



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

1. COMPLAINT NO. 563 OF 2022

Kanwaljeet Singh

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TDI Infrastructure

...RESPONDENT

2. COMPLAINT NO. 564 OF 2022

Vivek Pal Singh

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TDI Infrastructure

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: **Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh** **Member**
 Chander Shekhar **Member**

Date of Hearing: 20.02.2024

Hearing: 9th

Present: Mr. Kanwaljeet Singh complainant-in-person in complaint no. 563 of 2022.
None for complainant in complaint no. 564 of 2022.
Adv. Piyush Kumar, proxy counsel for Mr. Shubhnit Hans, counsel for respondent through VC.

ORDER:

1. The above-captioned complaints have been taken together as a bunch since nature and facts of these complaints are identical and relates to same

Geeta Rathee

project of the respondent namely 'Kundli, Sonipat' and similar reliefs have been prayed by complainants in both the above captioned complaints.

2. Perusal of case reveals that during previous hearings, complainants had alleged that respondent has raised charges on account of various heads which were not payable by the complainants. With regards to the conflict on account of the demands raised by respondent, complainants were directed to file calculations of the amount allegedly charged by the respondent in excess along with demand letters issued by the respondent and the terms and conditions of allotment agreed between the parties according to which the demands should have been raised. Respondent was directed to file component wise chart of increase in super area of the units of the complainants along with copies of earlier approved units plan and revised approved units plans of the complainant in the captioned complaints.


3. In compliance, complainants in both complaints have filed calculations of disputed demands in registry on 19.09.2023. However, respondent has failed to file component wise chart of increase in super area of the units of the complainants till date.

4. Today Adv. Piyush Kumar, proxy counsel for Mr. Shubhnit Hans, counsel for respondent appeared through VC and sought adjournment on ground of personal difficulty of main arguing counsel. The said request of adjournment has also made by him vide mail dated 19.02.2024 in both the complaints. His request is accepted. However, in view of the failure on part of respondent to


P. K. Patra

comply with the orders dated 09.11.2023 of the Authority, Authority hereby imposes a cost of Rs. 50,000/- payable to Authority for non-compliance of orders of Authority. Final opportunity is granted to respondent to comply with the said orders and pay above cost before the next date of hearing, failing which cases will be decided on the basis of information and documents available on record without giving any further opportunity to respondent.

4. Cases are adjourned to 26.03.2024.


.....
CHANDER SHEKHAR
[MEMBER]


.....
Dr. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]