

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY

Day and Date	Monday and 29.07.2019
Complaint No.	260/2019 Case titled as Ritesh Jain V/S Spaze Tower Private Limited
Complainant	Ritesh Jain
Represented through	Shri Venkat Rao Advocate
Respondent	Spaze Tower Private Limited
Respondent Represented through	Shri Ishaan Dang, Advocate
Last date of hearing	3.7.2019
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari & S.L.Chanana

Proceedings

In view of the orders dated 14.05.2019 passed by the Ld. Authority, the case file has been put up before me.

This complaint has been filed for refund of amount besides interest by the complainant before the Ld. Authority. Though reply to the same has been filed by the opposite party but in view of directions contained in order dated 02.05.2019 passed by Ld. Appellate Tribunal, the complainant is directed to amend the complaint in order to bring it in the parameter of Form 'CAO' as provided in rule 29 of the Haryana Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Rules, 2017, within a period of 10 days with an advance copy to the other side.

Amended reply, if any, to that complaint be filed within a period of 10 days with a copy to the other side a week prior to the date fixed and the matter be posted for hearing.

Vide order dated 20.03.2019 passed by the learned authority, Shri Sumeet Kumar, Engineer Executive was appointed as Local Commissioner to visit the site and submit a report. The same led to the filing of a misc. application by the respondent on 18.4.2019. It is an admitted fact that after passing order dated 20.03.2019, LC has not visited the site. Meanwhile orders dated 2.5.2019 were received from the learned Appellate Tribunal, Chandigarh and vide which the case was transferred to me. So, in such a situation, it would be pre-mature to ask for the report of the LC unless pleadings are complete.

The case file be put up on the fixed date i.e. 16.08.2019 for final hearing arguments in the matter as well as on the amended reply, if any.

(S.C.Goyal)
Adjudicating Officer,
29.7.2019