

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		52
Day and Date	Tuesday and 01.03.2023	
Complaint No.	CR/2951/2021 Case titled as MR MRINAL SHARMA AND MRS MADHUSMITA SHARMA Vs SUPERTech LIMITED	
Complainant	MR MRINAL SHARMA AND MRS MADHUSMITA SHARMA	
Represented through	Shri Harshit Batra Advocate	
Respondent	SUPERTech LIMITED	
Respondent Represented	Shri Bhrigu Dhami Advocate	
Last date of hearing	21.10.2022	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

Proceedings

The counsel for the complainant states that even though respondent company is under NCLT proceedings and moratorium has been announced, it shall be the duty of the Resolution Professional to respond the complaints and be liable on behalf of the company to comply with the orders of the authority. Therefore, notice be issued to the Resolution Professional for the next date with detailed status of the project and response to the complaint. The counsel for the complainant further states that section 14 of IBC moratorium can only operate against civil courts and execution petitions lying in civil courts and there is no bar of proceedings in quasi judicial forum. With regard to this, counsel for the complainant wishes to submit citations.

In view of the above, the Resolution Professional is requested to assist the authority on the next date of hearing.

Matter to come up on 25.08.2023 for further proceedings.

Ashok Sangwan
Member
01.03.2023