

17. Gaurav Yadav vs M/s. Ansal Housing

E-8049-2022

Present : Mr. S.S. Yadav, Advocate for DH

Ms Sanya Arora, Advocate for JD

On previous date, JDs were directed to disclose their assets/properties till today, by filing an affidavit to be sworn by anyone from directors of JD, preferably by Managing Director. No such affidavit is filed.


As per learned counsel for JD, on previous date order, asking her client to disclose assets/properties was passed in her absence and order was not uploaded on website of Authority.

As per record, Mr. Shankar Wig, Advocate appeared on behalf of the JD on that date. Learned counsel admits that Mr. Shankar Wig, Advocate was present on previous date at the time when said order was passed and he has been duly authorized by the JD. When order was passed before counsel for JD, it is not of much importance that order was not uploaded on the Website of the authority, immediately.

As per order XXI Rule 41 (3) CPC for disobedience in such a manner, JD/directors of JD can be detained to civil prison for a period not exceeding three months.

As requested by learned counsel for DH, issue arrest warrants against directors of JD to be executable by the bailiff of the authority till next date. Directors of JD be taken into custody and be produced before this forum on or before next date.

To come on 22.04.2025 for further proceedings.


(Rajender Kumar)

Adjudicating Officer,
21.11.2024