

14. Mukesh Bansal vs M/s Raheja Developers E/4290/2024

Present: Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate for DH.
Ms. Himani, Advocate for JD.

Vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of JD.

On previous date, JD was directed to disclose its assets/properties including bank account and unsold inventories, which can be attached to realize the decretal amount, by filing an affidavit to be sworn by anyone from its directors preferably by Managing Director, till today. No such affidavit is filed. Learned counsel for DH requests to issue arrest warrants against the directors of JD.

As per order XXI Rule 41 (3) CPC in case of disobedience in such a manner, JD/directors of JD can be detained in civil prison for a period not exceeding three months.

Request in this regard is allowed. Issue arrest warrants against directors of JD to be executable by bailiff of authority till next date. Directors of JD be taken into custody and be produced before this forum on or before next date. DH to give detail of directors of JD within 10 days from today.

To come on 23.07.2025 for further proceedings.


(Rajender Kumar)
Adjudicating Officer,
20.03.2025