| PROCEEDINGS OF THE DAY | 56 |
|---|---|
| Day and Date | Tuesday and 07.02.2023 |
| Complaint No. | CR/2144/2022 Case titled as Maya Gupta and Sourav Parkash Vs Spaze Towers Private Limited |
| Complainant | Maya Gupta and Sourav Parkash |
| Represented through | Shri Vineet Taneja proxy counsel |
| Respondent | Spaze Towers Private Limited |
| Respondent Represented | Shri Harshit Batra Advocate |
| Last date of hearing | 13.10.2022 |
| Proceeding Recorded by | Naresh Kumari and HR Mehta |

## Proceedings

The present complaint was filed on 17.05.2022 and registered as complaint no. 2144 of 2022. On the last date of hearing, counsel of the respondent appeared and moved an application for mediation along with fees for amicable settlement of the matter.

The application was allowed, and he was directed to file the reply within two weeks in the registry with an advance copy to the complainant if the matter is not settled.

Vide order dated 26.08.2022, the complaint was sent back to the authority from adjudicating officer as no settlement could be reached.

The counsel for the complainant seeks a short adjournment as he has to attend a case pending before the Delhi High Court. No reply has been filed so far. Respondent is directed to file the reply within two weeks i.e., by 21.02.2023 in the registry with an advance copy to the complainant subject to cost of **Rs. 5,000/-** to be paid to the complainant. Last opportunity is being granted. In case the reply is not filed within the time allowed, the defence of the respondent may struck off.

Matter to come up onn **28.07.2023** for further proceedings.

Sanjeev Kumar Arora
Member

Ashok Sangwan
Member
07.02.2023