petitioner is ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4773/2021

24.8.2021 Present: Mr. Avneesh Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 to 5.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

CWP No. 4773/2021

Leaving all questions of law including non-joinder of necessary parties open, issue notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents. They pray for and are granted four weeks' time to file reply. List on 28.9.2021.

CMP No. 9846/2021

Heard. The promotion, if any, shall abide by the final outcome of the writ petition. Application stands disposed of.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4763/2021

24.8.2021 Present: Mr. Umesh Kanwar, Advocate, for the petitioners. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

CWP No. 4763/2021 & CMP No. 9812/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. Issue dasti notice to respondent No.4, returnable for 21.9.2021, on taking steps within two days.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.8.2021
(pankaj)

24.8.2021 Present: Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the issue in question is squarely covered by judgment rendered by this Court in CWP No. 3544/2 019, titled as State of H.P. & anr. vs. Surendera Sharma & ors., dated 6.11.2020 (Annexure P-3).

Confronted with this, learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file reply/obtain instructions.

List on 21.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.8.2021
(pankaj)

23.8.2021 Present: Mr. Praveen Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shubh Mahajan, Advocate, for respondent
No.1.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-
State.

Mr. S. P. Singh, ADGP (SV &ACB), Shimla and Dr.
Monika Bhutunguru, S.P. Shimla.

In compliance to the previous order, Mr. S. P.
Singh, ADGP (SV &ACB), Shimla and Dr. Monika Bhutunguru,
S.P. Shimla are present in person and have tendered their
unconditional apology for having not filed the reply within the
time granted by this Court and explained the circumstances
which prevented them to file the reply. As prayed for, reply be
filed within two weeks. Personal presence of the aforesaid
Officers is dispensed with. List on 6.9.2021.

CMP No. /2021

Be registered. Application as prayed for is allowed
and personal presence of respondent No.1 for the time being is
dispensed with.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

23.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4745/2021

23.8.2021 Present: Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

CWP No. 4745/2021 & CMP No.9783/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. Reply be filed before the next date of hearing. List on 4.9.2021 along with connected matters. In the meanwhile, impugned order of recovery dated 13.7.2021 (Annexure P/9) qua the petitioner is ordered to be stayed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

23.8.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 4742/2021

23.8.2021 Present: Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

CWP No. 4742/2021 & CMP No.9779/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. Reply be filed before the next date of hearing. List on 4.9.2021 along with connected matters. In the meanwhile, impugned order of recovery dated 13.7.2021 (Annexure P/9) qua the petitioner is ordered to be stayed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

23.8.2021
(*pankaj*)

18.8.2021 Present: Mr. Ajit Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Why the petitioner is not being settled down is not forthcoming in the reply. We observe so because initially vide order dated 27.4.2021, the petitioner was temporarily adjusted from Range Office Jari, Range under Paravati Forest Division at Shamshi to Sainj Beat of Sainj Range under Seraj Forest Division at Banjar. Thereafter, within three months, the petitioner has again been temporarily adjusted from Sainj Beat of Sainj Range under Seraj Forest Division to Banjar Range, Seraj Forest Division at Banjar Range. Learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to obtain instructions.

List on 27.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4426/2021

18.8.2021 Present: Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents has placed on record certain instructions.

List on 23.8.2021. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

18.8.2021 Present: Mr. Onkar Jairath, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 25.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1648/2018 a/w CWP No.63/2019

CWP No. 1648/2018

18.8.2021 Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Rathour, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.6.
Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.8.
Mr. Rajesh Kashyap, Advocate, for respondent No.9.

CWP No. 63/2019

Mr. Rajesh Kashyap, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.4.
Mr. Shaurya Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, states that the reply on behalf of respondents-State is being filed during the course of the day.

As regards remaining respondents, reply be filed within two weeks, failing which their right to file the same shall be deemed to be closed.

List on 8.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

18.8.2021 Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.
Mr. C. N. Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

This Court on 5.4.2021 passed the following order:

"This court, vide order dated 5.4.2021, appointed Mr. Surinder Maltu, Additional Director-cum-Joint Secretary (Panchayati Raj) to investigate the matter and submit his report.

In compliance to aforesaid order, Mr. Surinder Maltu has filed his report, however the conclusions drawn by him are not very explicit and clear in the inquiry report. Therefore, we direct Mr. Surinder Maltu to give explicit and clear conclusions within a period of two weeks.

List on 18.8.2021."

Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 13.8.2021 accompanied by conclusions of the inquiry report.

Learned counsel for the parties pray for and granted one week's time to address the arguments.

List on 25.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

18.8.2021 Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Shiv Pal Manchans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.2.

Costs of Rs.10,000/- stand paid to the petitioner.

Reply has been filed and a copy thereof has been supplied to the petitioner.

The petitioner prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file rejoinder.

List on 2.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

18.8.2021 Present: Ms. Kanta Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

It is rather surprising, if not shocked, that despite the respondents being put to formal notice on 1.7.2019, reply, for over a period of two years, has not been filed by them, although the case was listed before this Court on 16.12.2020, 6.1.2021, 5.3.2021 and 26.3.2021 and on each occasion, the respondents prayed for and were granted time to file reply.

In such circumstances, even though there is no justification for granting further time to file reply, however as a matter of sheer indulgence and by way of last opportunity, subject to costs of Rs.10,000/-, to be paid to the petitioner, four weeks' further time is granted to do the needful. The costs initially shall be paid by the respondent-Department and thereafter shall be recovered from the erring officials/officers, whether serving or retired and report compliance to this effect on 28.2.2022.

List on 15.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

FAO (FC) No. 29/2021

18.8.2021 Present: Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocate, for the appellant.

Notice issued to the sole respondent is stated to be awaited. However, being actual date, the same cannot be awaited any further. Therefore, fresh notice be issued to the respondent, returnable for 22.9.2021, on taking steps within two days.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

18.8.2021 Present: Mr. Dalip K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Bhim Singh, Advocate, vice counsel for the respondents.

The record reveals that though the work for reconditioning/replacement of 11 KV HT line with AB Cable from K/ghat to V/ghat at 11 KV Sarahan Feeder (Near Gurduwara) under Electrical Sub Division No-II, HPSEBL Solan has been awarded on 25.7.2020, i.e. more than one year back, yet the transmission line has not been shifted in terms of the award. Therefore, let needful be done within one week failing which respondent No.2-Managing Director, HPSEBL shall personally remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 27.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

18.8.2021
(pankaj)

**CWP No. 3711/2021 along with CWP Nos.
2067/2019, 4342/2019, 4556/2020,
6322/2020, 3770/2021 & 3772/2021**

16.8.2021 Present: Mr. Vijay Chauhdary, Mr. Anup K. Rattan & Mr.
Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocates, for the respective
petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy. A.G. for the respondents-
State.

Advocate, Mr. Rahul, Advocate, vice Mr. Maan Singh,
Board. for respondent-H.P. State Pollution Control
Board.

Mr. Balram Sharma, ASGI, for Union of India.

**& CWP Nos. 3711/2021, 3770/2021 & 3772/2021
4556/2020, 6322/2020**

The writ petitions are disposed of vide separate
order of the day.

CWP No. 2067/2019 & 4342/2019

De-linked. List on 6.9.2021.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

**(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge**

16.8.2021
(pankaj)

16.8.2021 Present: Mr. Surinder Saklani, Advocate, for the appellant.

Ms. Anjali Soni Verma, Advocate, for the respondent.

In the earlier part of the day, learned counsel for the respondent had made grievance that the appellant is not paying any amount towards maintenance charges. Confronted with this, learned counsel for the appellant was granted some time to obtain instructions.

Now, learned counsel for the appellant, on instruction from his client, states that it is only for want of bank account that the appellant is not paying the amount in question.

Learned counsel for the respondent undertakes to supply the bank account of her client during the course of the day.

Let arrears of maintenance be transmitted to the bank account by the appellant within one week.

List for consideration on 6.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.8.2021
(pankaj)

16.8.2021 Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Verma, Advocate, for the respondent.

Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, states that the appellant has taken away the brief on the pretext of engaging another counsel. His statement is taken on record.

There is no appearance on behalf of the appellant despite the matter having been called out repeatedly. Therefore, this Court is left with no other option, but to dismiss the appeal in default. Ordered accordingly.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

16.8.2021
(*pankaj*)

CWP No. 2610/2021

13.8.2021 Present: Mr. Dilip Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Manish Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

Learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to place on record additional documents on or before the next date of hearing.

List on 26.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.8.2021
(pankaj)

13.8.2021 Present: Mr. Aditya Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

It appears that notice has not been issued to respondent No.4, who is a necessary and proper party. Therefore, issue notice to the aforesaid respondent in terms of Order 5 Rule 9-A CPC, returnable before the next date of hearing, on taking steps during the course of the day.

List on 20.8.2021, when complete records of the DO Notes that have been placed on record, be produced by the official respondents.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.8.2021
(pankaj)

13.8.2021 Present: Mr. B.C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Amit Jamwal, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3-Corporation, on instructions, states that cheques have been issued in favour of the petitioners for first quarter. His statement is taken on record.

Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for and is granted four weeks' time to ascertain this fact.

List on 17.9.2021. In the meanwhile, respondent No.3-Corporation is directed to send proposal for financial sanction, for every quarter, well in advance and not waiting till the last date, to respondents No. 1 and 2.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.4471/2021

13.8.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Though, the official respondents have put in appearance yet it is respondent No.4, who would be ultimately affected by the outcome of this petition. Therefore, issue notice to the aforesaid respondent in terms of Order 5 Rule 9-A CPC, returnable for 20.8.2021, on taking steps during the course of the day.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.8.2021
(pankaj)

13.8.2021 Present: Mr. Aditya Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

It appears that notice has not been issued to respondent No.4, who is a necessary and proper party. Therefore, issue notice to the aforesaid respondent in terms of Order 5 Rule 9-A CPC, returnable before the next date of hearing, on taking steps during the course of the day.

List on 20.8.2021, when complete records of the DO Notes that have been placed on record, be produced by the official respondents.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

13.8.2021
(pankaj)

12.8.2021 Present: Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 to 3.

No.4. Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate, for respondent

Mr.

The instant petition has been listed under the caption "Speaking to the Minutes".

It appears Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate, has appeared on behalf of respondent No.4 on the date i.e. 9.8.2021 when arguments were heard and the judgment was reserved in the instant case, however his presence was inadvertently not marked in the zimini order dated 9.8.2021 and that is why his presence was also not marked in the judgment, which was pronounced on 11.8.2021. Let the name of Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate, be reflected in the zimini order dated 9.8.2021 so also the judgment dated 11.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

12.8.2021
(pankaj)

CARB.A No. 6/2019

12.8.2021 Present: Mr. Deepak Bhasin, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. Raman Sethi, Advocate, for the respondent.

CARAP No.1/2021

Mr. Raman Sethi, Advocate, states that the rejoinder is ready and would be filed during the course of the day. His statement is taken on record.

CARAP No. 2/2021

The application is allowed as prayed for. The deposited amount be kept/treated as security till final decision of the main petition. The application stands disposed of.

CARB.A. No. 6/2019

List on 19.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

12.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No.6380/2020

12.8.2021 Present: Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Angrez Kapoor, Advocate, for the respondent.

CWPOA No. 6380/2020

It appears that the learned erstwhile Tribunal had kept this matter on its docket only on account of pendency of CWP No.161/2019. However, now that the aforesaid petition has been decided on 29.8.2019, let the petitioner obtain fresh instructions.

List on 13.8.2021.

CMP-T No. 219/2021

In view of the aforesaid order, the instant application for early hearing of the main petition is rendered infructuous and disposed of as such.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

12.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4386/2021

11.8.2021 Present: Mr. Kr. Virender Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

CMP No. /2021

Be registered. The particulars of letter in question are taken on record. Application stands disposed of.

CWP No. 4386/2021

List on 13.8.2021, when records leading to the issuance of letter No. Secy./CM-17060/2017-VI-B-296121 dated 11.6.2021 be produced by the official respondents. Till then, the parties are directed to maintain status quo.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

11.8.2021
(pankaj)

DSR No.1/2018 along with Cr.A. No.387/2018

9.8.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the appellant in DSR No. 1/2018 and for the respondent in Cr. A. No. 387/2018.

Mr. Prince Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondents in DSR No. 1/2018 and for the appellants in Cr. A. No. 387/2018.

List before another Bench, of which both of us are not members, at the pleasure of Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

9.8.2021
(pankaj)

4.8.2021 Present: Mr. V.B. Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

Mr. N. K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. P.P. Chauhan, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 to 6.

Mr. J. S. Bhogal, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Srishti Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

On 26.7.2021, this Court passed the following order:

Respondents No. 4 to 6 have filed separate reply wherein, in para 1 of the preliminary objections reads as under:

That no fundamental or constitutional right whatsoever of the petitioner has been infringed and as such the writ petition is not maintainable. Notwithstanding, it is most humbly submitted that the respondent MC had already sanctioned MC Road From Gurucharan House near Green House RA 0/0 to 0/050 (SH:C/ o R/Wall at RD 0/0 to 0/025) in Ward No.3 vide letter dated 15.12.2018 (Annexure R/41) and in case the directions are issued to the respondent MC to execute the said work, the problem being posed by the petitioner will be automatically solved. So far as sewerage related issue is concerned , in this regard all residents of the Hari Cottage had already represented to the Commissioner of the respondent MC on

23.07.2020 (Annexure R/42) and no action in this regard has been taken till date."

Confronted with this, learned Standing Counsel for Municipal Corporation, prays for and is granted two days' time to seek instructions.

List on 04.08.2021.

2 Mr. Naresh K. Gupta, Advocate, states that the respondent-Municipal Corporation, Shimla is ready and willing to execute the work in question and it is only because of the hindrances created by the persons residing in the locality that the work is not being executed.

3 In this view of the matter, we direct respondent-Municipal Corporation to execute the work in question and in case any person has any grievance, he/she is at liberty to approach this Court for redressal of grievance(s). However, under any circumstances, would there be no hindrance(s) in the execution of the work. The Municipal Corporation is at liberty to approach the Superintendent of Police, Shimla in case the police assistance is required.

4 Needless to say that the execution of the work would be undertaken after carrying out necessary demarcation so that there is no damage or obstruction caused to the private properties in question.

5 Since the work in question has commenced in the year 2018, it is expected that the work shall be executed as expeditiously as possible and in no event later than 31.12.2021.

For compliance to come up on 5.1.2022.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)

4.8.2021
(pankaj)

Judge

High Court of H.P.

4.8.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

Mr. C. N. Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

This court, vide order dated 5.4.2021, appointed Mr. Surinder Maltu, Additional Director-cum-Joint Secretary (Panchayati Raj) to investigate the matter and submit his report.

In compliance to aforesaid order, Mr. Surinder Maltu has filed his report, however the conclusions drawn by him are not very explicit and clear in the inquiry report. Therefore, we direct Mr. Surinder Maltu to give explicit and clear conclusions within a period of two weeks.

List on 18.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

4.8.2021
(pankaj)

4.8.2021 Present: Mr. Suneet Goel, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

Even though the respondents have filed their reply, however we are not at all satisfied with the contents thereof as the respondents have not clearly stated as to why the petitioner is being made rolling stone; even though at the same station. Therefore, supplementary affidavit be filed by the respondents explaining this position within four weeks

List on 1.9.2021. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

4.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.193/2021

4.8.2021 Present: Mr. Deepak Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.5.
Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advcoate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.12.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to file reply on behalf of respondent No.6. List on 11.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

4.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3681/2021

4.8.2021 Present: Mr. Rajinder Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the
respondents- State.

CWP No. 3681/2021

Learned Sr. Additional Advocate General has placed on record certain instructions, however we are not at all inclined to consider the instructions, as these are not supported by an affidavit. Let a formal reply duly supported by an affidavit be filed within one week. List on 11.8.2021.

CMP No. 7096/2021

Heard. The promotion to the post in question, if any made, shall be abide by the final outcome of the writ petition. Application stands disposed of

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

4.8.2021
(pankaj)

4.8.2021 Present: Mr. Surender Saklani, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the private respondent has further been adjusted at GPS Baroti, Education Block, Sundernagar-I, District Mandi, H.P. vide order dated 30.7.2021.

Confronted with this, learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to obtain instructions. List on 11.8.2021. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

4.8.2021
(pankaj)

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Subhash Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

No.2. Mr. Surender Verma, Advocate, for respondent

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 10.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Dinesh K. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply on behalf of respondents No. 4 and 5.

List on 31.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Dinesh K. Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file reply on behalf of respondents No. 4 and 5.

List on 31.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

On the request of learned Deputy Advocate General, list on 10.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Vijender Katoch, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Rohit Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 to 6.

No.8. Mr. Janesh Mahajan, Advocate, for respondent

Admit. List for hearing on 24.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Steps for service of respondent No.3 have not been taken. The same be taken within two days. Thereafter, issue notice to the aforesaid respondent returnable for 14.9.2021. Rejoinder, if any, in the meanwhile.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Atul G. Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Neel Kamal Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

The rejoinder is stated to be filed on 30.7.2021.

However, the same is not on record. Learned counsel for the petitioner to follow up with the registry.

List on 10.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Dhananjay Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the respondents- State.

Steps for the service of respondents No. 3 and 4 have not been taken. The same be taken within two day. Thereafter, issue notice to the aforesaid respondents returnable for 31.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1076/2021 a/w COPC No. 78/2021

3.8.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Gautam Sood, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Amit Singh Chandel, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Mr. Naresh K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Mr. R. K. Bawa, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Ajay K. Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 10.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

3.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Vijay Chaudhary, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 16.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Subham Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Admit. List for hearing on 4.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Verma, Advocate, for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, states that the petitioner has assailed the order dated 16.6.2021 before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, whereby he was directed to deposit a sum of Rs. 2 lacs before the registry of this Court and to continue to pay Rs.10,000/- per month to the respondent.

Since there is no order of stay passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court, therefore, the mere fact the appellant may have filed an SLP cannot be a ground not to deposit the amount in question.

In this view of the matter, we restrain the Executive Engineer, HPPWD Barsar Division from releasing salary of the petitioner till further orders.

List on 16.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(*pankaj*)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. T. S. Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

No. Mr. Ashwani Dhiman, Advocate, for respondents 4 and 5.

6 Mr. Malay Kaushal, Advocate, for respondents No. and 7.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 4.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Ms. Meera Devi, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 23.7.2021, however, the same are not accompanied with copy of field report, which is stated to be annexed as Annexure A-1. Needful be done before the next date of hearing. A copy of the complete instructions be supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day.

List on 4.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Balwant Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to obtain instructions.

List on 16.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Virender Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Mehar Chand, Advocate, for respondent No.3.
Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for respondent

No.5.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the necessary rejoinder is ready, however the same could not be filed for want of signatures of the petitioner. As prayed for, by way of last opportunity, three weeks' further time is granted to the petitioner to do the needful.

List on 23.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3953/2019 a/w CWPOA Nos. 2558, 3053, 3064 and 3568/2019

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Ashish Verma, Mr Vishwa Bhushan, Mr. Ajay Chauhan, Mr. Rupinder Singh and Mr. Naresh Verma, Advocates, for the respective petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate, states that the rejoinder has been filed on 16.7.2021. However, the same is not on record. Learned counsel to follow up with the registry.

List on 9.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Atul, Advocate, vice counsel for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

On the request of learned vice counsel for the petitioner, list on 9.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Goldy Kumar, Advocate, for the appellant.
None for the respondent.

It appears that even though, the respondent has been served, however he has not chosen to appear before this Court. The instant case is a matrimonial dispute, therefore, it is incumbent upon this Court to make an endeavour for amicable settlement. Therefore, let both the parties remain present before this Court on the next date of hearing. The respondent is directed to be served through the Deputy Director, Animal Husbandry, Kangra, at Dharamshala, as the respondent is stated to be working at the following address:-

Sunil Kumar, son of Sh. Dhani Ram,
Veterinary Pharmacist,
Veterinary Hospital, Kangra,
Old Mission Road, Near IPH Office,
District Kangra, H.P.

List on 6.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

LPA No. 72/2020

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Romesh Verma, Advocate, for the appellant. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Shashi Shirshoo, CGSC, for respondent No.4.

List along with LPA Nos. 30, 31 and 32/2020.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

and Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, for respondents No. 4 5.

Mr. Digvijay Singh, Advocate, states that he is no longer counsel for the petitioner in this case as his client has taken away the file. His statement is taken on record.

List on 9.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Ms. Parul Negi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Naresh K. Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Respondent No.2 is yet to file its reply. As a matter of sheer indulgence and by way of last opportunity, one week's time is granted to file the reply, failing which right to file same shall be deemed to be closed.

List on 9.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Shubham Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Admit. List for hearing after six weeks.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 5407/2020

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Romesh Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Bharat Barowalia, Advocate, for respondent
No.1.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-
State.
4, Mr. Raj Kumar Negi, Advocate, for respondents No.
5 and 6.

Reply on behalf of appearing respondents, as
prayed for, be filed within four weeks.

List on 6.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Devnder K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Reply on behalf of the respondents is stated to be lying under objections. The same be removed before the next date of hearing. Rejoinder be filed within four weeks.

List on 6.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWPOA No. 6108/2020

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the parties jointly pray for and are granted one week's time to supply list of similar matters.

List on 9.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

2.8.2021 Present: Mr. Dinesh Bhanot, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Despite ample opportunities having been granted to file the reply, the respondents have failed to do so. As a matter of sheer indulgence and by way of last opportunity, one week's further time is granted to file the reply, failing which all the respondents shall personally appear before this Court along with records on the next date of hearing.

List on 9.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

2.8.2021
(pankaj)

CWPs No. 4042/2021 & 3905/2021

CWP No. 4042/2021

28.7.2021 Present: Mr. Munish Datwalia, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-
State.
Mr. Daleep Singh Kaith, Advocate, for respondent
No.3.

CWP No. 3905/2021

Mr. Daleep Singh Kaith, Advocate, for the
petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-
State.
Mr. R.L. Chaudhary, Advocate, for respondent
No.4.

Reply on behalf of respondent No.4 is stated to
have been filed in CWP No. 3905/2021, however the same is
not on record. Registry to trace and place the reply on record.

List on 4.8.2021, when records of CWP No.
2621/2020 be also made available for perusal of this Court.
Interim order(s) to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWPs No.3926 & 3797/2021

CWP No. 3926/2021

28.7.2021 Present: Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-
State.
Mr. Rakesh K. Dogra, Advocate, for respondent
No.4.

CWP No. 3797/2021

Mr. Tara Singh Chauhan, Advocate, for the
petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra,
Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu
Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr.
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-
State.

It appears that somewhat identical issues have
already been considered and decided by learned Single Judge
of this court (Justice Vivek Singh Thakur). Therefore, it would
be appropriate if these cases are listed before the same Bench.

Registry is directed to place the matter before
Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice.

Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.7.2021
(pankaj)

28.7.2021 Present: Mr. Aditya Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted one week's time to file rejoinder. List on 4.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.7.2021
(pankaj)

28.7.2021 Present: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 18.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.4054/2021

28.7.2021 Present: Mr. Jagdish Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. A.K. Vashista, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Vinod Thakur, Advocate, prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply/produce records.

List on 11.8.2021. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

28.7.2021
(pankaj)

27.7.2021 Present: Mr. Adarsh K. Vashista, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record instructions dated 22.7.2021. However, before proceeding further on the basis of such instructions, let a copy thereof be supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner during the course of the day.

List on 28.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4107/2021

27.7.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents.

(Through Video Conferencing)

CMP No. 8281/2021

Allowed and disposed of.

CWP No. 4107/2021 & CMP No. 8282/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents. He prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply. List on 10.8.2021. In the meanwhile, the respondents are restrained from effecting recovery from the petitioner.

CMP No. 8283/2021

The application is disposed of with a direction to the applicant to file English translation of documents in question within two weeks.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

27.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3238/2019, 3466, 3467, 3468, 3905, 3908, 3911, 3913, 3914, 3915, 3921, 3922, 3927, 3932, 3933/2019, CWP No. 1423/2020 & CWPOA No. 5998/2020

26.7.2021 Present: Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the petitioner(s), in all the petitions, except CWP No. 1423/2020 & CWPOA No. 5998/2020 and for the respondents- State in CWP No. 1423/2020 & CWPOA No. 5998/2020.

Mr. Yogesh Kumar Chandel, Mr. Naresh Kaul, Mr. Rakesh Kumar Dogra, Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Mr. Surinder Parkash Sharma, Mr. Devender K. Sharma, Mr. Pawan Kumar Sharma and Mr. Surender Verma, Advocates, for the respective respondents.

Ms. Suman Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWP No. 1423/2020.

Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate, vice Mr. Madan Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner in CWPOA No.5998/2020.

After arguing for sometime, Ms. Suman Thakur and Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocates, pray for and are granted an adjournment. List on 28.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.7.2021
(pankaj)

26.7.2021 Present: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioners, ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondent No.8.

Notices issued for service of respondents No. 6 and 7 are stated to be awaited, however, being actual date, the same cannot be awaited any further. Therefore, in the given circumstances, we direct respondent No.8-Station House Officer, Police Station Nangal Bhoor, District Pathankot, Punjab to produce or cause production, in civil dress, of respondents No. 6 and 7 before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 9.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4074/2021

26.7.2021 Present: Mr. Naresh K. Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents No. 1 and 2.

(Through Video Conferencing)

CMP No. 8167/2021

Allowed and disposed of.

CWP No. 4074/2021 & CMP No. 8168/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 2. Issue notice to respondents No. 3 and 4 returnable for 6.9.2021, on taking steps within two days. In the meanwhile, impugned order of transfer dated 23.7.2021 is ordered to be stayed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4054/2021

26.7.2021 Present: Mr. Jagdish Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for respondents-State.

No.4.

(Through Video Conferencing)

CMP No. 8143/2021

Allowed and disposed of.

CWP No. 4054/2021 & CMP No. 8144/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General and Mr. A. K. Vashishta, Advocate, appear and waive service of notice on behalf of the respective respondents. They pray for and are granted two days' time to file reply. List on 28.7.2021. In the meanwhile, status quo be maintained.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

26.7.2021
(pankaj)

24.7.2021 Present: Mr. Sunil K. Kaundal, Advocate, for the petitioners.
1 Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for respondents No. and 2.

(Through Video Conferencing)

As prayed for, list on 26.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3338/2020 with CWPs No.2703 & 4239/2020

CWP No. 3338/2020

24.7.2021 Present: Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Lokinder Pal Thakur, Sr. Panel Counsel, for respondent No.1.
Mr. K. D. Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Het Ram, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

CWP No. 2703/2020

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokinder Pal Thakur, Sr. Panel Counsel, for respondent No.1.

Mr. K. D. Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Het Ram, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Mr. Vishal Panwar, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

CWP No. 4239/2020

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Aanadita Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokinder Pal Thakur, Sr. Panel Counsel, for respondent No.1.

Mr. K. D. Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Het Ram, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

Mr. Vishal Panwar, Advocate, for respondents No.4 and 5.

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 26.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3174/2021

24.7.2021 Present: Mr. Sanjeev Bhushan, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Rakesh Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondent-State.

Mr. Kush Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

(Through Video Conferencing)

On the request of learned counsel for respondent

No.2, list on 26.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

24.7.2021 Present: Ms. Salochana Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Vivek Singh Attri & Mr. Abhinav Purohit, Advocates, for respondent No.5.

(Through Video Conferencing)

On the request of learned counsel for the petitioner, list on 27.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3902/2021

24.7.2021 Present: Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Sushant Vir Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Heard in part. For continuity, list on 27.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 4051/2021

24.7.2021 Present: Mr. Saurav Rattan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

No. 1 Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents to 4.

(Through Video Conferencing)

CMP No. 8134/2021

Allowed and disposed of.

CWP No. 4051/2021 & CMP No. 8135/2021

Notice. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 4. Issue notice to respondents No. 5 and 6 under Order 5 Rule 9A CPC, returnable for 4.8.2021, on taking steps within three days. In the meanwhile, impugned transfer order dated 15.7.2021/20.7.2021 (Annexure P-1) qua the petitioner is ordered to be stayed.

Copy dasti.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

24.7.2021 Present: Mr. Deepak Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.5.
Mr. B.C.Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.12.

(Through Video Conferencing)

It appears that the pleadings are still not complete in this case. Needful be done within two weeks, failing which no further time shall be granted to any of the parties to file reply.

As regards rejoinder(s), prayer, if any, shall be considered on the next date of hearing.

List on 4.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

24.7.2021 Present: Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Rajinder Dogra, Sr. Addl. A.G., Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.G. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Mr. Rajinder Dogra, learned Sr. Addl. A.G. has placed on record certain instructions, which are not at all legible. Let legible copy thereof be submitted to the Court on the next date of hearing.

Learned Sr. Addl. A.G., on instructions, states that decision in Narain Singh's case (Annexure P-6) will be implemented across the board without there being any discrimination and even the petitioners, who have been left out, shall be given benefit of the judgment in case they are found to be eligible and their cases are covered by the aforesaid judgment, well before the next date of hearing.

List on 16.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

24.7.2021 Present: Mr. Anil Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Sr. Addl. A.G. for the
respondents- State.
Mr. Angrez Kapoor, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Reply filed on behalf of respondent No.2 in the open Court is taken on record. Learned counsel for the respondents jointly state that the issue in question is no longer *res integra* in view of the judgment rendered by learned Single Judge of this Court in **CWPOA No.19/2019, titled as Sudha Batta vs. Himachal Pradesh Staff Selection Commission & ors. Dated 2.11.2020.**

Confronted with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for and is granted two days' time to obtain instructions.

List on 27.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

24.7.2021
(pankaj)

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Rahul Gathania, Advocate, proxy counsel, for respondent No.3 to 49.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Learned Additional Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to obtain instructions. List on 27.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(*pankaj*)

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and
Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

List on 27.7.2021. Rejoinder, if any, in the meanwhile. Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(*pankaj*)

LPA No.46/2021

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the appellants.

Mr. Rahul Gathania, Advocate, proxy counsel, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Shashi Shirshoo, CGSC, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Anshul Bansal, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

(Through Video Conferencing)

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 27.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 1076/2021 a/w COPC No. 78/2021

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Rahul Gathania, Advocate, proxy counsel, for respondent No.2.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Naresh Gupta, Advocate, for respondent No.3.
K.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Supplementary affidavit on behalf of respondent No.5 stands filed. List for consideration on 27.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2253/2021

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Records produced. The same are ordered to be retained. List on 24.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and
Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted one week's time to file reply. List on 27.7.2021.
Interim order to continue.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2618/2021

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted two weeks' time to file reply.

List on 3.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and
Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Notices to respondents No. 6 and 7 could not be issued due to COVID-19. Therefore, let the notices be issued to the aforesaid respondents, returnable for 17.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2823/2021

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Status report/instructions, in terms of previous order, stand(s) filed. List on 24.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 3162/2021

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Rahul Gathania, Advocate, proxy counsel, for respondent No.2.

(Through Video Conferencing)

As prayed for, list on 24.7.2021, when previous order be complied with.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

20.7.2021 Present: Mr. Maan Singh, Advocate, proxy counsel, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and
Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
Mr. Rahul Gathania, Advocate, proxy counsel, for respondent No.5.
Mr. Anubhav Chopra, Advocate, for respondents No. 12 to 14.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Reply on behalf of respondents-State is stated to have been filed in the registry. The same be placed on record, even if it is under objections. Reply on behalf of remaining respondents be filed within three weeks.

List on 10.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

20.7.2021
(pankaj)

Cr. Appeal No. 270/2011

19.7.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv
Mr. Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the appellant.
Ms. Sheetal Vyas, Advocate, for the respondent.

(Through Video Conferencing)

On the joint request of learned counsel for the
parties, list on 4.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.7.2021
(pankaj)

Cr. Appeal No. 328/2011

19.7.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv
Mr. Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and
Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the appellant.
Mr. Nimish Gupta, Advocate, for the respondent.

(Through Video Conferencing)

On the joint request of learned counsel for the
parties, list on 4.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.7.2021
(pankaj)

ITA No. 10/2015

19.7.2021 Present: Mr. Vinay Kuthiala, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Diwan Negi, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Vishal Mohan, Advocate, for the respondent.

(Through Video Conferencing)

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 4.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.7.2021
(pankaj)

LPA Nos. 122 & 123/2008

19.7.2021 Present: Mr. G. D. Verma, Sr. Advocate with Mr. B. C. Verma, Advocate, for the appellant(s).

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents-State.

Mr. Arjun Lal, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 to 4 and 5(a) to (f).

(Through Video Conferencing)

Arguments heard. Judgment reserved.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.7.2021
(pankaj)

19.7.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. For the petitioners.

(Through Video Conferencing)

By medium of this petition, the petitioners-State have sought to assail the award that was passed by the learned Labour Court-cum-Industrial Tribunal, Kangra, at Dharamshala, way back on 20.12.2017.

Even though, the petitioners-State have tried to justify their inaction in not filing the petition within a reasonable time in para 15 thereof, however, we are not at all satisfied with the averments contained in para 15. The petitioners-State have only tried to justify passing of the file from one desk/department to another. Therefore, the instant petition cannot be entertained.

Learned Advocate General prays for and is granted four weeks' time to file a supplementary affidavit.

List on 16.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.7.2021
(pankaj)

19.7.2021 Present: Mr. G. C. Gupta, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Meera Devi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Vikas Rathore, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

As per status report, dated, 9.11.2020, work of laying down pipes for supply of potable water has been completed and even testing of the line was done on 6.11.2020. It is also not in dispute that the petitioner has also been provided with water connection. However, learned counsel for the petitioner would argue that even though the pipeline had been laid, but frequency of water is yet erratic and for many days, there is no supply at all. More over, even water tank that was constructed is leaking.

Let learned Advocate General obtain instructions on the next date of hearing.

List on 26.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

19.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No.1002 of 2020 a/w CWPs NO.814,928,1031 to 1036 of 2020, 1049 to 1051 of 2020, 1069 to 1073 of 2020, 1076, 1077, 1081, 1090, 1156,1171,1172 and 1174 of 2020, 1183, 1184, 1204, 1234, 1239, 1267 to 1269 of 2020, 1316, 1317, 1321, 1392, 2078, 2150, 2153, 2176, 2177, 2197,2198, 2698, 3858, 3913, 4907,4956,4957,4967,5004,5017,5018,5022,5023, 5036,5040,5042,5043,5052 to 5055 of 2020, 5446, 5468, 5564, 5832 of 2020 and 3059 of 2021.

12.7.2021 Present:

Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Mr. Rakesh K. Dogra, Mr.J.L.Bhardwaj, Mr. Vinay Sharma, Mr. Diwakar Dev Sharma, Mr. Sarthak Mehta, Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Ms. Megha Kapoor Gautam, Mr. Goldy Kumar, Mr. Surender Verma, Mr. Gambhir Singh, Mr. Mukul Sood, Ms. Suchitra Sen, Advocates, for the respective petitioner(s).

Mr. Ajay Vaidya, Senior Additional Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Mr. Angrez Kapoor, Mr. Dalip K. Sharma and Mr. Ajay Kumar Dhiman, Advocates

for the respective respondent(s).

Mr. B. C. Negi, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for respondents No.3 and 4 in CWPs No. 2078/2020, 2153/2020 & 2176/2020

(Through Video Conferencing)

CWPs No. 2078/2020, 2153/2020 & 2176/2020

De-listed. List on 14.7.2021.

CWP No.1002 of 2020 a/w CWPs NO.814,928,1031 to 1036 of 2020, 1049 to 1051 of 2020, 1069 to 1073 of 2020, 1076, 1077, 1081, 1090, 1156,1171,1172 and 1174 of 2020, 1183, 1184, 1204, 1234, 1239, 1267 to 1269 of 2020, 1316, 1317, 1321, 1392, 2150, 2177, 2197,2198,2698,3858,3913,4907,4956,4957,4967, 5004,5017,5018,5022,5023,5036,5040,5042,5043, 5052 to 5055 of 2020, 5446, 5468, 5564, 5832 of 2020 and 3059 of 2021.

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 14.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)

12.7.2021
(pankaj)

Judge

High Court of H.P.

12.7.2021 Present: Ms. Madhurika Verma, Advocate, for the
petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Addl.
A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Admit. List for hearing on 9.8.2021. Rejoinder, if
any, in the meanwhile.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

12.7.2021
(pankaj)

FAO (FC) No. 25/2021

12.7.2021 Present: Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. P. P. Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondent.

(Through Video Conferencing)

The parties are present in person and they are not averse in making an endeavour to settle the matter amicably.

As jointly prayed for, Mr. S.C. Sharma, learned Senior Advocate and a Trained Mediator of this Court is appointed as Mediator to mediate between the parties. The parties to appear before the learned Mediator at 1.30 P.M. today i.e. 12.7.2021 at Mediation Centre, H.P. High Court.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

12.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWP No. 2159/2020

7.7.2021 Present: Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner. ◇
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhans, Addl.
A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents-State.
Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for respondent
No.6.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Heard. We are *prima facie* of the considered view that majority of these litigations, among the industrialists and truck operators, are frequently coming up before this Court only on account of non-fixation of freight charges.

One similar issue came up before this Court in CWPs No. 777/2020 and 5422/2020, wherein, on the directions of this Court, the Deputy Commissioner, Solan, after inviting all the stake holders, fixed the freight charges, that too with consent of all the stake holders.

Therefore, in the given facts and circumstances of the case, we deem it proper to direct the Deputy Commissioner, Una, to undertake similar exercise, after associating all the stake holders, within eight weeks from today. Ordered accordingly.

We make it absolutely clear that each of the stake holders shall be free to voice his grievance(s) including fixation of quota of trucks, if any.

List on 1.9.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)

7.7.2021
(pankaj)

Judge

High Court of H.P.

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. Nitin Thakur, Advocate, for the appellant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

A sum of Rs. 10,000/- has been deposited, however remaining amount of Rs. 2 lacs has not been deposited.

It is noticed that the period to comply with the order dated 16.6.2021 has not elapsed, therefore, list for consideration on the date already fixed.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhas, Addl.
A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Despite the respondents having been put to notice as far as back on 31.8.2016, they have failed to file reply.

As a matter of sheer indulgence and by way of last opportunity, four weeks' further time is granted to do the needful, failing which all the respondents shall appear before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 3.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. Parveen Chandel, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhans, Addl.
A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Admit. List for hearing on 13.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

6.7.2021 Present: Ms. Shalini Thakur, Advocate, for the appellant.
Mr. P. P. Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondent.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Notice. Mr. P. P. Chauhan, Advocate, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondent.

It is rather shocking to note that even though the petition filed by the respondent herein was for restitution of conjugal rights and even the judgment that was rendered by the learned court below is to this effect.

However, perusal of the decree accompanying the judgment, would show that the petition has been accepted and the marriage between the parties has been dissolved by a decree of divorce on the ground of cruelty, which obviously is not in accordance with either prayer made in the petition or findings rendered by the learned court below in the judgment itself.

It is more than settled that the decree follows the judgment. Therefore, let notice be issued to the official/officer, who has drawn the decree in question to explain his conduct.

Since the instant appeal emanates out of a matrimonial discord, therefore, let both the parties appear before this Court on the next date of hearing.

List on 12.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021

(pankaj)

High Court of H.P.

FAO No. 27/2021

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. Kulwant Singh Gill, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for respondent No.1.

(Through Video Conferencing)

It appears that It is the Secretary, Gram Panchayat Basi Jhaniara, that has been sued as respondent No.1 instead of Gram Panchayat.

Learned counsel for the appellant prays for and is granted permission to carry out table amendment(s) in the appeal.

Issue notice to respondent No.1. Mr. Vinod Thakur, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondent No.1. Call for the records.

Let two copies of the appeal be supplied to the office of Advocate General during the course of the day. Registry to reflect name of Advocate General in the cause list henceforth.

List on 3.8.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. Arvind Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Lokinder Pal Thakur, Senior Panel Counsel, for respondent No.1.

Mr. K. D. Sood, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Het Ram, Advocate, for respondents No. 2 and 3.

(Through Video Conferencing)

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 13.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

6.7.2021 Present: **Cr.MP(M) No. 666/2021**
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhas, Addl.
A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the appellant/applicant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Cr.MP(M) No. 666/2021

The appeal has been filed within the prescribed period of limitation, therefore, the instant application seeking condonation of delay is clearly mis-conceived and dismissed as such.

Cr.MP(M) No. 665/2021

Heard. Leave to appeal granted.

Application stands disposed of.

Cr. A. No. /2021

Admit. Call for the records.

Bail bonds in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the executing officer be furnished by each of the respondents-accused undertaking therein to appear in this Court as and when directed and to receive the sentence which may be imposed on the conclusion of the appeal.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

Cr.MP(M) No. 668/2021

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhas, Addl.
Thakur, A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Dy.A.Gs. for the appellant/applicant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Cr.MP(M) No. 668/2021

Heard. Leave to appeal granted.

Application stands disposed of.

Cr. A. No. /2021

Admit. Call for the records.

Bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with one
local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the
executing officer be furnished by the respondent-accused
undertaking therein to appear in this Court as and when
directed and to receive the sentence which may be imposed on
the conclusion of the appeal.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

Cr.MP(M) No. 1044/2021

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhas, Addl.
Thakur, A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Dy.A.Gs. for the appellant/applicant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Cr.MP(M) No. 1044/2021

Heard. Leave to appeal granted.

Application stands disposed of.

Cr. A. No. /2021

Admit. Call for the records.

Bail bonds in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one
local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the
executing officer be furnished by the respondent-accused
undertaking therein to appear in this Court as and when
directed and to receive the sentence which may be imposed on
the conclusion of the appeal.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

Cr.MP(M) No. 1047/2021

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhas, Addl.
Thakur, A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Dy.A.Gs. for the appellant/applicant.

(Through Video Conferencing)

Cr.MP(M) No. 1047/2021

Heard. Leave to appeal granted.

Application stands disposed of.

Cr. A. No. /2021

Admit. Call for the records.

Bail bonds in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- with one
local surety of the like amount to the satisfaction of the
executing officer be furnished by each of the respondents-ac
cused undertaking therein to appear in this Court as and when
directed and to receive the sentence which may be imposed on
the conclusion of the appeal.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

Cr. A. No. 366/2015

6.7.2021 Present: Mr. N. S. Chandel, Sr. Advocate with Mr. Vinod Gupta, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur,
Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhas, Addl.
A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder
Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.

(Through Video Conferencing)

On the joint request of learned counsel for the parties, list on 13.7.2021.

(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge

(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge

6.7.2021
(pankaj)

CWPs No. 777, 2159 & 5422/2020

5.7.2021 Present: Mr. K. D. Shreedhar, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Shreya Chauhan, Advocate, Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocates, for the respective petitioners.
Mr. Ashok Sharma, A.G. with Mr. Vinod Thakur, Mr. Hemanshu Misra, Mr. Shiv Pal Minhans, Addl. A.Gs., Mr. J. S. Guleria and Mr. Bhupinder Thakur, Dy.A.Gs. for the respondents-State.
CWP Mr. R. K. Gautam, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Megha Kapoor Gautam, for respondents No. 5 and 6 in No. 777/2020 and for respondent No.6 in CWP No. 5422/2020.
No.6 Ms. Devyani Sharma, Advocate, for respondent in CWP No. 2159/2020.
in Mr. Vikrant Thakur, Advocate, for respondent No.7 CWP No. 5422/2020.
(Through Video Conferencing)

CWP Nos. 777 & 5422/2020

Minutes of the meeting handed over by the learned Advocate General are ordered to be taken on record.

Admit.

Plying of trucks in question shall strictly abide by the settlement arrived at with the authorities, i.e. Deputy Commission Solan as also in compliance to the directions passed by this Court from time to time on the subject.

CWP No. 2159/2020

De-linked. List for consideration on 7.7.2021.

**(Tarlok Singh Chauhan)
Judge**

**(Satyen Vaidya)
Judge**

**5.7.2021
(pankaj)**