

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY		10
Day and Date	Friday and 22.04.2022	
Complaint No.	CR/2631/2021 Case titled as Shailesh Kumar Agarwal VS Anand Divine Developers Private Limited	
Complainant	Shailesh Kumar Agarwal	
Represented through	Shri Yogesh Kumar Goyal Advocate	
Respondent	Anand Divine Developers Private Limited	
Respondent Represented	S/Shri M.K. Dang and Garvit Gupta Advocates	
Last date of hearing	09.02.2022	
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta	

Proceedings through VC

On 02.09.2021, the counsel for the respondent appeared and filed power of attorney and a copy of resolution by the Board of Directors. No one appeared on behalf of the complainant. So, the complaint was dismissed. An application was filed by the complainant on 17.09.2021 for restoration of complaint and the same was restored on 28.09.2021. A communication of restoration has been sent through email and the same was delivered on 29.10.2021.

On the last date of hearing i.e. 09.02.2022, Sh. M.K. Dang Advocate, appeared on behalf of the respondent and seeks short adjournment. On request of the counsel, the respondent was directed to file the reply within two weeks i.e. by 24.02.2022.

No reply has been filed by the respondent till date.

However, it has been brought to the notice of the Authority that National Company Law Tribunal, Principal Bench, New Delhi vide its order dated 25.03.2022, passed in CP No.IB-1101 (PB)/2020 case titled as ICICI



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

CR/2631/2021

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी.डब्ल्यू.डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

Prudential Venture, Capital Fund Real Estate Scheme - I versus M/s Anand Diviine Developers Private Limited under section 7 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 read with rule 4 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy (application to Adjudicating Authority), rules, 2016 has admitted the application in terms of under Section 7 [(5)(a)] of the IBC 2016 moratorium as envisaged under the provisions of sections 14 (l) (a) (b), (c) and (d) shall follow in relation to the respondent as per proviso a to d of Section 14 (1) of the Code.

Shri Harish Taneja has been appointed as an interim resolution professional. So, in view of the directions of the National Company Law Tribunal, New Delhi, no further proceedings can be carried out. Hence, the matter is adjourned sine die.

File be consigned to the registry.


Vijay Kumar Goyal
Member


Dr. KK Khandelwal
Chairman
22.04.2022