


10. Savita Gupta vs M/s BPTP E/5215/2023

Present: - Mr. Ritik Yadav, proxy Advocate for DH.
Mr. Dhruv Rohatgi, Advocate for JD.

This is a petition seeking execution of order passed by the Authority, dated 21.03.2023. Through said order, respondent/JD has been directed to refund the amount of Rs.90,17,711/- paid by complainant along with interest at the prescribed rate @ 10.70% p.a. from date of each payment till the actual date of refund. Admittedly, some amount has already been paid by JD to DH. Fresh calculation was given by DH on previous date. Learned counsel for JD has objection on it. Same files his own calculation. According to learned counsel, DH has disclosed incorrect dates of each payment. Learned proxy counsel for DH is not in a position to reply ^{-/his} ~~all these~~ queries. In such a circumstance, I think it proper to refer the matter to the Accounts Officer of the authority, for further calculation. DH is asked to give detail of each payment along with dates and evidence about it to the Accounts Officer. File be put up before him on 08.04.2025. Accounts Officer to calculate the amount and to file his report till next date. As requested by learned counsel for JD, learned proxy counsel for DH undertakes that bank account detail of his client will be sent to the JD. ^{(JD) will} The latter [^] make payment in it.

To come on 16.05.2025 for further proceedings.


(Rajender Kumar)
Adjudicating Officer,
26.03.2025