



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 604 OF 2024

Ashwini Chaudhary

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

BPTP LTD.

....RESPONDENT

CORAM:	Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh	Member
	Chander Shekhar	Member

Date of Hearing: 18.03.2025

Hearing: 3rd

Present: - Mr. Narender Yadav, Learned Counsel for the
Complainants through VC
Mr. Tejeshwar Singh, Learned Counsel for
Respondents through VC

ORDER(DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH- MEMBER)

1. Case was fixed for arguments. Mr. Tejeshwer Singh, learned counsel for the respondent apprised the Authority that the unit in question was allotted to two allottees namely Mr. Ashwini Chaudhary and Mrs. Savitri Chaudhary. Though Mrs Savitri Devi is now deceased, her legal heirs have not been made a party to the complaint, therefore the case cannot be argued without impleading them as parties.

2. Learned counsel for the complainant submitted that a relinquishment deed of all the remaining legal heirs of Mrs Savitri Chaudhary has already been placed on record at page 94 of the complaint. Respondent's counsel argued that the legal heirs still need to be made a party to the complaint.
3. Upon perusal, it was observed that the relinquishment deed is sufficient to establish the sole interest of Mr. Ashwini Chaudhary over the unit in question and the other legal heirs need not be made a party to the complaint.
4. In light of the above observation, respondent counsel sought some time to argue the matter as he is not prepared with the arguments.
5. Considering his request, one opportunity is granted to the respondent to argue the matter.
6. Case is adjourned to **13.05.2025** for arguments.


.....
CHANDER SHEKHAR
[MEMBER]


.....
DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]