



PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY**16**

Day and Date	Tuesday and 23.01.2024
Complaint No.	MA NO. 151/2023 in CR/5131/2021 Case titled as Tanuja Vardhan Krishanatrav VS Vatika Limited & Vatika One On One
Complainant	Tanuja Vardhan Krishanatrav
Represented through	Shri Chaitanya Adlakha Advocate
Respondent	Vatika Limited & Vatika One On One
Respondent Represented	Ms. Ankur Berry Advocate
Last date of hearing	Appl. u/s 39 of the Act
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta

Proceedings

The present case was disposed off vide order dated 02.12.2022 with the directions to pay the assured return from September 2018 to September 2021 and further directed the respondent to pay monthly lease rentals at agreed rate of the super area upto 3 years or till the unit is put on lease whichever is earlier.

The counsel for the complainant has filed an application dated 02.05.2023 stating that inadvertently this Hon'ble Authority instead of granting assured return from October 2018 at the agreed rate Rs. 151.65/- per sq. ft. on super area till the completion of building in terms of clause 15 of the buyer agreement and after completion of building @ Rs. 130/- per sq. ft. on super area upto three years or the said unit put on lease whichever is earlier in terms of clause 16.1 of the buyer agreement, passed an order to pay the assured return from September 2018 to September 2021 and further directed the respondent to pay monthly lease rentals at agreed rate of the super area upto 3 years or till the unit is put on lease whichever is earlier.



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विन्ध्याम गृह, सिविल लाईन्स, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

MANO-151/2023 In CR/5131/2021

The counsel for the respondent clarifies that under para No.49 of the order, it has been stated that occupation certificate is received on 06.09.2021 and accordingly, assured return was allowed till September, 2021 which is as per MoU. The counsel for the complainant states that the fact of obtaining OC was not part of the reply which otherwise has been obtained in respect of the unit of the complainant and hence is not pressing the amendment of the relief and is withdrawing the application. Request is allowed.

In view of above, the present application is dismissed as withdrawn. File be consigned to the registry.

Ashok Sangwan
Member

Vijay Kumar Goyal
Member

Arun Kumar
Chairman
23.01.2024