



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

COMPLAINT NO. 227 OF 2024

Aman Singh

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

TDI Infrastructure Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

Date of Hearing: 09.01.2026

Hearing: 5th

Present: - Mr. Chaitanya Singhal, Advocate, for the Complainant
through VC.
Mr. Shubhnit Hans, Advocate, for the Respondent.

ORDER:

Today, the case was fixed for settlement if any, failing which for arguments on merits of the case.

2. Learned counsel for the respondent has informed the Court that the complainant was already given a settlement offer. However, the complainant's counsel contended that the complainant does not accept the settlement offer made by the respondent and he desires to argue the case on the merits.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent has stated that he had no knowledge about the non-acceptance of the offer by the complainant, thus, not prepared with arguments. He further submitted that the respondent is still open to settlement of the dispute, if the complainant wishes so. Learned counsel for the complainant, on the other hand, is insisting that a short date be given for arguments.

4. Request is accepted. On request, the case is adjourned to 13.03.2026 for settlement, if any, failing which for arguments on the merits of the case. No further opportunity will be given to either party on any ground whatsoever.


CHANDER SHEKHAR
(MEMBER)

09.01.2026
Narinder Kaur
(Law Associate)