



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

1. COMPLAINT NO. 222 OF 2021

Abhijeet Kumar Mishra

....COMPLAINANTS

VERSUS

1. Asian Developers Ltd.

2. Saera Auto India Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

2. COMPLAINT NO. 64 OF 2021

Mahipal Singh Negi

....COMPLAINANTS

VERSUS

1. Asian Developers Ltd.

2. Saera Auto India Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

3. COMPLAINT NO. 65 OF 2021

Anupam Kumar Thakur

....COMPLAINANTS

VERSUS

1. Asian Developers Ltd.

2. Saera Auto India Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

Satree

4. COMPLAINT NO. 892 OF 2021

Ram Mehar Singh

....COMPLAINANTS

VERSUS

1. Asian Developers Ltd.

2. Saera Auto India Pvt. Ltd.

....RESPONDENT

**CORAM: Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Chander Shekhar**

**Member
Member**

Date of Hearing: 23.04.2024

Hearing: 1st Re-hearing

Present: - Advocate Akshat Mittal, Counsel for complainant
Adv. Dishika , Proxy counsel for Adv. Vishal, Counsel for
respondent no. 1 through VC.
Adv. Mansi, Proxy counsel for Adv. Pranav, Counsel for
respondent no.2 through VC.

ORDER

1. Vide order dated 04.08.2022 captioned complaints were disposed of on the basis of statement of respondent no. 2 that settlement has been effected with liberty to the complainants to approach the Authority for re-opening of their complaints in case the terms of settlement are not met by respondent no. 2.
2. Now complainants have filed applications dated 08.01.2024 in complaint no. 222 of 2021 and 64 of 2021 and applications dated 12.01.2024 in




complaint no. 65 of 2021 and 892 of 2021 it is informed that terms of settlement are not abided by res. no. 2.

3. It is further informed that settlement dated 30.05.2022 was arrived on assurance by respondent no.2 that bank loan would be taken care of, either by them or by the respondent no. 2 and would have nothing to do with the complainants, and had also assured that the settlement amount mentioned in the settlement deed dated 30.05.2022 does not include recovery of the loan amount already disbursed, which may be recovered directly from respondent no. 1 for which respondent no. 2 was to assist the complainant. Respondent no. 2 manipulated the complainant into believing that loans of several other allottees have been taken care of by respondent no. 2 in view of their settlement and that the home loan pertaining to the complainant would also be taken care of after execution of settlement deed.
4. Ld. Counsel for the respondents submitted that they do not have copies of the applications filed by the complainants. Ld. Counsel for the complainants is directed to supply copies of said applications to the respondents. Respondents are at liberty to file reply to the said applications with an advance copy supplied to the complainants.
5. Since the matters pertain to re-hearing of complaints already disposed of by the Authority vide its order dated 04.08.2022 and 02.11.2023



respectively. The present cases like other cases pertaining to respondents are being referred to full bench of the Authority.

6. Cases are adjourned to 08.08.2024.


.....
CHANDER SHEKHAR
[MEMBER]


.....
DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]

