



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		38
Day and Date	Wednesday and 19.03.2025	
Complaint No.	CR/523/2024 Case titled as Sachin Goel VS Ramprastha Promoters & Developers Private Limited	
Complainant	Sachin Goel	
Represented through	Shri Rishabh Jain Advocate	
Respondent	Ramprastha Promoters & Developers Private Limited	
Respondent Represented	Shri Vishal Majumdar, Advocate (filed memo of appearance)	
Last date of hearing	18.12.2024	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

Proceedings

The present complaint was filed on 13.02.2024 and reply on behalf of respondent was received on 03.07.2024.

Vide proceedings dated 18.12.2024, impleadment/substitution application of Ramprastha Promoters & Developers Pvt. Ltd. was allowed and notice was issued to it by the registry of the authority through speed post as well as through email. As per record, the notice has been duly served upon the respondent. However, no reply has been filed by it till date.

Succinct facts of the case are as under:

S. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the project	Cannot be ascertained
2.	Project area	Cannot be ascertained
3.	Plot no.	Not Provided
4.	Plot area admeasuring	250 sq. yds. (Page no. 30 of the complaint)
5.	Date of booking	15.07.2013 (page 30 of complaint)



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईन, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

6.	Allotment letter	Not Provided
7.	Date of execution of plot buyer's agreement	Not executed
8.	Possession clause	Not Provided
9.	Due date of possession	15.07.2016 [Calculated as per <i>Fortune Infrastructure and Ors. vs. Trevor D'Lima and Ors. (12.03.2018 - SC); MANU/SC/0253/2018</i>]
10.	Total sale consideration	Rs.6,50,000/- [As per CRA on page 29 of complaint]
11.	Amount paid by the complainants	Rs.6,50,000/- [As per receipt dated 15.07.2013 on page 30 of complaint]
12.	Occupation Certificate	Not Provided
13.	Offer of possession	Not Provided

The counsel for the respondent seeks an adjournment in the matter.

The complaint is more than one year old and there is no justification in delaying the matter any further. However, in the interest of justice, parties may file written submissions within a period of two weeks with an advance copy to each other.

Arguments heard.

Order reserved.

Matter to come up on 07.05.2025 for pronouncement of orders.

Ashok Sangwan
Member
19.03.2025