



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 41 OF 2024

Kanan Jaiswal

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TG Buildwell Pvt. Ltd. and other

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: Nadim Akhtar

Member

Chander Shekhar

Member

Date of Hearing: 18.08.2025

Hearing: 6th

Present: - Adv. Luv Malhotra, counsel for complainant through VC.
Adv. Akshat Mittal, counsel for respondent through VC

ORDER (NADIM AKHTAR-MEMBER)

1. As per last order dated 21.04.2025, case was adjourned for arguments.
2. Today, learned counsel for the respondent appeared and submitted that the matter had already been settled between the parties, and the same was also annexed as Annexure R-1 of the reply filed on record.
3. On the other hand, learned counsel for the complainant argued that although certain terms and conditions were set out in the alleged settlement

agreement, as per those terms, cheques were to be issued in favour of the complainant. However, no such cheques have been handed over by the respondent till date. He further contended that the Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) relied upon by the respondent is not signed by the complainant and that the signatures appearing thereon have been forged. Said MoU is fabricated and does not bear the genuine signatures of the complainant.

4. In response, learned counsel for the respondent denied the allegations of forgery and submitted that the signatures on the MoU are indeed those of the complainant. He further submitted that the matter stood settled prior to the filing of the present complaint, and it was the duty of the complainant to encash the cheques issued under the settlement. However, instead of doing so, the complainant is now disputing his own signatures on the document. He requested the Authority to dismiss the matter accordingly.
5. Case is adjourned to 03.11.2025 for further arguments and consideration.


CHANDER SHEKHAR
[MEMBER]


NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]