

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY
34

Day and Date	Thursday and 04.07.2024
Complaint No.	CR/2587/2023 Case titled as Sushree Mathur VS Sweta Estates Private Limited
Complainant	Sushree Mathur
Represented through	Shri Abhishek Rao Advocate
Respondent	1.Sweta Estates Private Limited 2.Central Park Estate Pvt. Ltd. 3.Bellevue Central Park II
Respondent Represented through	Shri Rohan Gupta Adv. for R1 and R2
Last date of hearing	14.03.2024
Proceeding Recorded by	Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta

Proceedings

The present complaint was filed on 06.06.2023 and the reply on behalf of respondent no.1 and 2 was received on 10.10.2023.

Succinct facts of the case as per complaint and reply are as under:

Sr. No.	Particulars	Details
1.	Name of the project and location	Central Park-II, Sector-48, Gurugram
2.	Nature of project	Residential
3.	Unit no.	6C, Bellevue 9- Phase-I
4.	Unit admeasuring	2557 sq. ft. (super area)
5.	Date of execution of Builder buyer agreement	NA
6.	Due date of delivery of possession	NA
7.	Total sale consideration	NA
8.	Total amount paid by the	Rs.1,27,85,000/-



HARERA
GURUGRAM

HARYANA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY
GURUGRAM

हरियाणा भू-संपदा विनियामक प्राधिकरण, गुरुग्राम

CR/2587/2023

New PWD Rest House, Civil Lines, Gurugram, Haryana

नया पी. डब्ल्यू. डी. विश्राम गृह, सिविल लाईंस, गुरुग्राम, हरियाणा

	complainant	(as per conveyance deed page 56 of complaint)
9.	Occupation certificate	09.09.2010 (page 16 of reply)
10.	Possession Letter	17.02.2015 (page 27 of reply)
11.	Conveyance Deed	04.03.2015 (page 56 of complaint)

Case has been called out but no one has appeared on behalf of the complainant today and on earlier occasions, i.e. 26.10.2023 and only proxy counsel on 14.03.2024.

At this stage, Shri Abhishek Rao Advocate has appeared on behalf of the complainant.

The counsel for the respondent states that possession of unit stands handed over way back on 17.02.2015 and conveyance deed was also executed on 04.03.2015. The complainant is seeking refund of excess amount received by the promoter in lieu of delayed payment with interest.

The authority observes that handing over of possession and conveyance deed has happened before commencement of the Act and hence, at this belated stage, the relief of any alleged excess payment is not maintainable.

In view of the above, the complaint stands dismissed. File be consigned to the registry. *Detailed order will follow.*

V. I. - 3
Vijay Kumar Goyal
Member
04.07.2024