



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 294 OF 2023

Mithun Agarwal

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

1. M/s BPTP Ltd.
2. Countrywide Promoters Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Chander Shekhar**

**Member
Member**

Date of Hearing: 12.03.2024

Hearing: 5th

Present: - Sh. Yogesh Goyal, counsel for complainant

Sh. Hemant Saini, counsel for respondents through VC

CSB

ORDER:

1. Captioned case was listed for hearing on 27.03.2024. However, due to the constitution of benches, case is being taken up today for hearing.
2. Sh. Yogesh Goyal, counsel for complainant sought more time to file replication. He further stated that complainant has not received the earlier imposed cost totalling to ₹ 7000/- payable to complainant imposed vide orders dated 01.06.2023 and 05.10.2023.
3. Sh. Hemant Saini, counsel for respondents made statement that matter stands settled between parties. He further stated that all earlier imposed costs stand paid by respondents. However, counsel for complainant denied the statement made by respondent counsel and sought time to seek instructions from his client with regard to settlement.
4. After hearing both parties and going through records, Authority observes that in captioned complaint there are two respondents namely, "BPTP Ltd" and "Country Wide Promoters Pvt. Ltd." Respondent had filed affidavit of Sh. Sudhanshu Tripathi which is neither signed nor attested. Further, it is also not clarified for whom Sh. Sudhanshu Tripathi is acting as an authorised representative. In case, he is representing both the respondents, copy of board resolution needs to be placed on record by respondents. Said documents be filed in registry by Friday i.e. on 15.03.2024.



5. Furthermore, with regard to earlier imposed cost totalling to ₹ 7,000/- payable to complainant and cost of ₹ 10,000/- payable to Authority vide orders dated 01.06.2023 and 05.10.2023. Learned counsel for respondent has stated that said costs stands paid, however, as per office record, it is revealed that a cheque of ₹ 2000/- submitted by respondent dated 01.06.2023 is expired. Therefore, respondent is directed to collect the same from registry and pay the pending cost of ₹ 7000/- to complainant directly and pending cost of Authority of ₹ 10,000/- by Friday i.e. on 15.03.2024.
6. Request of complainant is allowed. Complainant may file replication within three weeks with an advance copy supplied to opposite party.
7. Case is adjourned to **27.07.2024**.


.....
DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]


.....
CHANDER SHEKHAR
[MEMBER]