

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		16-23
Day and Date	Tuesday and 29.10.2024	
Complaint No.	MA NO. 655/2024 in CR/1607/2022 Case titled as Lalit Kumar Nain VS Aster Infracome Private Limited MA No. 651/2024 in CR/6541/2022 Case titled as Neeraj Yadav VS Aster Infracome Private Limited MA No. 658/2024 in CR/7418/2022 Case titled as Ashwani Kumar VS Aster Infracome Private Limited MA No. 657/2024 in CR/7421/2022 Case titled as Jagbir Singh VS Aster Infracome Private Limited MA No. 652/2024 in CR/47/2023 Case titled as Pawan Kumar VS Aster Infracome Private Limited MA No. 654/2024 in CR/2416/2023 Case titled as Kamal Bansal VS Aster Infracome Private Limited MA No. 653/2024 in CR/2784/2023 Case titled as Richa Gandhi VS Aster Infracome Private Limited MA No. 656/2024 in CR/2785/2023 Case titled as Amit Gandhi VS Aster Infracome Private Limited	
Complainant	Lalit Kumar Nain and others	
Represented through	S/Shri Sukhbir Yadav Advocate and Sunil Kumar Nehra, Pawan Kumar complainants in person	
Respondent	Aster Infracome Private Limited	



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईन, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

Respondent Represented	Ms. Saniya Arora Advocate
Last date of hearing	Application u/s 39 of the Act
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta

Proceedings

The applicant/respondent vide application dated 11.09.2024 has requested for rectification of order dated 13.08.2024 in the above captioned complaint which was disposed of by the authority.

Application dated 11.09.2024 has been filed by the counsel for the respondent w.r.t. correction of due date of possession in the said order.

The respondent in its application states that the authority has calculated the due date of possession as 06.11.2020 in complaints bearing no. 3244/2021 being disposed of earlier vide order dated 22.12.2021.

Furthermore, in the present complainants the authority in its daily order dated 30.04.2024 has already recorded the due date of possession to be 06.11.2020 and thereafter in detailed order dated 13.08.2024 the same was being inadvertently mentioned as 20.01.2020 in para 30 of the said order.

The counsel for the respondent requests for a short adjournment as the main arguing counsel is not available today. The counsel for the complainant states that order is self-speaking and based on the provisions of Affordable Housing Policy and hence no reply is required to be supplied and hence, the application is liable to be dismissed.

In view of request of counsel for the respondent, the matter to come up on **04.02.2025** for further proceedings.

Ashok Sangwan
Member

Arun Kumar
Chairman
29.10.2024

V.I. - 3
Vijay Kumar Goyal
Member