



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

1. COMPLAINT NO. 2187 OF 2023

Vidya Bhushan Sharma and Anr.

....COMPLAINANTS

VERSUS

Vivek Gupta Successful Resolution Applicant Vardhman Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

2. COMPLAINT NO. 2191 OF 2023

Rajpal Sharma

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Vivek Gupta Successful Resolution Applicant Vardhman Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

3. COMPLAINT NO. 2261 OF 2023

Harinder Kumar

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Vivek Gupta Successful Resolution Applicant Vardhman Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

4. COMPLAINT NO. 2290 OF 2023

Shanaulla H Khan and Anr.

....COMPLAINANTS

VERSUS

Vivek Gupta Successful Resolution Applicant Vardhman Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

5. COMPLAINT NO. 2296 OF 2023

Ashok Kumar Sangwan and Sheela Sangwan

....COMPLAINANTS

VERSUS

Vivek Gupta Successful Resolution Applicant Vardhman Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

6. COMPLAINT NO. 2302 OF 2023

Kavita Gupta

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Vivek Gupta Successful Resolution Applicant Vardhman Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

7. COMPLAINT NO. 2306 OF 2023

Rohit Katoch

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Vivek Gupta Successful Resolution Applicant Vardhman Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

Rattree

8. COMPLAINT NO. 2238 OF 2023

Ravinder Singh

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

Vivek Gupta Successful Resolution Applicant Vardhman Buildtech Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Nadim Akhtar

Member
Member

Date of Hearing: 08.11.2023

Hearing: 1st in all captioned cases

Present: - Sh. Anish Gupta, counsel for the complainants in all captioned cases through VC

Sh. Krishna Dass, counsel for the respondents in all captioned cases through VC

ORDER (DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH - MEMBER)

1. Sh. Krishna Dass, appeared for respondents in all captioned cases and sought more time to file replies. Further, he apprised the Authority that captioned cases are not maintainable as complainants have sought similar relief before Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi. Said cases are listed before forum today, i.e., 08.11.2023 itself. Therefore, he requested the Authority to grant some time to file the details of said



proceedings pending before Hon'ble National Company Law Tribunal,
New Delhi.

2. Perusal of files reveal that complainants in captioned complaints have neither provided the details of total paid amount nor furnished the receipts issued by the respondent of the said paid amounts. Further, complainants have sought various reliefs in the captioned complaints seeking setting aside the cancellation notices issued by the respondent-promoter. However, complaints have neither prayed for possession nor for refund. Meaning thereby, complainants relief sought in captioned complaints are not clear.
3. Accordingly, complainants are directed to file an affidavit stating therein the specific reliefs sought by them under Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 and the exact amounts and dates on which the amounts were paid by the complainants to the respondent, which should be duly supported by bank statement. Said documents be filed in the registry within a period of three weeks with an advance copy supplied to the opposite parties.
4. Respondent is directed to file replies within three weeks and supply advance copies to the complainant. Complainants may file rejoinder, if any, within next two weeks with advance copy supplied to opposite parties.



5. Cases are adjourned to **15.02.2024**.



.....
NADIM AKHTAR
[MEMBER]



.....
DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]