



# HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: [www.haryanarera.gov.in](http://www.haryanarera.gov.in)

## COMPLAINT NO. 526 of 2023

Rajender Singh

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Piyush Buildwell India Ltd.

...RESPONDENT

## COMPLAINT NO. 527 of 2023

Santosh

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Piyush Buildwell India Ltd.

...RESPONDENT

## COMPLAINT NO. 528 of 2023

Mohit

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Piyush Buildwell India Ltd.

...RESPONDENT

**COMPLAINT NO. 529 of 2023**

Sachin deceased through LR

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Piyush Buildwell India Ltd.

...RESPONDENT

**COMPLAINT NO. 530 of 2023**

Dhanish

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Piyush Buildwell India Ltd.

...RESPONDENT

**COMPLAINT NO. 543 of 2023**

Ravinder Singh

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Piyush Buildwell India Ltd.

...RESPONDENT

**CORAM:**

**Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh**  
**Chander Shekhar**

**Member**  
**Member**

**Date of Hearing:** 09.04.2024

**Hearing:** 4<sup>th</sup>

**Present:**

Adv. Roop Singh, ld. counsel for complainant, through VC,  
in all captioned complaints.  
Adv. Gaurav Singla, ld. counsel for respondent, through VC,  
in all captioned complaints.

*Geeta Rathee*


**ORDER:**


1. On the last date of hearing i.e. on 11.10.2023, case was adjourned for 28.02.2024; however due to re-constitution of benches, same was heard today.
2. Today, ld. counsel for complainants submits before the Authority that dasti notice has already been served on 12.09.2023, the fact which was taken on record on the previous date of hearing. He further submits that time was granted to respondent to file reply in all the above captioned complainants; however reply has not been filed as yet.
3. Ld. counsel for respondent submits that though he has received the notice; however he has still not received a copy of complaint, therefore he could not file reply in all the above captioned matters. Upon perusal of file, authority observes that dasti notice was served upon respondent on 12.09.2023 and subsequently, counsel for respondent appeared on hearing held on 11.10.2023; however counsel for respondent failed to mention this fact that he did not receive copy of complaint, instead he sought time to file reply. Further, it is apparent from document on file that copy of complaint was served upon respondent counsel on his email ID. During course of hearing, email ID on which copy of complaint was served was read out to the respondent counsel. The

  
Rathore

counsel for respondent agreed that said email ID belongs to him only, meaning thereby that copy of complaint was sent to him.

4. Authority directs counsel for complainants to again send a soft copy of complaints to counsel for respondent and further respondent may also collect a hard copy of complaints from office of Authority well before the next date of hearing.
5. Authority also imposes cost of Rs.5000/- payable to the Authority and Rs.2000/- payable to complainant in each of the captioned matters, on respondent for failing to file reply in time along-with a direction to file reply within 4 weeks, in the registry of Authority with an advance copy supplied to the opposite party.
6. Upon receiving reply, complainants may also file rejoinder, if they wish to do so, with an advance copy supplied to the respondent.
7. Case is adjourned to 30.07.2024.

  
.....  
**CHANDER SHEKHAR**  
[MEMBER]

  
.....  
**DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH**  
[MEMBER]