



State of Himachal Pradesh Versus Govind Nath and others

Criminal Appeal No.179 of 2020

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General,
for the appellant.

Ms. Pallavi Sharma, Advocate vice Mr. Kul
Bhushan Khajria, Advocate, for respondents
No.1 and 2.

Proclamation notice issued to respondent
No.3 for 07.12.2023 has been served, by way of
affixation. Thereafter, on 20.12.2023, the matter was
ordered to be listed for recording the statement of the
Serving Officer, who had served proclamation notice
against respondent No.3 before the Registrar [Judicial].

2. On 27.12.2023, the Registrar [Judicial] had
recorded statement of HC Subhash Chander No.842,
Police Station, Urban Estate, Rohtak, Haryana, who
served the notice upon respondent No.3. HC Subhash
Chander, the Serving Officer, stated that on 07.11.2023,
he affixed the notice at some conspicuous place of
Village Bohar, Ward No.9, Nigar Nigam Bohar, Rohtak,
near Old State Bank, District Rohtak, Haryana,
wherein, respondent No.3 used to reside, in presence of
the parents of respondent No.3. He further stated that
the second copy of proclamation notice was affixed by
him at outside the residence of Councillor, Municipal
Committee, Bohar, District Rohtak, in presence of

Concillor, Jai Bhagwan. Statement of Serving Officer [HC Subhash Chander] is placed on record.

3. Since despite service of notice, by way of affixation, respondent No.3 has failed to put in appearance before this Court and, therefore, he is declared as Proclaimed Offender.

4. It is clear made clear that as and when respondent No.3, who has been declared as Proclaimed Offender, is apprehended, he shall be produced before this Court.

5. Learned Deputy Advocate General prays for and is granted three weeks' time to file appropriate application for attachment of the property of respondent No.3.

6. As prayed for, list on **26.03.2024**.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

State of Himachal Pradesh Versus Hari Saran

Criminal Appeal No.6 of 2014

06.03.2024 Present: Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy Advocate General,
for the appellant–State.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate, for the
respondent.

As jointly prayed for, list after six weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Ghanshyam Thakur Versus State of H.P.

Criminal Revision No.109 of 2012

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Varun Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General,
for the respondent-State.

As jointly prayed for, list after five weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Sunil Kumar Versus State of Himachal Pradesh

Criminal Revision No.194 of 2011 a/w
Criminal Revision No.195 of 2011

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Vinod Kumar Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner(s), in both the petitions.

Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondent -State, in both the petitions.

As jointly prayed for, list after six weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Mohar Singh Versus State of H.P. and connected matter

**Criminal Appeal No.143 of 2008 a/w
Criminal Appeal No.155 of 2008**

Criminal Appeal No.143 of 2008

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. I.S. Chandel, Advocate, for the appellant.

Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy Advocate General,
for the respondent-State.

Criminal Appeal No.155 of 2008

Ms. Jai Prabha and Ms. Tejasvi Thakur,
Advocates, vice Ms. Sheetal Vyas, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy Advocate General,
for the respondent-State.

As jointly prayed for, list after six weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Pankaj Rohilla Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and another

Cr.MMO No.1263 of 2022

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Sahil Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for the parties pray for time to inspect the records and make submissions thereafter.

As jointly prayed for, list after six weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Veena Anand Versus The State of Himachal Pradesh and another

Cr.MMO No.1012 of 2022

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. B.L. Soni, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy Advocate General,
for respondent No.1-State.
Mr. Rohit, Advocate, for respondent No.2.
As jointly prayed for, list after three weeks.

**(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge**

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Sunita Potan Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others

Cr.MMO No.353 of 2023

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Nishant Khidtta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No.1 and 2-State.

Mr. Vinod Sharma, Advocate, for respondents No.3 and 4.

As jointly prayed for, list after four weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Dhani Ram Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others

Cr.MMO No.343 of 2020

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Prashant Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General, for respondents No.1 to 4-State.

Mr. Shekhar Badola, Advocate vice Mr. Yug Singhal, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

As jointly prayed for, list after three weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Vivek Chauhan Versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others

Cr.MMO No.1164 of 2023

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. N.K. Tomar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General,
for respondents No.1 and 2-State.

Ms. Madhurika Sekhon Verma, Advocate, for
respondent No.3.

Let the petitioner as well as respondent No.3
appear this Court, in order to test the veracity of
compromise deed dated 20.10.2023 [Annexure P-3
(colly)], which is on record.

The matter be listed on **03.04.2024**.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Dola Ram Versus Lekh Raj and another

Criminal Revision No.221 of 2021

06.03.2024 Present: Ms. Dhanwanti Devi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Heena Chauhan, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Pratush Negi, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No.2-State.

Learned counsel for the parties jointly represent that the parties have settled the outstanding liability, by way of a compromise.

Let parties appear before this Court on **23.04.2024**, on which date the terms of compromise/settlement, be also placed on record.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Vipin Kumar Versus State of HP

Cr.MP(M) No.314 of 2024

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Naveen K. Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

SI Durga Dass, Police Station Bhoranj, District Hamirpur, is present in person with records.

The status report dated 06.03.2024, on instructions of Station House Officer, Bhoranj, District Hamirpur [H.P.], has been filed [taken on record].

The status report reveals that the report with respect to deformed pallet and the viscera of the deceased and used cartridges and guns have been sent for analysis to FSL; and the aforesaid report is awaited.

List this matter after three weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Kapil Dev Versus State of Himachal Pradesh

Cr.MP(M) No.271 of 2024

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pratush Sharma, Additional Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

ASI Moti Lal, I.O., Police Station Renukaji, District Sirmaur, is present in person with records.

Learned State Counsel has furnished the status report dated 06.03.2024, on the instructions of Incharge, Police Station, Renuka Ji, District Sirmaur [H.P.] [taken on record]. Copy of the status report has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner also.

List this matter for consideration on **27.03.2024.**

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Rakesh Kumar Versus State of Himachal Pradesh

Cr.MP(M) No.270 of 2024

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Vinod Chauhan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Pratush Sharma, Additional Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

ASI Moti Lal, I.O., Police Station Renukaji, District Sirmaur, is present in person with records.

Learned State Counsel has furnished the status report dated 06.03.2024, on the instructions of Incharge, Police Station, Renuka Ji, District Sirmaur [H.P.] [taken on record]. Copy of the status report has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner also.

List this matter for consideration on **27.03.2024.**

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Suresh Kumar Versus State of HP

Cr.MP(M) No.3240 of 2023

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Yashveer Singh Rathore, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Ayushi Negi, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

Learned State Counsel has furnished the status report dated 11.01.2024, on the instructions of Incharge, Police Station, Kullu, District Kullu [H.P.] [taken on record]. Copy of the status report has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner also.

List this matter on **03.04.2024**, on which date the fresh status report, if any, be also filed.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Nitesh Versus State of HP

Criminal Appeal No.535 of 2023

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Prashant Sen, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

In terms of the orders dated 29.11.2023, since the judgment of conviction and sentence passed by the learned trial Court, stands suspended and the matter was admitted on 23.11.2023 and the petitioner is on bail, list this matter after six weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Kameshwar Dutt Bhatia Versus the State of Himachal Pradesh and another

Cr.MMO No.403 of 2023

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Happy Thakur, Advocate vice
Mr. Hemant Kumar Thakur, for the
petitioner.

Mr. Pratush Sharma, Additional Advocate
General, for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Shiv Pal Manhans, Senior Panel
Counsel, for respondent No.2.

As prayed for by the learned vice counsel for
the petitioner, list after two weeks.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Gaurav Kumar Versus Shakil Ahmed Sheikh

Criminal Revision No.138 of 2023

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Mukul Sood, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Chauhan, Advocate, for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioner-accused [Gaurav Kumar] intends to settle his liability in terms of settlement arrived at before the *Lok Adalat*, on 27th December, 2022 [Annexure P-2], which stands mentioned in the judgment dated 20.01.2023 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge Sirmaur at Nahan [Annexure P-1], at Rs.3,00,000/- in lump-sum; and out of this liability, the petitioner [Gaurav Kumar] is stated to have deposited Rs.1,32,500/- before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned and balance of Rs.1,68,000/- is outstanding towards the respondent-complainant [Shakil Ahmed Sheikh].

2. In view of these facts, the learned counsel for the petitioner, on instructions, submits that the petitioner intends to settle the balance liability, within four weeks from today.

3. In view of the above, let parties make endeavour towards settlement in terms of the orders passed by *Lok Adalat* and as prayed for today, within

four weeks and the matter be listed on **10.04.2024**,
on which date the parties should appear before this
Court.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Ramesh Kumar Versus Nar Singh Dass

Criminal Revision No.102 of 2023

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. H.S. Rangra, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Rakesh Thakur, Advocate, for the respondent.

After hearing the learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. H.S. Rangra, on instructions of the petitioner, submits that the petitioner-accused herein [Ramesh Kumar] is ready and willing to settle the liability, for which he prays for three weeks' further time.

In view of this, let the parties appear before this Court on **03.04.2024**.

However, in order to make an endeavour towards amicable settlement, this Court directs the learned counsel for the respondent to furnish the bank details of the respondent-complainant [Nar Singh Dass] to the learned counsel for the petitioner-accused [Ramesh Kumar] herein, within three days from today. On furnishing of such bank details, the petitioner shall make every endeavour towards settlement of substantial amount on or before the next date of hearing.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Sharma Shuttering Store Versus Rakesh Chauhan

Criminal Appeal No.72 of 2023

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. H.S. Rana, Advocate, for the appellant.

Mr. Hemant Vaid, Advocate vice Mr. Nitin Mishra, Advocate, for the respondent.

After hearing the parties for some time, this Court is of the view that documents sought to be placed on record, by way of CMP No.2270 of 2023, to which reply has been filed by the non-applicant, shall be considered at the time of final hearing of the present petition.

List the matter on **20.04.2024**.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Sandeep Kumar Sharma Versus Punjab National Bank

Criminal Revision No.591 of 2022

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Mukesh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Dalmia, Advocate, for the respondent.

Learned counsel for the respondent to apprise this Court as to what is the outstanding liability of the accused, in terms of the judgment dated 05.01.2022 and 07.05.2022 passed by the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bilaspur, and affirmed by the learned Sessions Judge, Bilaspur, on 21.10.2022.

Let Short Affidavit/Instructions be furnished to this Court within two weeks and the matter be listed on **20.03.2024**.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)

Kanwar Singh Versus Meera Devi

Criminal Revision No.417 of 2022

06.03.2024 Present: Mr. Dibender Ghosh and Mr. Ashwani Negi,
Advocates, for the petitioner.

Ms. Heena Chauhan, Advocate, for the
respondent.

Let records of learned Family Court
concerned be requisitioned and the matter be listed
in the week commencing from **8th April, 2024.**

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

March 06, 2024
(Bhardwaj)