



**HARERA**  
**GURUGRAM**

**HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY**  
**GURUGRAM**

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY**

**75**

|                                |                                                                                 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Day and Date                   | Friday and 08.12.2023                                                           |
| Complaint No.                  | CR/4219/2021 Case titled as Yamin Khan VS Ocean Seven Buildtech Private Limited |
| Complainant                    | Yamin Khan                                                                      |
| Represented through            | Sh. Anil Gupta, Advocate                                                        |
| Respondent                     | Ocean Seven Buildtech Private Limited                                           |
| Respondent Represented through | Ms. Garima, Proxy Counsel                                                       |
| Last date of hearing           | 20.10.2023                                                                      |
| Proceeding Recorded by         | Ram Niwas                                                                       |

**Proceedings**

The present case was filed in December, 2021 and on non-appearance of the respondent despite repeated opportunities, directions for publication of the notice was issued. On the last date of hearing proxy counsel for respondent appeared and was directed to file reply in the registry alongwith an additional cost of Rs. 5,000/-. Further it was directed to file the plan and correspondence's submitted by respondent in office of Town and Country Planning Department, Chandigarh regarding completion of the project. The proxy counsel for the respondent seeks a short adjournment to file the reply in the registry.

Despite a lapse of two years from the date of filing and more than seven months from the date of publication of notice in the newspaper, the reply yet to be filed by the respondent. In view of the above, there is no further justification in granting any further time to file the reply and the defense of the respondent is hereby struck off.

Matter to come on 15.03.2024.

Ashok Sangwan  
Member  
08.12.2023