

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		63
Day and Date	Wednesday and 29.01.2025	
Complaint No.	CR/3499/2023 Case titled as Sunita VS SRV Automotive Private Limited	
Complainant	Sunita	
Represented through	Ms. Saumya Bajaj proxy counsel	
Respondent	SRV Automotive Private Limited	
Respondent Represented	Shri Amarjeet Kumar Advocate	
Last date of hearing	04.12.2024	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	
Proceedings The present complaint was filed on 01.08.2023 and reply on behalf of the respondent has not been obtained till date. Vide proceedings dated 28.02.2024, the defense of the respondent was struck off. An application dated 29.08.2024 has been filed by the counsel for the respondent for recalling the order dated 28.02.2024 and setting aside the ex-parte order dated 01.05.2024 on the ground that the address as well as e-mail id provided by the complainant does not belong to the respondent. Notice was served upon the e-mail id Info@landmarkgoc.com vide e-mail dated 29.08.2023 and the said e-mail pertains to a different legal entity altogether. No summons was ever served upon the respondent and the address mentioned in Performa B is not proper as it is not the registered address of the respondent. A reply to the said application had been filed by the complainant on 08.01.2025 wherein it is stated that the applicant-respondent has not provided sufficient evidence to substantiate the claims made in the application regarding ineffective service.		



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

The proxy counsel for the complainant vehemently argues against granting any liberty to the respondent to file a reply at this stage. She further argues that the respondent was present in other cases on the previous date of hearings but chose not to appear in the matter.

The counsel for the respondent states that the delivery of the notice and the complaint was not made to the complainant.

The proxy counsel for the complainant states that the address as per record of the authority was taken for supplying a copy of the complaint.

Heard.

In the interest of justice, the respondent may file reply within a period of one week with an advance copy to the complainant.

Matter to come up on 19.03.2025 for further arguments.

Ashok Sangwan
Member
29.01.2025