



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		S1
Day and Date	Wednesday and 14.05.2025	
Complaint No.	MA NO. 320/2025 in CR/665/2025 Case titled as Neha Bharti and Mihir Kumar Jha VS Union Buildmart Private Limited	
Complainant	Neha Bharti and Mihir Kumar Jha	
Represented through	Shri Gaurav Rawat Advocate	
Respondent	Union Buildmart Private Limited	
Respondent Represented	Ms. Shriya Takkar Advocate	
Last date of hearing	Application u/s 36 of the Act	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	
Proceedings		
<p>The present complaint was filed on 14.02.2025 and reply on behalf of the respondent has not been filed till date.</p> <p>On 17.04.2025, the counsel for the complainant filed a Misc. application bearing no.320 of 2025 under Section 36 of the Act, seeking interim directions to restrain the respondent from cancelling the unit allotted to the complainants and creating any third-party rights as the respondent sent letter dated 15.04.2025 to the complainants for registering the agreement to sell within 21 days, failing which the unit will be cancelled. The complainant vide e-mail dated 16.04.2025 replied to the said letter. On 19.03.2025, the complainant visited the office of the respondent along with cheque of Rs.8,92,747/- but the respondent refused to take the said cheque. The complainants again visited the office of the respondent on 16.04.2025 but the respondent failed to answer the queries of the complainants and threatened to cancel the unit.</p>		



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

MANO. 320/25 IN CA/65/2025

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

The counsel for the respondent states that the unit has not been cancelled. However, the complainant has already been invited to sign the BBA followed by numerous reminders.

The counsel for the complainant states that the cost of the unit has been increased unilaterally and the demand for additional amount is not justified.

Heard.

Matter to come up on **28.05.2025** the date already fixed in this case for final arguments. Meanwhile,

The respondent is directed to file reply to the main complaint by 26.05.2025 (on request of counsel for the respondent) with an advance copy to the complainant who has no objection to the same. Meanwhile, the respondent shall not cancel the unit of the complainant.

Ashok Sangwan
Member
14.05.2025