



HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY PANCHKULA

Website: www.haryanarera.gov.in

1. COMPLAINT NO. 2117 OF 2023

Rakhi Jain

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

1. M/s Suncity Projects Pvt. Ltd.

2. M/s Santur Developers Pvt. Ltd

....RESPONDENTS

2. COMPLAINT NO. 2128 OF 2023

Meenakshi Jain

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

1. M/s Suncity Projects Pvt. Ltd.

2. M/s Santur Developers Pvt. Ltd

....RESPONDENTS

3. COMPLAINT NO. 2129 OF 2023

Alka Jain

....COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

1. M/s Suncity Projects Pvt. Ltd.

2. M/s Santur Developers Pvt. Ltd

....RESPONDENTS

Cor

4. COMPLAINT NO. 2131 OF 2023

Alka Jain

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

1. M/s Suncity Projects Pvt. Ltd.

2. M/s Santur Developers Pvt. Ltd

...RESPONDENTS

5. COMPLAINT NO. 2132 OF 2023

Neeta Jain

...COMPLAINANT

VERSUS

1. M/s Suncity Projects Pvt. Ltd.

2. M/s Santur Developers Pvt. Ltd

...RESPONDENTS

**CORAM: Dr. Geeta Rathee Singh
Chander Shekhar**

**Member
Member**

Date of Hearing: 06.02.2024

Hearing: 2nd in all captioned cases

Present: - None for the complainants in all captioned cases.

None for the respondents in all captioned cases



ORDER:

1. Captioned complaints are taken up together as facts and grievances of all complaints are more or less identical and relate to the same project of the respondent, i.e., "Parikrama", situated at Sector 20, Panchkula. The fulcrum of the issue involved in all these cases pertains to failure on part of respondent promoter to deliver timely possession of plots in question. Therefore, complaint no. 2117 of 2023 titled as "*Rakhi Jain Versus M/s Suncity Projects Pvt. Ltd. and M/s Santur Developers Pvt. Ltd*" has been taken as lead case.
2. As per last order dated 07.11.2023, complainants were directed to collect dasti notices from registry and served upon respondent no.1 namely, "M/s Suncity Projects Pvt. Ltd". However, complainants have not collected the dasti notices till date from registry. Further, complainants were also directed to file affidavit in each case stating therein the exact amounts and dates on which the said amounts were paid by the complainants to the respondent, which should be duly supported by bank statement. But complainants have failed to file the same till date.
3. Furthermore, respondent no.2 was also directed to file reply in each complaint within a period of three weeks with advance copies supplied to complainants. As per office record, no reply has been filed by respondent no.2.

GR

4. Authority grants one more opportunity to respondent no.2 to file replies in each case subject to cost of ₹ 5000/- payable to Authority within a period of three weeks and supply advance copies to complainants. Complainants are at liberty to file rejoinder, if any, within next two weeks with advance copy supplied to opposite parties.
5. Further, complainants are directed to either collect dasti notices from registry or file fresh address of respondent no.1 namely, "M/s Suncity Projects Pvt. Ltd" in registry for effective service of notices upon respondent no.1. Furthermore, complainants are again directed to file an affidavit in each case stating therein the exact amounts and dates on which the said amounts were paid by the complainants to the respondent, which should be duly supported by bank statement. Said documents be filed in the registry within a period of three weeks with an advance copy supplied to the opposite parties.
6. Cases are adjourned to **14.05.2024**.


.....
DR. GEETA RATHEE SINGH
[MEMBER]


.....
CHANDER SHEKHAR
[MEMBER]