

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		42
Day and Date	Tuesday and 04.03.2025	
Complaint No.	CR/6693/2022 Case titled as Rajiv Yadav VS Savyasachi Infrastructures Private Limited and Sharma Confectioner Private Limited	
Complainant	Rajiv Yadav	
Represented through	Shri Gaurav Rawat Advocate	
Respondent	Savyasachi Infrastructures Private Limited and Sharma Confectioner Private Limited	
Respondent Represented through	Shri Arjun Parashar Advocate on behalf of Shri Bharat Bhushan Yadav Ex-director.	
Last date of hearing	07.01.2025	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

Proceedings

The present complaint has been received on 19.10.2022.

On the last date of hearing dated 07.01.2025, the Authority issued summons to ensure the presence of respondents on the next date of hearing along with requisite records failing which legal consequences shall follow.

Shri Arjun Parashar Advocate has appeared on behalf of Shri Bharat Bhushan Yadav Ex-director and filed power of attorney during proceedings and states that Sh. Bharat Bhushan Yadav is not any more associated with the respondent company.

The respondents have neither appeared nor filed any reply to the complaint despite sufficient opportunities and even a publication in the newspapers. The complaint was filed 2.5 years ago and no further adjournment is justified and hence being proceeded Ex-party. It is further observed that the respondents have allotted the unit to the complainant in an unlicensed area in violation of The Haryana Development and Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975. Further,



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

CA/669/3/2022

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

the respondents have violated Section 3(1) of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016, which is punishable under Section 59 of the Act ibid. The planning branch of Authority is directed to take necessary action and make intimation to DTCP, Haryana for necessary action under the Act of 1975

The counsel for the complainant states at bar that the complainant requests to amend the relief in the complaint and now wishes to withdraw from the project. Thereby, seeking full refund amount deposit with interest from the date of each deposit as the allotment of the unit has been made in an unregistered and unlicensed project. An application for amendment of relief has been submitted. The same is allowed in view of the facts and circumstances above.

Arguments heard.

The respondent is directed to refund the entire amount paid by the complainant along with prescribed rate of interest i.e. 11.10% per annum from the date of each deposit till its realization.

Detailed order will follow. Matter stands disposed of.

Ashok Sangwan
Member


Arun Kumar
Chairman
04.03.2025

V.I. 
Vijay Kumar Goyal
Member