



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		30
Day and Date	Friday and 14.07.2023	
Complaint No.	CR/6278/2022 Case titled as Chirag Sharma and Kanika Vs Vatika Limited	
Complainant	Chirag Sharma and Kanika	
Represented through	S/Shri Abhijeet Gupta and Rahul Sodhi Advocates	
Respondent	Vatika Limited	
Respondent Represented through	Shri Venket Rao Advocate for R1 Shri Sandeep Mehta Adv. for R2 (Memo of appearance filed today)	
Last date of hearing	13.01.2023	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

Proceedings

The present complaint was filed on **13.09.2022** and registered as complaint No. **6278 of 2022**. As per the registry, complainant has sent copy of complaint along with annexures through speed post as well as through email and proof regarding having the delivery of the complaint along with annexures made to the respondent has been submitted by the complainant as available in the file. The registry of the authority sent a notice with a copy of the complaint along with annexures through speed post. Registry has also sent the notice along with a copy of the complaint through email at following email address: vatika.rera@vatikagroup.com, info@vatikagroup.com and the same are shown to have not been delivered on the above email address as per the report available in the file. It is not proper service of the notice

On last date of hearing i.e. 18.05.2023, the respondents were directed to file reply within two weeks with an advance copy to the complainant. But the respondent has failed to file reply till date.



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

The counsel for the respondent No.1 has handed over a copy of reply to the counsel for the complainant alongwith cost of Rs.5,000/- during proceedings and shall be filing in the registry of the authority today itself.

The counsel for the respondent No.1 further states that since the project is abandoned and proceedings of de-registration of the project are already going on in the authority. Further the counsel for the respondent requests that authority may consider to pass an order in this case after decision of the proceedings of de-registration of the project.

The counsel for the complainant agrees that there is nothing much to argue in this matter, hence, have no issue in passing the order by the authority.

Arguments heard.

Order reserved.

Matter to come up on **22.09.2023** for pronouncement of order.


Sanjeev Kumar Arora
Member
14.07.2023