



# HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: [www.haryanarera.gov.in](http://www.haryanarera.gov.in)

## COMPLAINT NO. 816 OF 2023

Amit Kumar

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

1. High-tech Construction Co.Pvt. Ltd.

2. SRS Retreat Services Ltd.

3. Vashishth Estates Ltd.

....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM:** Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh  
Chander Shekhar

Member  
Member

**Date of Hearing:** 06.02.2024

**Hearing:** 4<sup>th</sup>

**Present:** - Sh. Ashwani Garg, counsel for complainant through VC

Sh. Neeraj Goel, counsel for respondent no.1 and 3 through VC

*GR*

None for respondent no.2

**ORDER :**

1. Sh. Neeraj Goel, appeared for respondent no. 1 and 3 and stated that reply was filed by respondent no.3 on 11.09.2023 in the registry. He requested the Authority to consider the same reply on behalf of respondent no.1 as well. Further, he stated that he has not received the copy of rejoinder filed by complainant. Furthermore, respondent counsel apprised the Authority that he has filed written submission on behalf of respondent no.1 yesterday in the registry.
2. However, Sh. Ashwani Garg, counsel for complainant objected to the statement of respondent of not having been served copy of rejoinder and stated that these are mere delay tactics on part of respondent as complainant had already served the copy of rejoinder to respondent via email dated 16.11.2023 and proof of service has also been attached along with copy of rejoinder filed in registry. Further, he stated that he has not received the copy of written submission filed on behalf of respondent no.1.
3. On perusal of record, it is revealed that complainant had supplied the copy of rejoinder to respondent via email dated 16.11.2023. Further, respondent counsel had also filed written submission on 05.02.2024 in registry of Authority.



4. Counsel for respondent no. 1 is directed to supply copy of written submissions filed on behalf of respondent no.1 to complainant within one week. Complainant is also directed to supply copy of rejoinder to respondent no.1 and 3. Further, complainant is at liberty to file objection to written submission made on behalf of respondent no.1 within three weeks along with advance copy supplied to opposite party.
5. Lastly, notice issued to respondent no.2 was received back with a report that, "address incomplete or office locked". Complainant is directed to file either fresh address of respondent no.2 or take dasti notice from registry to be served upon respondent no.2. for effective service of notice.
6. Case is adjourned to **30.04.2024** for arguments.

  
.....  
**DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH**  
**[MEMBER]**

  
.....  
**CHANDER SHEKHAR**  
**[MEMBER]**