



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

Complaint No. 870 of 2024

Sanjeev Kumar Vaid

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Vipul Limited

.....RESPONDENTS

Date of Hearing: 15.09.2025

Hearing: 3rd

Present: None for the complainant.

Adv. Vineet Sehgal, counsel for the respondents through VC.

ORDER : (NADIM AKHTAR-MEMBER)

1. As per last order dated 07.01.2025, the complainant was directed to clarify the territorial jurisdiction of present complaint. As per office report, order has not been complied till date.
2. Today, none appeared for the complainant. Authority again enquired about the details and location of the project, to which Ld. counsel for the respondent again reiterated that project is located in Gurugram.

3. Ld. counsel for the respondent averred that it is a future project and nowhere it is mentioned in receipts that the project is located in Faridabad. Further he referred to page 21, i.e., Annexure 4 of the complaint book which establishes that fact that project is located in Gurugram, which is beyond the territorial jurisdiction of HRERA Panchkula.
4. After hearing averments of the Ld. counsel for the respondent , Authority directs the respondent to place on record documentary evidences proving that the project in which the present complainant made booking is located in Gurugram and not in Faridabad. Ld. counsel for the respondent is also directed to file an affidavit stating therein the details of all the projects launched by the respondent in all over Haryana (excluding Gurugram district) after 2005. The above details be filed within next 04 weeks, with an advance copy supplied to the complainant. Complainant is also given one last opportunity to clarify the territorial jurisdiction of the present complaint.
5. Case is adjourned to 12.01.2026.



.....
NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]