



IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.68 of 2021

Date of Decision: 07.07.2021

Ajay Kumar Sood

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of HP and Ors.

....Respondents.

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting¹?

For the petitioner: Mr. A.K.Gupta, Advocate, through Video Conferencing.

For the respondents: Mr. Sudhir Bhatnagar, Additional Advocate General through Video Conferencing.

Sandeep Sharma, J. (Oral)

By way of present execution petition filed under Clause 16(1) of the HP High Court Original Side Rules, 1997, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioner for implementation and execution of order/judgment dated 14.3.2019, passed by the Erstwhile HP State Administrative Tribunal in OA (M) No. 104 of 2019, whereby the Tribunal below having taken note of the statement made by the learned counsel for the petitioner that his case is squarely covered by the judgment passed in CWP No. 3111 of 2016, titled. *State of HP and Ors vs. Ashwani Kumar*, directed the respondents to consider the case of the applicant strictly in light of aforesaid judgment and grant similar benefit to him, if he is found similarly situate within a period of two months from the date of production of certified copy of the order. Since no action, whatsoever, came to be taken at the

Whether reporters of the Local papers are allowed to see the judgment?

behest of the respondents pursuant to aforesaid direction issued by the Tribunal, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

2. Mr. Sudhir Bhatnagar, learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents states that though he has every reason to presume that by now, order/judgment alleged to have been not implemented, must have been implemented in its totality, but if not, same would be definitely complied with within a period of eight weeks.

3. Consequently, in view of the fair stand adopted by the learned Additional Advocate General, this Court sees no reason to keep present petition alive and accordingly, same is disposed of with direction to the respondents to do the needful in terms of judgment alleged to have been not implemented within a period of eight weeks, failing which petitioner would be at liberty to get the present petition revived so that appropriate action towards implementation of the judgment is taken.

7th July, 2021

**(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge.**